

**MR4673248** 01A70

**Artemov, S. N.; Beklemishev, L. D.; Borkin, L. Ya.; et al.**

**Gregory Samuilovich Tseytin (obituary). (Russian)**

*Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **78** (2023), no. 3(471), 170–176; *translation in Russian Math. Surveys* **78** (2023), no. 3, 555–561.

**MR4660877** 03-03 68-03

**Obituary: Gregory Tseytin.**

*Bull. Eur. Assoc. Theor. Comput. Sci. EATCS* No. 141 (2023), 9–14.

[References]

1. “Concerning the problem of recognizing properties of associative calculi,” *Doklady Akad. Nauk USSR* 107:2 (1956) 209–212 (in Russian)
2. “Associative calculi with undecidable equivalence problems,” *Doklady Akad. Nauk USSR* 107:3 (1956) 370–371 (in Russian)
3. “On the complexity of derivation in propositional calculus,” in “Studies in constructive mathematics and mathematical logic, part 2” (A.O. Slisenko, editor), Consultants Bureau, New York 1970 115–125 MR0241253
4. “Research in constructive calculus (constructive real numbers, pointwise specified functions),” Doctor of Sciences thesis. Leningrad State University 1968 (in Russian)
5. “Reduced form of normal algorithms and a linear acceleration theorem,” *J. Math. Sci.* 1 (1973) 148–153
6. “Lower estimate of the number of steps for an inverting normal algorithm and other similar algorithms,” *J. Math. Sci.* 1 (1973) 154–168
7. “Algol 68. Methods of implementation (G.S. Tseytin, editor), Leningrad State University 1976 224 pages (in Russian)
8. “From logicism to proceduralism (an autobiographical account),” in *Algorithms in modern mathematics and computer science*, Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 122 (1981) 390–396 MR0657627
9. “On the complexity of derivation in propositional calculus,” in “Automation of Reasoning: Classical Papers on Computational Logic 1967–1970,” (J.H. Siekmann and G. Wrightson, editors), Springer 1983 466–483 MR0699280
10. “Is mathematics part of computer science?” *Computer-based tools in education* no. 5 (1999) 3–7 (in Russian)
11. “Tracing individual public transport customers from an anonymous transaction database” (with M. Hofmann, M. O’Mahony, D. Lyons), *Journal of Public Transportation — University of South Florida* 9:4 (2006) 47–60

*Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.*

**MR1714799 (2000h:03058)** 03B70 68N19

**Tseytin, Gregory S.** (RS-STPT-IM)

**A formalization of reasoning not derived from standard predicate logic.**

**(English. English summary)**

Logical foundations of computer science (Yaroslavl, 1997).

*Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **224** (1999), no. 1-2, 291–317.

The aim of this paper is to present a definition of a formal reasoning system called object-oriented logic (OOL), and to show its utility by its application on some examples. The essential feature of OOL is that general rules are represented by the same type of network-like structures as are individual facts with some small additional information. Except for that information, the OOL rules look exactly like the facts that can be derived from them. The question of validity in the OOL framework is formally irrelevant because the OOL system has no axioms, and any conclusion deemed invalid can be blamed on the premises. Since there is no obvious mapping of OOL rules into an established logical system, any comparison to other systems is difficult, although comparison is discussed.

The paper contains no mathematical results regarding the proposed OOL system since the author considers it more important to refine the OOL definitions within various applications. However, an interesting example presents a natural mapping of Prolog into OOL, suggesting that OOL can represent arithmetic and recursive functions. An experimental implementation and some programming techniques included in an extended version of the present paper can be seen at the address: <http://www.math.spbu.ru/~tseytin/ARTICLE.ps.gz>. *Neculai Curteanu*

**MR1611440** 68T30

**Tseytin, G. S.** (RS-STPT)

★ **Association nets: an alternative formalization of common thinking.** **(English. English summary)**

*Logical foundations of computer science (Yaroslavl, 1997)*, 385–398, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, 1234, Springer, Berlin, 1997.

