

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Discrete Mathematics 297 (2005) 91 – 103

**DISCRETE MATHEMATICS** 

[www.elsevier.com/locate/disc](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/disc)

# The distribution of elements in automatic double sequences

# Yossi Moshe<sup>1</sup>

*Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, Israel*

Received 4 December 2003; received inrevised form 23 February 2005; accepted 24 March 2005 Available online 11 July 2005

### **Abstract**

Let  $A = (A(i, j))_{i,j=0}^{\infty}$  be a *q*-automatic double sequence over a finite set  $\Omega$ . Let  $g \in \Omega$  and assume that the number  $\mathcal{N}_g(A, n)$  of *g*'s in the *n*th row of *A* is finite for each *n*. We provide a formula for  $\mathcal{N}_g(A, n)$  as a product of matrices according to the digits in the base q expansion of *n*. This formula generalizes several results on Pascal's triangle modulo a prime and on recurrence double sequences. It allows us to relate the asymptotic typical behavior of  $\mathcal{N}_g(A, n)$  to a certain Lyapunov exponent. In some cases we determine this exponent exactly.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Pascal's triangle modulo primes; Recurrence sequences; Asymptotic frequency; Random matrix products; Automatic sequences

#### **1. Introduction**

The distribution of the elements in Pascal's triangle modulo a prime  $p$  has been extensively studied (cf. [1,6,14,19]). Hexel and Sachs [\[15\]](#page-12-0) obtained a general (complicated) formula for the number  $N(n, g, p)$  of the elements in the *n*th row which are congruent to *g* modulo *p*. (See [\[5\]](#page-12-0) for another formula which involves characters, and [8,16,17] for similar formulas modulo some prime powers.) Garfield and Wilf [\[11\]](#page-12-0) defined the polynomial  $R_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} N(n, g^i, p)x^i$ , where *g* is a primitive root modulo *p*. They showed

<sup>1</sup> Current address: Erwin Schrödinger Institute, Boltzmanngasse 9, A-1090, Vienna, Austria.

<sup>0012-365</sup>X/\$ - see front matter © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2005.03.022

how  $R_n(x)$  can be obtained from the *p* polynomials  $R_0(x), \ldots, R_{n-1}(x)$ . (See Theorem 10 below for the precise formulation.)

Other researchers considered the number  $F(n, g, p)$  of  $g$ 's in the first *n* rows of the triangle modulo *p*. Fine [\[9\]](#page-12-0) proved that the number of nonzero elements in the first  $p<sup>k</sup>$  rows is  $(p(p+1)/2)^k$  and concluded that the density of 0's in the triangle is 1. Barbolosi and Grabner [\[5\]](#page-12-0) related the behavior of  $F(n, g, p)$  to a certain continuous real function (see also [\[22\]\)](#page-12-0) and proved that the asymptotic frequency of each  $g \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  among the nonzero elements of Pascal's triangle modulo *p* is  $1/(p - 1)$ .

Similar questions have been asked in [\[13\]](#page-12-0) on Pascal's rhombus. Pascal's rhombus is a variation of Pascal's triangle in which values are computed as the sum of four terms, rather than two. More precisely, it is defined by the recurrence relation

$$
a_{i,j} = a_{i-1,j-1} + a_{i-1,j} + a_{i-1,j+1} + a_{i-2,j}, \quad 2 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
a_{1,0} = 1,
$$
  
\n $a_{i,j} = 0,$   $(i, j) \in \{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{(1, 0)\}.$ 

In [\[13\],](#page-12-0) an explicit formula for the number of 1's in the first  $2<sup>n</sup>$  rows of Pascal's rhombus (mod 2) was obtained, which enables proving that the density of 0's is 1. Also, the number of 1's inthe *n*th row is calculated for some special values of *n*.

Pascal's triangle and Pascal's rhombus, when viewed modulo a prime, are particular instances of the following general family of (double) sequences. A double array  $(A(i, j))_{i=0, j=-\infty}^{\infty, \infty}$  over a finite field  $\mathbb F$  is a *double linear recurrence sequence of order d with finite rows* (henceforward DLR) if:

 $(1)$   $(A(i, j))$ <sub>i, j</sub> satisfies a recurrence of the form

$$
A(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^{t} c_k A(i - i_k, j - j_k), \quad i \geq d, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Here  $c_k \in \mathbb{F}\backslash\{0\}$ ,  $j_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $i_k \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}$ ,  $t \geq 1$  are constants, and  $d = \max_{1 \leq k \leq t} i_k$ .

(2) for every  $i < d$  there are only finitely many elements  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $A(i, j) \neq 0$ .

In view of the above-mentioned results concerning Pascal's triangle and rhombus, it is natural to investigate the distribution of the elements in other DLR's as well. In [\[21\]](#page-12-0) we obtained a general formula for the number  $\#_g(A, n)$  of *g*'s in the first  $q^n$  rows of a given DLR, where *g* is an arbitrary fixed element in the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}^{\times}$  and  $q = |\mathbb{F}|$ . We used this formula to characterize the DLR's inwhich the density of 0's is 1.