**MR0950854 (89f:00038)** 00A25 00A69

**Tseytin, G. S.**

**The relationship between mathematical and ordinary thought.**

*Soviet J. Comput. Systems Sci.* **26** (1988), no. 1, 163–166; translated from *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Tekhn. Kibernet.* **1987**, no. 2, 193–196, 224 (*Russian*).

Mathematical thought, in the sense of mathematical reasoning, is contrasted with ordinary thought. The author suggests that neither is derived from, nor attempts to model, the other. He sees mathematical thought not as completely objective, but as depending on reproducibility. He claims that ordinary thought is richer in its diversity of subtle distinctions which mathematics treats as equivalent, but that the application of mathematical methods to other sciences serves as an index for a certain level of maturity of that science, though without the presence of a suitable base in the science, introduction of mathematical apparatus reduces to science-like but useless exercises.

It seems that the author is attacking some unspecified and (to the reviewer) unknown philosophical position or trend in nonmathematical disciplines. A good deal may be lost in the translation of what is a very succinct article. *John H. Mason*

MR0758758 (86c:01058) 01A70 00A25

Cejtin, Grigorij S.

**From logicism to proceduralism (an autobiographical account). (Czech)**

Translated from the Russian by Zdeněk Renc.

*Pokroky Mat. Fyz. Astronom.* **29** (1984), no. 3, 155–164.

The English original of this article has been reviewed [*Algorithms in modern mathematics and computer science* (Urgench, 1979), 390–396, Springer, Berlin, 1981; MR0657627].

MR0657627 (84h:00016) 00A25 01A70 03A05

Tseytin, G. S.

★**From logicism to proceduralism (an autobiographical account).**

*Algorithms in modern mathematics and computer science* (Urgench, 1979), pp.

390–396, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, 122, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.

The author describes his conversion from viewing mathematical knowledge as consisting of statements about mathematical structures to viewing it as knowledge of algorithmic procedures, as a result of his experiences with theoretical and applied computer science.

MR0469688 (57 9469) 02B05

Ceitin, G. S.; Čubarjan, A. A.

**Some estimates of the lengths of logical inferences in the classical propositional calculus. (English. Russian summary)**

*Trudy Vyčisl. Centra Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR i Erevan. Gos. Univ. Mat. Voprosy Kibernet. i Vyčisl. Tehn.* **8** (1975), 57–64.

The authors consider two versions of propositional calculus,  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ . The former is defined by ten axiom schemes and three rules of inference; the latter by ten axioms (particular instances of the above schemes) and the same three rules of inference plus the rule of substitution.  $L^i(\phi)$  denotes the length of the shortest deduction of a (provable) formula  $\phi$  in  $\Sigma_i$ , and  $\check{S}^{i,j}(n) = \max_{L^i(\phi) \leq n} L^j(\phi)$  (“ $\check{S}$ ” is for “Shannon”). Theorem 1:  $\check{S}^{1,2}(n) \leq 2n + 22$ . Theorem 2:  $2^{n/2}/4 \leq \check{S}^{2,1}(n) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{n/2}/\sqrt{2}$  for  $n > 0$ .

These results were announced in an earlier paper by the authors [Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR Dokl. **55** (1972), 10–12; MR0360189].

*Yu. V. Matiyasevich*

MR0439600 (55 12486) 02F10 68A05

Dang Zuǐ Ruan; Ceitin, G. S.

**An upper bound of finite automaton complexity for a certain class of generating schemes with the operations of complementation and intersection. (Russian. English summary)**

*Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **40** (1974), 14–23, 155.

The authors introduce a notion of a generating scheme as a generalization of the notion of a regular expression, namely, the operations of set-theoretic intersection and complement are accepted and concatenations actions of these operations are controlled by a directed multigraph. First the author establishes bounds for the minimal number of states of a finite automaton accepted by the set represented by a generating scheme in terms of the complexity of the generating scheme [the first author, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **213** (1973), 26–29].