In this paper we give a formula for the number  $\mathcal{N}(A, n) = \mathcal{N}_g(A, n)$  of *g*'s in the *n*th row of a DLR *A*. Infact, we consider evena larger family of double arrays *A* which contains the *q-automatic double sequences* with finitely many *g*'s ineach row. (See for example [2,3] for a background on automatic sequences.) Given such a double array *A* we construct *q* square matrices  $D_0, \ldots, D_{q-1}$  and vectors  $\vec{v}, \vec{e}_0$  such that

$$
\mathcal{N}(A,n) = \vec{v}^T D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_1} D_{n_0} \vec{e}_0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,
$$
 (1)

where  $n = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n_r q^r$  is the base q expansion of *n*.

We use this formula to study the "typical" behavior of  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$ , namely its behavior for most *n*'s. It turns out that, in many examples,  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$  behaves typically (approximately) as  $e^{\lambda k}$ , where *k* is the length of the base *q* expansion of *n* and  $\lambda$  is the so-called *upper Lyapunov exponent*. (See [\[4\]](#page-12-0) for various Lyapunov exponents.)

### **2. Notations and main results**

Let  $A_0(i, j)$  be a DLR over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Due to the nature of our questions we may assume, by an appropriate shift of the rows, that  $j_k \ge 0$  for each k and that  $A_0(i, j) = 0$  for every  $j < 0$ . Hence we may consider  $A_0$  as a double array of the form  $A_0 = (A_0(i, j))_{i=0, j=0}^{\infty, \infty}$ .

It is convenient to view the initial conditions as determined by an infinite matrix of the form  $(B(i, j))_{i=0, j=0}^{d-1, \infty}$ , with  $B(i, j) \neq 0$  for at most finitely many pairs  $(i, j)$ , by the requirement

$$
A_0(i, j) = B(i, j), \quad i < d, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a finite set and  $A = (A(i, j))_{i,j=0}^{\infty}$  be a double array over  $\Omega$ . Let  $q \geq 2$  be an integer and consider the decomposition of *A* into  $q^2$  double arrays ( $A^{s,t}$ ) $_{s,t=0}^{q-1}$  according to the values of the two indices modulo *q*: for each  $(s, t)$  with  $0 \leq s, t < q$ , let  $A^{s,t}$ :  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{F}$ be given by

$$
A^{s,t}(i, j) = A(iq + s, jq + t), \quad i \geq 0, \ \ j \geq 0.
$$

Define a sequence  $(X_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  of finite sets of double arrays by

$$
X_0 = \{A\},
$$
  
\n
$$
X_{i+1} = \{C^{s,t} : C \in X_i, \ 0 \le s, t < q\}, \quad i \ge 0.
$$

Put

$$
X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i.
$$

*A* is a *q*-*automatic double sequence* if X (=X(A)) is a finite set (cf. [\[2\]\)](#page-12-0). Propositions 3, 4 of [\[21\]](#page-12-0) imply that every DLR over a finite field  $\mathbb{F} = GF(q)$  is *q*-automatic. (In fact, a similar proof shows that if  $p^e$  is a prime power, then every DLR over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$  is *p*-automatic.)

Assume from now on that *A* is a *q*-automatic double sequence over  $\Omega$  and that the number,  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$ , of *g*'s in each row *n* of *A* is finite. For every  $C \in X(A)$ , let  $j_c = \min\{j \mid C\}$  $\exists i$ ;  $C(i, j) \neq 0$ , and consider the double array  $\overline{C}$  given by

$$
\overline{C}(i, j) = C(i, j + j_c), \quad i \geq 0, \quad j \geq 0.
$$

(if  $C = 0$  we put  $\overline{C} = 0$ ). Obviously,  $\mathcal{N}(\overline{C}, n) = \mathcal{N}(C, n)$  for every *n*. A double array  $(C(i, j))$  over  $\Omega$  is *g*-trivial if it contains no *g*'s. Let  $T (=T_g)$  denote the set of *g*-trivial double arrays over  $\Omega$  and let  $X' = X'(A)$  be given by

$$
X'(A) = \{ \overline{C} : C \in X(A) \backslash T \}.
$$

**Remark.** The only reason for introducing the double arrays  $\overline{C}$  is to minimize  $|X'|$  in our examples. The removal of the *g*-trivial arrays from  $X$  serves also in that we work with  $X'$ , which is smaller then *X*. Moreover, we use it in the proof of Theorem 4. However, it has no effect on our main result—Theorem 1.

To avoid triviality we will assume  $X' \neq \emptyset$  (otherwise,  $\mathcal{N}(A, n) = 0$  identically). Let us enumerate the elements of X', say  $X' = \{A_0, \ldots, A_{m-1}\}$ , where  $A_0 = \overline{A}$ . If A is a DLR over  $GF(q)$ , then so are  $A_0, \ldots, A_{m-1}$  [21, Proposition 3]. Moreover, each  $A_i$  satisfies exactly the same recurrence as A. In such case we denote the initial conditions of  $A_i$  by  $B_i$  for  $i \leq m - 1$ .

For each  $s < q$  and  $i, j \leq m-1$ , let  $d_{i,j}^s$  be the number of elements  $t < q$  such that  $\overline{A_j^{s,t}} = A_i$ . The  $m \times m$ -matrices  $D_s = (d_{i,j}^s)_{i,j=0}^{m-1}, 0 \le s < q$ , will play an important role in the sequel. Let  $\{\vec{e}_i : 0 \le i \le m-1\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^m$ , the vectors being considered as column vectors. Let  $\vec{v} = (v_i)_{i=0}^{m-1}$  be the column vector defined by  $v_i = \mathcal{N}(A_i, 0)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let n be a non-negative integer. Write  $n = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n_r q^r$  with  $0 \leq n_r < q$  (where *some of the leading digits may vanish*). *Then*

$$
\mathcal{N}(A_i, n) = \vec{v}^T D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_1} D_{n_0} \vec{e}_i.
$$

The following theorem generalizes the formula given in [\[21\]](#page-12-0) for  $#_g(A_i, n)$ . (Here  $E_{q-1}$ plays the role of the matrix *D* from [\[21\].](#page-12-0))

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}(A_i, n)$  denote the number of g's in the first n rows of  $A_i$  and

$$
E_s = D_0 + \cdots + D_s, \quad 0 \le s < q,
$$
\n
$$
E_{-1} = 0.
$$

*Then*

$$
\mathcal{F}(A_i, n) = \vec{v}^T \left( \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} D_{n_{k-1}} D_{n_{k-2}} \dots D_{n_{r+1}} E_{n_r - 1} E_{q-1}^r \right) \vec{e}_i,
$$
\n(2)

*and in particular*,

$$
\#_g(A_i, j) = \mathscr{F}(A_i, q^j) = \vec{v}^T E_{q-1}^j \vec{e}_i.
$$

Note that the above formula enables us to compute  $f(n) = \mathcal{F}(A_i, n)$  in polynomial time (the input being the list of digits in the base *q* expansion of *n*).

**Example 3.** Let *A* be a 2-automatic double sequence with finitely many *g*'s in each row, then

$$
\mathcal{N}(A_i, 10) = \vec{v}^T D_1 D_0 D_1 D_0 \vec{e}_i,
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{F}(A_i, 10) = \vec{v}^T (D_1 D_0 D_1 E_{-1} + D_1 D_0 E_0 E_1 + D_1 E_{-1} E_1^2 + E_0 E_1^3) \vec{e}_i
$$
  
=  $\vec{v}^T D_1 D_0^2 E_1 \vec{e}_i + \vec{v}^T D_0 E_1^3 \vec{e}_i$ .

Using Theorem 2 one can prove that the number of  $g$ 's in the first  $N$  rows of  $A$  is "approximately"  $N^{\log_q R}$ , where  $R=R(E_{q-1})$  is the spectral radius of  $E_{q-1}$ . More precisely, there are constants  $C, r > 0$  such that for large enough  $N$ ,

$$
CN^{\log_q R} < \mathcal{F}(A, N) < (\log_q N)^r N^{\log_q R}.
$$

In particular, the average number of *g*'s in those rows is "approximately"  $N^{\log_q R-1}$ . It is interesting to compare this average with the number of *g*'s in a *typical row n*. Here, taking a typical *n* with (up to) *k* digits means that the digits  $n_r$  in the expansion  $n = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n_r q^r$ are chosen at random independently uniformly from  $\{0, \ldots, q - 1\}$ . The question is how  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$  behaves for most *n*'s as  $k \to \infty$ . Since choosing the digits  $n_r$  randomly means that the matrices appearing in (1) are random, we are naturally led to study certain random matrix products.

Thus, we assume that the matrices  $(D_{n_r})$  are chosen at random independently uniformly from  $\{D_0, \ldots, D_{q-1}\}$ . By the theorem of Furstenberg and Kesten [\[10\]](#page-12-0) on product of random matrices, the limit

$$
\lambda = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \ln \|D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_1} D_{n_0}\|
$$

exists with probability 1. That is the norm of a typical product  $D_{n_{k-1}} \ldots D_{n_0}$  is approximately  $e^{\lambda k}$ . The limit  $\lambda$  is the *upper Lyapunov exponent* of  $D_0, \ldots, D_{q-1}$ . (For more on random matrix products see, for example, [\[7\].](#page-12-0))

Since the formula for  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$  involves also product by the vectors  $\vec{v}^T$ ,  $\vec{e}_0$ , it may happen that  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$  behaves differently than the above norm. However, in many cases (for example, when each row of *A* contain *g*'s and there exists a word  $n_{k-1} \n\t\dots n_1 n_0$  such that  $D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_1} D_{n_0}$  is a strictly positive matrix), we have

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\#(n \in [0, q^k) : e^{\lambda} - \varepsilon < \sqrt[k]{\mathcal{N}(A, n)} < e^{\lambda} + \varepsilon)}{q^k} = 1
$$

for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . This implies that,

$$
(e^{\lambda}-\varepsilon)^{\log_q n} < \mathcal{N}(A,n) < (e^{\lambda}+\varepsilon)^{\log_q n}
$$

for almost every *n* (i.e., for a set of density 1).