For a class of special generating schemes an upper bound of the type  $2^{2^n}$  was stated by him [op. cit.]. In the present paper this upper bound is reduced to  $\psi(n)$ , where  $\psi(n)$  is the number of monotone  $n$ -ary Boolean functions.

{For the entire collection see MR0345791.}

*Max I. Kanovich*

MR0433937 (55 6907) 68A05

Tseitin, G. S.

★Some features of a language for a proof-checking programming system.

*International Symposium on Theoretical Programming (Novosibirsk, 1972)*, pp. 394–407, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, Vol. 5, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1974.

From the author's introduction: "One of the things we need in order to use theorem-proving techniques in actual programming (and not only in illustrations) is a language that would enable a programmer to state his reasoning about the program in a convenient form that easily matches his way of thinking. In this paper an approach to creating such a language is suggested and some features of it are discussed. Thus the main attention is given to making statements about programs rather than to proving them."

{For the entire collection see MR0411218.}

MR0464640 (57 4566) 68A05

Tseitin, G. S.

★Features of natural languages in programming languages.

*Logic, methodology and philosophy of science, IV (Proc. Fourth Internat. Congress, Bucharest, 1971)*, pp. 215–222, *Stud. Logic Found. Math.*, Vol. 74, North-Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1973.

This paper begins with the observation that the state of programming language technology has changed primarily by dint of a natural desire to make programming languages more convenient for human users. The author correctly observes that in this process features of natural languages have cropped up in programming language design. The point of this discursive article is to argue that even when the borrowing of natural language features is not an immediate goal in programming language design, "deeper features of natural languages are reproduced in the programming language...".

There is a major confusion over what constitutes a language feature (in paragraph 2, for example, a grammar is listed as a feature). In the author's subsequent discussion of ALGOL 60 and ALGOL 68 as vehicles for demonstrating the ineffable appearance of natural language features, there are a number of ill-founded or incorrect analogies drawn to natural linguistic phenomena. The superficiality of the treatment of linguistic phenomena is evident in the following quote which appears towards the end of the paper: "Similarly, when we assign a meaning... to a value or a word, we do not expect that the affect of this assignment will be valid until the next assignment to the same symbol is made; it is expected to be valid neither forever or within a specified portion of text...". Referential opacity is a counterexample.

The author closes with the hope that the deliberate imitation of some "deep features" of natural languages will show a way to create ever more powerful programming languages.

{For the entire collection see MR0434692.}

*Richard A. DeMillo*

MR0360189 (50 12639) 02B05 02D99

Ceitin, G. S.; Čubarjan, A. A.

**Certain estimates of the lengths of logical deductions in classical propositional calculus. (Russian. Armenian summary)**

*Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR Dokl.* **55** (1972), 10–12.

The authors consider two systems of propositional calculi. The first is  $\Sigma_1$ , which has ten axiom-schemas and modus ponens and the rule of syllogism as the rules of proof.  $\Sigma_2$  is obtained from  $\Sigma_1$  by changing the schemas into axioms (by substituting propositional variables for metavariables), and adding the substitution rule. If  $W$  is the proof of the formula  $F$ , let  $L(W)$  be the length of  $W$  and  $L^i(F) = \min\{L(W): W \text{ is a proof of } F \text{ in } \Sigma_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . One can define the following two functions (called relative Shannon functions):  $\text{Sh}^{i,j}n = \max\{L^j(F): L^i(F) \leq n\}$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ . The following inequalities hold: (i)  $\text{Sh}^{1,2}(n) \leq 2n + 22$ , (ii)  $2^{n/2-2} \leq \text{Sh}^{2,1}(n) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{(n-1)/2}$ . The authors outline proofs of (i) and the left inequality of (ii). *J. Waszkiewicz*

MR0340004 (49 4761) 02EXX

★**Problems in the constructive trend in mathematics. V.**

Edited by V. P. Orevkov and N. A. Šanin.

Cover-to-cover translation by Elliott Mendelson of *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* **113** (1970).

Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, No. 113 (1970).

*American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, 1972. iii+287 pp. \$22.10.

Table of Contents: N. K. Kosovskii, Some questions in the constructive theory of normed Boolean algebras [*Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* **113** (1970), 3–38; MR0288792] (pp. 1–41); B. A. Kušner, Some mass problems connected with the integration of constructive functions [*ibid.* **113** (1970), 39–72; MR0289729] (pp. 42–83); A. O. Slisenko, Some questions on the approximation of maximal regulators of continuity [*ibid.* **113** (1970), 73–78; MR0302826] (pp. 84–90); R. I. Freidzon, A characterization of the complexity of recursive predicates [*ibid.* **113** (1970), 79–101; MR0289311] (pp. 91–117); G. S. Ceitin, On upper bounds of recursively enumerable sets of constructive real numbers [*ibid.* **113** (1970), 102–172; MR0321710] (pp. 119–194); V. A. Šurygin, Constructive sets with equality and their mappings [*ibid.* **113** (1970), 173–259; MR0290964] (pp. 195–287).

MR0321637 (48 4) 00A10

★**American Mathematical Society Translations, Series 2. Vol. 100: Fourteen papers on logic, geometry, topology and algebra.**

*American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, 1972. iv+316 pp.

This volume contains translations from the Russian of the following articles: G. E. Minc, On predicate and operator variants of the formation of theories of constructive mathematics [MR0194333] (pp. 1–68); V. P. Orevkov, On constructive mappings of a disk into itself [MR0205844] (pp. 69–100); V. P. Orevkov, Certain questions of the theory of polynomials with constructive real coefficients [MR0202597] (pp. 101–133); A. O. Slisenko, On certain algorithmic problems connected with arithmetic operations over duplexes [MR0202598] (pp. 135–183); A. O. Slisenko, Example of a nondiscontinuous but not continuous constructive operator in a metric space [MR0195730] (pp. 184–194); A. O. Slisenko, On constructive non-separable spaces [MR0195731] (pp. 195–199); G. S. Ceitin, Three theorems on constructive functions [MR0205843] (pp. 201–209); A. L. Verner, Polyhedral angles with one-to-one spherical representation [MR0199767] (pp. 211–242); I. F. Donin, On deformations of holomorphic vector bundles over the Riemann sphere [MR0208624] (pp. 234–251); A. V. Černavskii, Finite-to-one open mappings of manifolds [MR0172256] (pp. 253–267); A. V. Černavskii, Addendum to the paper “Finite-to-one open mappings of manifolds” [MR0220254] (pp. 269–270); Ju.

Ju. Trohimčuk, Continuous mappings of domains in euclidean space [MR0161313] (pp. 271–291); B. M. Šaiñ, Restrictive bisemigroups [MR0174647] (pp. 293–307); B. M. Šaiñ, Restrictive bisemigroups of mappings [MR0210804] (pp. 308–316).

**MR0321636 (48 3)** 00A10 02-06

★**American Mathematical Society Translations, Series 2. Vol. 99: Five papers on logic and foundations.**

*American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1972. iii+275 pp. \$14.00.*

This volume contains translations from the Russian of the following articles:

Table of Contents: G. S. Ceitin, A method of presenting the theory of algorithms and enumerable sets [MR0205842] (pp. 1–39); N. N. Vorob'ev, A constructive calculus of statements with strong negation [MR0191817] (pp. 40–82); A. V. Idel'son, Calculi of constructive logic with subordinate variables [MR0195687] (pp. 83–227); I. D. Zaslavskiĭ and G. S. Ceitin, Concerning a generalized principle of constructive selection [MR0200156] (pp. 228–232); N. A. Šanin, Concerning the constructive interpretation of auxiliary formulas. I [MR0218236] (pp. 233–275).