It turns out that in many examples  $e^{\lambda} < R/q$  and thus  $\lambda/\ln(q) < \log_q R - 1$ . (See Examples 5, 7, 8.) Since the number of *g*'s in a typical row  $n < N$  is approximately  $e^{\lambda \log_q N} = N^{\lambda/\ln(q)}$ , this implies that the average number of *g*'s in a row  $n < N$  is much bigger than the number of  $g$ 's in a typical row. The explanation for this difference is that most of the *g*'s are concentrated in a relatively small number of rows ("most of the money belongs to the rich people").

Unfortunately, it is only rarely possible to compute the upper Lyapunov exponent. For example, in [\[20\]](#page-12-0) Lima and Rahibe computed the upper Lyapunov exponent of  $2 \times 2$  matrices *A*, *B*, where  $det(A) = 0$  (see also [\[18\]\)](#page-12-0). In our case, the sum of entries in any column of the matrices  $D_s$  is  $\leqslant q$ , and thus the upper Lyapunov exponent is  $\leqslant \ln q$ . The following theorem characterizes the cases where  $\lambda = \ln q$ . (See Examples 6, 9.)

**Theorem 4.** *The following properties are equivalent*:

- (1)  $\lambda = \ln q$ .
- (2) *The matrices*  $D_0, \ldots, D_{q-1}$  *have a common (row) eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue q*.
- (3) *There exists a set*  $I \subseteq \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$  *of indices such that the sum of entries in each column of each sub-matrix*  $((D_0)_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}, \ldots, ((D_{q-1})_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$  *is q.*

$$
(\mathbf{4})
$$

$$
\overline{\lim}_{N \to \infty} \frac{\#((i, j) \in [0, N) \times [0, N) : A(i, j) = g)}{N^2} > 0.
$$

(5)  $R = a^2$ 

**Remark.** There are interesting examples where the matrices  $D_0, \ldots, D_{q-1}$  commute (for example, when *A* is Pascal's triangle modulo a prime). In those cases the number  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$ depends only on the number  $s_i(n)=s_{i,q}(n)$  of occurrences of each nonzero digit *i* in the base *q* expansion of *n*, and not on the locations of those digits. For example, if  $q=3$  then  $\mathcal{N}(A, 5)=$  $\mathcal{N}(A, 7) = \mathcal{N}(A, 33)$ . Actually, using the Jordan form of  $D_0, \ldots, D_{q-1}$ , one can obtain a much simpler formula for  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$ . (See Examples 5, 8.) In those examples it is possible to compute the upper Lyapunov exponent explicitly. This can be done by triangulating  $D_0, \ldots, D_{q-1}$  simultaneously. If  $\vec{d}_i = (d_i(j))_{j=0}^{m-1}$  is the diagonal in the triangular form of  $D_i$ , then

$$
\lambda = \max_{0 \le j \le m-1} \frac{1}{q} \ln(d_0(j) \cdot d_1(j) \dots d_{q-1}(j)).
$$

**Example 5.** Let us use Theorem 1 to obtain the classical formula for the number of 1's in the *n*th row of Pascal's triangle modulo 2 (cf. [\[12\]\)](#page-12-0). Take *A* as Pascal's triangle modulo 2 [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-6-0), and  $g = 1$ , and calculate  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$ .

It canbe easily observed that

$$
A^{0,0} = A^{1,0} = A^{1,1} = A, \quad A^{0,1} = 0.
$$

(Recall that  $A^{s,t}$  satisfies the same recurrence as  $A$  and thus it is enough to consider the first row of  $A^{s,t}$  in order to determine the whole array.) Thus  $X' = \{A\}$ , and the matrices involved in Theorem 1 are the following  $1 \times 1$  matrices:

$$
D_0 = (1)
$$
,  $D_1 = (2)$ ,  $\vec{v} = (1)$ ,  $\vec{e}_0 = (1)$ .

By Theorem 1, the number of 1's in the *n*th row is  $2^{s_1(n)}$ .

```
\mathbf{1}111\ 0\ 11111
10001
110011
1010101
1\; 1\; 1\; 1\; 1\; 1\; 1\; 1\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} .
```
Fig. 1. Pascal's triangle modulo 2.

1	2
11	22
121	212
1001	2002
11011	22022
121121	212212

Fig. 2. The first rows of  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ .

In this example  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2$ . This implies that in most rows *n* the number of 1's is "approximately"  $\sqrt{n}$ . Employing Theorem 2 we check easily that the average number of 1's in the first *n* rows is, as is well known (cf. [\[5\]\)](#page-12-0), "approximately"  $n^{\log_2 3-1}$ .

In a similar way, taking  $A_0$  as Pascal's triangle modulo 3, we have  $X' = \{A_0, A_1\}$ , where  $A_1 = 2 \cdot A_0$  (Fig. 2).

It canbe easily checked that

$$
A_0^{0,0} = A_0^{1,0} = A_0^{1,1} = A_0^{2,0} = A_0^{2,2} = A_0, \quad A_0^{2,1} = A_1, \quad A_0^{s,t} = 0, \quad s < t,
$$
\n
$$
A_1^{0,0} = A_1^{1,0} = A_1^{1,1} = A_1^{2,0} = A_1^{2,2} = A_1, \quad A_1^{2,1} = A_0, \quad A_1^{s,t} = 0, \quad s < t,
$$

and thus

$$
D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_0, & g = 1 \\ \vec{e}_1, & g = 2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Using Theorem 1, one can show routinely that the number of 1's in the *n*th row is  $2^{s_1(n)-1}(3^{s_2(n)}+1)$ , and similarly the number of 2's is  $2^{s_1(n)-1}(3^{s_2(n)}-1)$  (cf. [\[5\]\)](#page-12-0).

We refer the reader to the proof of Theorem 10 *infra* for the matrices  $D_s$  in the case of Pascal's triangle modulo other primes.

**Example 6.** Let  $A_0(i, j)$  be the second-order DLR over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  generated by the recurrence

$$
A_0(i, j) = A_0(i - 1, j) + A_0(i - 1, j - 1) + A_0(i - 2, j - 1), \quad i \ge 2, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

and the initial conditions given by

$$
B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots, \\ 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots \end{bmatrix}
$$

It can be observed directly that the *n*th row of  $A_0$  consists of *n* consecutive 1's and thus  $\mathcal{N}(A_0, n) = n$ .

In this example  $X' = \{A_0, A_1\}$ , where  $A_1$  is the double array generated by the same recurrence as  $A_0$  and the matrix  $B_1$  of initial conditions given by

$$
B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, \\ 1, 1, 0, 0, \dots \end{bmatrix}
$$

The matrices involved in Theorem 1 are

$$
D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

An easy calculation yields

$$
\vec{v}^T D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_1} D_{n_0} \vec{e}_i = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n_r 2^r,
$$
\n(3)

so that Theorem 1 gives again the result  $\mathcal{N}(A_0, n)=n$ . We note that (3) provides an amusing way of calculating a number by means of matrix products, by giving the base 2 expansion of the number.

In this example  $\lambda = \ln 2$ ,  $R = 4$  and so  $e^{\lambda} = R/q$ .

**Example 7.** Let  $A_0(i, j)$  be the first-order DLR over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  generated by the recurrence

$$
A_0(i, j) = A_0(i - 1, j) + A_0(i - 1, j - 1) + A_0(i - 1, j - 2), \quad i \ge 1, \ j \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

and the initial conditions given by

$$
B_0=1,0,0,\ldots.
$$

Thus, the *n*th row of  $A_0$  consists of the coefficients in  $(1 + x + x^2)^n$  (mod 2).

A routine calculation shows that  $X' = \{A_0, A_1\}$ , where the initial conditions of  $A_1$  are given by

$$
B_1=1, 1, 0, 0, \ldots
$$

The matrices  $D_0$ ,  $D_1$  and the vectors  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{e}_0$  are

$$
D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Here,  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$  is equal to the number of odd coefficients in  $(1+x+x^2)^n$ . Theorem 1 shows that this number is  $\vec{v}^T D_{n_k} \ldots D_{n_1} D_{n_0} \vec{e}_0$ . Thus, for example, there are  $\vec{v}^T D_1 D_0 D_1 D_1 \vec{e}_0 = 15$ odd coefficients in  $(1 + x + x^2)^{11}$ .

The matrices  $D_0$ ,  $D_1$  satisfy the condition in [\[20\].](#page-12-0) Hence we can express the upper Lyapunov exponent as an infinite sum:

$$
\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(\frac{1}{3}(2^{i+2} - (-1)^i))}{2^{i+2}}.
$$

In this case the spectral radius of

$$
E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

is  $R = 1 + \sqrt{5}$ . One can check that  $e^{\lambda} \approx 1.537 < R/2$ .