**MR0321635 (48 2)** 00A10 02-06

★**American Mathematical Society Translations, Series 2. Vol. 98: Five papers on logic and foundations.**

*American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1971. iii+288 pp. \$14.60.*

This volume contains translations from the Russian of the following articles:

Table of Contents: A. A. Markov, On constructive mathematics [MR0153564] (pp. 1–9); G. S. Ceitin, Mean value theorems in constructive analysis [MR0152427] (pp. 11–40); I. D. Zaslavskiĭ and G. S. Ceitin, On singular coverings and properties of constructive functions connected with them [MR0152428] (pp. 41–89); S. Ju. Maslov, Certain properties of E. L. Post's apparatus of canonical calculi [MR0204284] (pp. 91–161); I. D. Zaslavskiĭ, Graph schemes with memory [MR0205763] (pp. 163–288).

**MR0297932 (45 6984)** 26A03 02E99

**Zaslavskiĭ, I. D.; Ceitin, G. S.**

**Yet another constructive variant of the Cauchy theorem. (Russian. English summary)**

*Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **20** (1971), 36–39, 282–283.

Soient  $f$  et  $g$  deux fonctions réelles constructives définies respectivement sur les segments  $[x_1, x_2]$ ,  $[y_1, y_2]$ . Soient  $\alpha_1 = \min(f(x_1), f(x_2))$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \max(f(x_1), f(x_2))$ ,  $\beta_1 = \min(g(y_1), g(y_2))$  et  $\beta_2 = \max(g(y_1), g(y_2))$ . On démontre que si les intervalles  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ,  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$  ont des points communs alors on peut construire  $x$  dans  $[x_1, x_2]$  et  $y$  dans  $[y_1, y_2]$  tels que  $f(x) = g(y)$ .  
*R. Cristescu*

**MR0297489 (45 6545)** 68A30

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**An algorithm for simplified syntactic analysis. (Russian)**

*Problemy Kibernet. No. 24* (1971), 227–242.

The objective of syntactic analysis, according to the author, is to determine for each word in a sentence which of its homonyms is appropriate for that sentence and whether the word is subordinate to any other word in the sentence (and, if so, to which). As a mathematical model for syntactic analysis suitable for computer implementation, the concept of admissible syntactic analysis, defined by a system of logical conditions on the homonyms and on the disposition of the words in the sentence, is introduced. In the present instance the conditions consist of the general projectivity conditions (in the sense of Fitialov) and special connectivity properties. An algorithm written in ALGOL 68 for reducing the analysis of a sentence to that of shorter strings is presented.

**MR0289307 (44 6498)** 02.80 94.00

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**A lower bound of the number of steps for a reversing normal algorithm and for other analogous algorithms. (Russian. English summary)**

*Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **20** (1971), 243–262, 289.

Der Autor beschreibt eine neue Methode zur Beurteilung der Rechenzeit eines Markovschen Algorithmus und beweist einen grundlegenden Satz über Zeitabschätzungen bei Markovschen Algorithmen. Wendet man einen Markovschen Algorithmus  $\mathfrak{A}$  auf ein beliebiges Wort  $PQ$  aus seinem Definitionsbereich an, so hängt jedes Endwort (und jedes Anfangswort) eines jeden Wortes der Abarbeitungsfolge in bestimmter Weise von  $P$  bzw.  $Q$  ab. Der Autor betrachtet Wahrscheinlichkeitsfelder auf endlichen Wortmengen und führt auf dieser Grundlage ein Maß für jene Abhängigkeit ein. Er nennt es Informationsmenge über  $P$  (bzw. über  $Q$ ), die im betrachteten Endwort (bzw. Anfangswort) enthalten ist. Das genaue Studium dieser Informationsmengen ist grundlegend für den Beweis des folgenden, äußerst interessanten und sehr tief liegenden allgemeinen Zusammenhanges ( $t_{\mathfrak{A}}$  bedeutet die Abarbeitungszeit von  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $|P|$  bedeutet die Länge des Wortes  $P$ ;  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $\tilde{Q}$ ,  $\tilde{K}$  und  $\tilde{L}$  sind zufällige Wörter über einem Wahrscheinlichkeitsfeld auf einer endlichen Wortmenge): Ist die Wahrscheinlichkeit des Ereignisses “ $\mathfrak{A}(\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}) = \tilde{K}\tilde{L} \wedge t_{\mathfrak{A}}(\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}) + |\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}| \leq t_0$ ” nicht kleiner als  $p_0$ , so muß  $t_0$  größer als eine bestimmte, von  $p_0$ ,  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $\tilde{Q}$ ,  $\tilde{L}$ ,  $\tilde{K}$  abhängige Zahl sein. (Eine genauere Formulierung dieses Satzes würde zu umfangreiche definitorische Vorbereitungen erfordern.) Als Anwendung ergibt sich die folgende Übertragung eines von Barsdin für Turingmaschinen bewiesenen Sachverhalts auf den Fall Markovscher Algorithmen: Jeder Markovsche Algorithmus, der die Wortinversion realisiert, arbeitet mindestens mit quadratischer Zeit. *G. Wechsung*