**Example 8.** Let  $A_0(i, j)$  be the second-order DLR over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  generated by the recurrence

$$
A_0(i, j) = A_0(i - 1, j) + A_0(i - 1, j - 1) + A_0(i - 2, j)
$$
  
+ 
$$
A_0(i - 2, j - 1) + A_0(i - 2, j - 2), \quad i \ge 2, j \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

and the initial conditions which are given by

$$
B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots, \\ 1, 1, 0, 0, \dots \end{bmatrix}
$$

Here,  $X' = \{A_0, A_1, A_2\}$  and

$$
B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \\ 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & \dots \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \\ 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The matrices  $D_0$ ,  $D_1$  and the vectors  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{e}_0$  are

$$
D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Note that  $D_0D_1=D_1D_0$ , which enables us (as in Example 5) to obtain the following simple formula for the number of 1's in the *n*th row:

$$
\mathcal{N}(A_0, n) = \frac{2}{3} (2^{s_1(n)} - (-1)^{s_1(n)}).
$$

Here  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2$ ,  $R = 3$ .

**Example 9.** Let  $A'_0$  be the DLR generated by the same recurrence relation as in Example 8, but this time let the initial conditions be given by

$$
B'_0 = \begin{matrix} 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots, \\ 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \end{matrix}
$$

A simple calculation shows that  $X' = \{A'_0, A'_1, A'_2\}$  where  $A'_1, A'_2$  satisfy the initial conditions

$$
B'_1 = \begin{matrix} 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \\ 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \end{matrix}, \quad B'_2 = \begin{matrix} 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \\ 1, & 1, & 0, & 0, & \dots \\ 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \end{matrix}
$$

respectively, and

$$
D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Using Theorem 4 we have  $\lambda = \ln 2$ , which is bigger than the Lyapunov exponent of the previous example. Thus, the asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{N}(A, n)$  may depend on the initial conditions. (In fact, according to several examples we investigated, this phenomenon seems to occur frequently.)

Finally, as an application of Theorem 1, we give a new proof for the following result of Garfield and Wilf.

**Theorem 10** (*Garfield and Wilf [\[11\]](#page-12-0)*). *Let p be a prime and g a primitive root modulo p. Denote by* N (n, g, p) *the number of g*'*s in the nth row of Pascal*'*s triangle modulo p*. *Define a polynomial sequence*  $(R_n(x))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  *by*  $R_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} N(n, g^i, p)x^i$ . Let  $n = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n_r p^r$ *be an integer expanded in base p*. *Then* Rn(x) *is the remainder of the Euclidean division of the polynomial*  $P(x) = R_{n_0}(x)R_{n_1}(x)...R_{n_{k-1}}(x)$  *by*  $x^{p-1} - 1$ .

## **3. Proofs**

**Lemma 11.** *For all*  $n \ge 0$  *and*  $s \in \{0, ..., q - 1\}$ :

$$
\mathcal{N}(A, qn + s) = \sum_{t=0}^{q-1} \mathcal{N}(A^{s,t}, n).
$$

**Proof.** The lemma follows straightforwardly from the definition of  $A^{s,t}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem 1.** For every  $n \ge 0$  define a row *m*-vector,  $\vec{v}^n = (v_i^n)_{i=0}^{m-1}$ , by  $v_i^n =$  $\mathcal{N}(A_i, n)$  (thus we have  $\vec{v}^0 = \vec{v}^T$ ). Let us prove that  $\vec{v}^{qn+s} = \vec{v}^n D_s$  for every  $n \geq 0$ ,  $s < q$ . Using Lemma 11, the *i*th entry of  $\vec{v}^{qn+s}$  is

$$
v_i^{qn+s} = \mathcal{N}(A_i, qn+s) = \sum_{t=0}^{q-1} \mathcal{N}(A_i^{s,t}, n) = \sum_{t=0}^{q-1} \mathcal{N}(\overline{A_i^{s,t}}, n).
$$

Thus, by the definition of the numbers  $(d_{i,j}^s)$  we obtain

$$
v_i^{qn+s} = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} d_{r,i}^s \cdot \mathcal{N}(A_r, n).
$$

This sum is exactly the *i*th entry in the product  $\vec{v}^n D_s$  and hence we have  $\vec{v}^{qn+s} = \vec{v}^n D_s$ .

Using induction on the length *k* of the expansion  $n = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n_r q^r$ , we conclude that  $\vec{v}^n = \vec{v}^0 D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_1} D_{n_0}$ . In particular,

$$
\mathcal{N}(A_i, n) = v_i^n = \vec{v}^n \vec{e}_i = \vec{v}^T D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_1} D_{n_0} \vec{e}_i.
$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $n \ge 0$  and assume that  $n = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n_r q^r$  where  $k > 0$  and  $0 \le n_i < q$ for  $i \leq k - 1$  (if  $n = 0$  then  $n_i = 0$  for each *i*). Define

$$
N(n) = D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_0},
$$
  
\n
$$
F(n) = \sum \left\{ D_{m_{k-1}} \dots D_{m_0} \middle| \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} m_r q^r < \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n_r q^r \right\}.
$$

It can be easily observed that for any  $n' > 0$  and  $n'' \in \{0, \ldots, q - 1\}$  we have

$$
F(qn' + n'') = F(n') \cdot E_{q-1} + N(n') \cdot E_{n''-1}.
$$
\n(4)

Repeatedly using (4) (and noting that  $F(n_{k-1}) = E_{n_{k-1}-1}$ ) we have

$$
F(n) = F\left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n_r q^r\right) = F\left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-2} n_{r+1} q^r\right) \cdot E_{q-1} + D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_1} E_{n_0 - 1}
$$
  
=  $\dots = E_{n_{k-1}-1} E_{q-1}^{k-1} + D_{n_{k-1}} E_{n_{k-2}-1} E_{q-1}^{k-2} + \dots + D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_1} E_{n_0 - 1}.$ 

The formula for  $\mathcal{F}(A_i, n)$  is obtained from the last equation, observing that  $\mathcal{F}(A_i, n) =$  $\vec{v}^T F(n) \vec{e}_i$ .

Take  $n = q<sup>j</sup>$ . Since  $E_{-1} = 0$ , there is only one nonzero summand in Eq. (2). Thus,

$$
\mathcal{F}(A_i, q^j) = \vec{v}^T E_0 E_{q-1}^j \vec{e}_i = \vec{v}^T D_0 E_{q-1}^j \vec{e}_i.
$$

Theorem 1 implies that  $\vec{v}^T D_0 N(n') \vec{e}_i = \vec{v}^T N(n') \vec{e}_i$  for every n'. Hence,  $\mathcal{F}(A_i, q^j) =$  $\vec{v}^T E^j_{q-1} \vec{e}_i$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem 4.** (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): the proof is similar to the proof that (1) $\Rightarrow$  (2) in [\[21, Theorem 10\].](#page-12-0) (Observing that the opposite of property (4) is that the limit converges to 0.)

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): exactly as in [\[21, Theorem 4\],](#page-12-0) we obtain that there exists a set  $I \subseteq \{0, \ldots,$  $m-1$ } such that the sum of entries in each column of the matrix  $((E_{q-1})_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$  is  $q^2$ . Since the sum of entries in any column of the matrices  $D_0, \ldots, D_{q-1}$  is at most q, this set *I* satisfies the required property.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): let  $\vec{w} = (w_i)_{i=0}^{m-1}$  where,  $w_i = 1$  if  $i \in I$  and  $w_i = 0$  otherwise. Then  $\vec{w}^T$  is a common eigenvector as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): denote the common eigenvector by  $\vec{w}^T$ . Then  $\vec{w}^T D_{n_{k-1}} \dots D_{n_0} = q^k \vec{w}^T$  for any  $n_0, \ldots, n_{k-1} \in \{0, \ldots, q-1\}$ , and thus  $||D_{n_{k-1}} \ldots D_{n_0}|| \geqslant q^k$ , which implies that  $\lambda \geqslant \ln q$ . (1) ⇒ (5): since  $\lambda = \ln q$ , we obtain that for every  $\mu < q$ ,

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\#((n_{k-1},\ldots,n_0)\in\{0,\ldots,q-1\}^k\;:\;\|D_{n_{k-1}}\ldots D_{n_0}\|\geq \mu^k)}{q^k}=1.
$$

Noting that

$$
E_{q-1}^k = \sum \{D_{n_{k-1}} \ldots D_{n_0} \mid 0 \leq n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1} < q\},\,
$$

we conclude that  $||E_{q-1}^k|| = \Omega(q^k \cdot \mu^k)$ . On the other hand, using the Jordan form of  $E_{q-1}$ , we have  $||E_{q-1}^k|| = \tilde{O}(k^{m-1} \cdot R^k)$ . Thus we must have  $R \geq q \cdot \mu$ , and since  $\mu < q$  has been chosen arbitrarily, we have  $R \geqslant q^2$ . Observing that the sum of entries in any column of  $E_{q-1}$ is at most  $q^2$ , we conclude that  $R \leq q^2$ . Thus,  $R = q^2$ .

**Proof of Theorem 10.** Let *A* denote the DLR corresponding to Pascal's triangle modulo *p*. It can be observed that in this case  $X' = \{aA \mid 0 < a < p\}$ . Enumerate the elements of X' by  $X' = \{A_0, \ldots, A_{p-2}\}\$  where  $A_i = g^i A$ . One can easily observe that  $A_j^{s,t} = A_i$  if and only if  $A_{j+1}^{s,t} = A_{i+1}$ , where the indices are taken modulo  $p-1$ , and thus  $d_{i,j}^s = d_{i+1,j+1}^s$ . Moreover, using the definition of  $D_s$ , we conclude that  $d_{i,0}^s = N(s, g^i, p)$ . Those two facts imply that  $D_s = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} N(s, g^i, p) C^i$ , where  $C = (C_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{p-2}$  is the permutation matrix given by

$$
C_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & i \equiv j+1 \pmod{p-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

In other words,  $D_s = R_s(C)$  for each  $s < p$ .