MR0289306 (44 6497) 02.80

Ceĭtin, G. S.

**A reduced form of normal algorithms and the theorem on linear acceleration.  
(Russian. English summary)**

*Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **20** (1971), 234–242, 289.

Der Autor beweist das folgende interessante Resultat, über das er bereits 1956 auf der 3. Sowjetischen Mathematikertagung berichtet hat. Es sei  $\mathfrak{M}$  ein Markovscher Algorithmus. Durch Einführung von Hilfsbuchstaben (operatives Alphabet) kann ein zu  $\mathfrak{M}$  äquivalenter Markovscher Algorithmus  $\mathfrak{N}$  konstruiert werden, der folgende Eigenschaften hat: (1) Ist  $\mathfrak{M}$  auf das Wort  $p$  anwendbar, so enthält jedes Wort der Abarbeitungsfolge, die bei Anwendung von  $\mathfrak{N}$  auf  $p$  entsteht, mit Ausnahme des ersten und (evtl.) letzten Wortes genau einen Hilfsbuchstaben, und jeder Substitutionsschritt besteht darin, daß eine gewisse Umgebung dieses Hilfsbuchstaben verändert wird. (2) Bezeichnen  $t_{\mathfrak{N}}(p)$  und  $t_{\mathfrak{M}}(p)$  die Rechenzeiten der Algorithmen  $\mathfrak{N}$  und  $\mathfrak{M}$  bei Anwendung auf  $p$  und  $|p|$  die Länge des Wortes  $p$ , so gibt es Konstanten  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  mit  $t_{\mathfrak{N}}(p) \leq c_1 t_{\mathfrak{M}}(p) + c_2 |p| + c_3$ . Ferner gilt: Zu jedem  $\varepsilon > 0$  existiert ein zu  $\mathfrak{M}$  äquivalenter Markovscher Algorithmus  $\mathfrak{N}$  mit der Eigenschaft (1), für den die Zeitabschätzung  $t_{\mathfrak{N}}(p) \leq \varepsilon(t_{\mathfrak{M}}(p) + |p|) + 2$  richtig ist. *G. Wechsung*



**MR0288025 (44 5223)** 02.72

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**A pseudofundamental sequence that is not equivalent to a monotone one.**

(Russian. English summary)

*Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **20** (1971), 263–271, 290.

Eine berechenbare Folge  $\varphi$  rationaler Zahlen heißt Pseudofundamentalfolge, wenn gilt

$$\forall m \neg \neg \exists n \forall k \forall l (k > n \wedge l > n \rightarrow |\varphi(k) - \varphi(l)| < 2^{-m}).$$

Zwei berechenbare Folgen  $\varphi$  und  $\psi$  heißen äquivalent, wenn gilt  $\forall m \neg \neg \exists n \forall l (l > n \rightarrow |\varphi(l) - \psi(l)| < 2^{-m})$ . Unter Benützung des Satzes von Friedberg und Mučnik, der die Existenz unvergleichbarer nichtrekursiver rekursiv aufzählbarer Turinggrade sichert, wird bewiesen, daßes Pseudofundamentalfolgen gibt, die im angegebenen Sinne zu keiner monotonen berechenbaren Folge äquivalent sind. Für diesen Sachverhalt wird ein zweiter Beweis angegeben, der den Satz von Friedberg und Mučnik nicht benützt.