By Theorem 1, we have

$$
N(n, gi, p) = \mathcal{N}_{gi}(A_0, n) = \tilde{e}_i^T R_{n_{k-1}}(C) \dots R_{n_1}(C) R_{n_0}(C) \tilde{e}_0 = \tilde{e}_i^T P(C) \tilde{e}_0.
$$

Note that the definition of  $R_n(x)$  implies that  $\vec{e}_i^T R_n(C) \vec{e}_0 = N(n, g^i, p)$  as well, and thus we must have  $P(C) = R_n(C)$ . Since the minimal polynomial of the matrix *C* is  $x^{p-1} - 1$ , we obtain

 $P(x) \equiv R_n(x) \pmod{x^{p-1}-1}$ .

Observing that  $Deg(R_n(x)) < p-1$ , we conclude that  $R_n(x)$  is the remainder of  $P(x)$  upon division by  $x^{p-1} - 1$ .  $\Box$ 

#### **Acknowledgements**

The manuscript was completed during the author's postdoctoral fellowship at the Erwin Schrödinger Institute, Vienna, supported by the FWF Project P16004-N05. The author is very thankful to Daniel Berend for introduction to the subject and valuable discussions, to Avinoam Braverman for a significant contribution in the proof of Theorem 1 and to Yuval Peres, Jean-Paul Allouche and the anonymous referees for their very useful suggestions and comments on the paper.

#### **References**

[1] J.-P. Allouche, V. Berthé, Triangle de Pascal, complexité et automates, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 4 (1997) 1–23.

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[2] J.-P. Allouche, F.v. Haeseler, H.-O. Peitgen, A. Petersen, G. Skordev, Automaticity of double sequences generated by one-dimensional linear cellular automata, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 188 (1997) 195–209.
- [3] J.-P.Allouche, J. Shallit,Automatic Sequences: Theory,Applications, Generalizations, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [4] L. Arnold, V. Wihstutz, Lyapunov exponents: a survey, Lyapunov exponents (Bremen, 1984), pp. 1–26, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1186, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [5] D. Barbolosi, P.J. Grabner, Distribution des coefficients multinomiaux et *q*-binomiaux modulo *p*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 7 (1996) 129–135.
- [6] D. Berend, J.E. Harmse, On some arithmetical properties of middle binomial coefficients, Acta Arith. 84 (1998) 31–41.
- [7] P. Bougerol, J. Lacroix, Products of Random Matrices with Applications to Schrödinger Operators, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985.
- [8] K.S. Davis, W.A. Webb, Pascal's triangle modulo 4, Fibonacci Quart. 29 (1991) 79–83.
- [9] N.J. Fine, Binomial coefficients modulo a prime, Amer. Math. Monthly 54 (1947) 589–592.
- [10] H. Furstenberg, H. Kesten, Products of random matrices, Ann. Math. Statist. 31 (1960) 457–469.
- [11] R. Garfield, H.S. Wilf, The distribution of the binomial coefficients modulo *p*, J. Number Theory 41 (1992)  $1 - 5$ .
- [12] J.W.L. Glaisher, On the residue of a binomial-theorem coefficient with respect to a prime modulus, Quart. J. Math. 30 (1899) 150–156.
- [13] J. Goldwasser, W. Klostermeyer, M. Mays, G. Trapp, The density of ones in Pascal's rhombus, Discrete Math. 204 (1999) 231–236.
- [14] A. Granville, Arithmetic properties of binomial coefficients. I. Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers. Organic mathematics (Burnaby, BC, 1995) pp. 253–276, CMS Conference Proceedings, 20, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [15] E. Hexel, H. Sachs, Counting residues modulo a prime in Pascal's triangle, Indian J. Math. 20 (1978) 91–105.
- [16] J.G. Huard, B.K. Spearman, K.S. Williams, Pascal's triangle (mod 9), Acta Arith. 78 (1997) 331–349.
- [17] J.G. Huard, B.K. Spearman, K.S. Williams, Pascal's triangle (mod 8), European J. Combin. 19 (1998)  $45 - 62$ .
- [18] R. Kenyon, Y. Peres, Intersecting random translates of invariant Cantor sets, Invent. Math. 104 (1991) 601–629.
- [19] N. Kriger, Arithmetical properties of some sequences of binomial coefficients, M. Sc. Thesis, Ben-Gurion University, 2001.
- [20] R. Lima, M. Rahibe, Exact Lyapunov exponent for infinite products of random matrices, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 (1994) 3427–3437.
- [21] Y. Moshe, The density of 0's in recurrence double sequences, J. Number Theory 103 (2003) 109–121.
- [22] A.H. Stein, Binomial coefficients not divisible by a prime, Number Theory (New York, 1985/1988), pp. 170–177, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1383, Springer, Berlin, 1989.