*G. Wechsung*

**MR0321710 (48 77)** 02F25 26A03

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**The upper bounds of enumerable sets of constructive real numbers. (Russian)**

*Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **113** (1970), 102–172. (*errata insert*).

The author studies bounded recursively enumerable sets  $M$  of rational numbers that do not have constructive least upper bounds (Specker's example) and for which there is a lowering algorithm that assigns to each upper bound of the set a smaller upper bound (Zaslavskii's example). Conditions are found for the existence of a least upper bound for  $M$  and for the existence of a lowering algorithm. Among other things, it is shown that there is a bounded r.e. set  $M$  that has no constructive least upper bound and for which there exists no lowering algorithm. An essential pre-requisite for the reader is a knowledge of the terminology and results of the author's earlier paper [same Trudy **72** (1964), 69–98; MR0205842; for a translation see MR0321636 above]. *E. Mendelson*

**MR0309710 (46 8817)** 02EXX 00A10

★**Studies in constructive mathematics and mathematical logic, Part II.**

Edited by A. O. Slisenko.

Translated from the Russian.

Seminars in Mathematics, V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute, Leningrad, Vol. 8.

*Consultants Bureau, New York-London*, 1970. viii+136 pp. \$20.00.

Cover-to-cover translation of Volume 8 of *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*.

Table of Contents: G. V. Davydov, Some remarks on proof search in the predicate calculus [MR0277355] (pp. 1–6); O. Demuth, The Lebesgue integral and the concept of function measurability in constructive analysis [MR0240256] (pp. 7–10); O. Demuth, The relation between the Riemann integrability and Lebesgue integrability of constructive functions [MR0239018] (pp. 11–12); A. G. Dragalin, The computability of primitive recursive terms of finite type and primitive recursive realizability [MR0274280] (pp. 13–18); A. G. Dragalin, Word operator algorithms [MR0242675] (pp. 19–21); M. M. Kipnis, The constructive classification of arithmetic predicated and the semantic bases of arithmetic [MR0276088] (pp. 22–27); N. K. Kosovskii, A system of operators simplifying the theory of combination of  $K$ -algorithms [MR0272629] (pp. 28–35); N. K. Kosovskii, The construction of basic operators of the theory of combination of  $K$ -algorithms from operators of simple types [MR0272630] (pp. 36–42); B. A. Kushner [B. A. Kušner], Some

examples of quasi-dense but not dense sets of duplexes [MR0302426] (pp. 43–46); B. A. Kushner [B. A. Kušner], Remarks on the domain of definition of constructive functions [MR0290967] (pp. 47–48); B. A. Kushner [B. A. Kušner] and G. S. Tseitin [G. S. Ceitin], Some properties of  $F$ -numbers [MR0238697] (pp. 49–55); V. A. Lifshits [V. A. Lifšic], Constructive analytic functions of one real variable [MR0281612] (pp. 56–60); Yu. V. Matiyasevich [Ju. V. Matijasevič], The connection between Hilbert’s tenth problem and systems of equations between words and lengths [MR0246772] (pp. 61–67); Yu. V. Matiyasevich [Ju. V. Matijasevič], Two reductions of Hilbert’s tenth problem [MR0246773] (pp. 68–74); Yu. V. Matiyasevich [Ju. V. Matijasevič], Arithmetic representations of powers [MR0238698] (pp. 75–78); G. E. Mints [G. E. Minc], Cut-free calculi of the S5 type (pp. 79–82); G. E. Mints [G. E. Minc], Implicative complexity of axiomatic systems [MR0277354] (pp. 83–85); G. E. Mints [G. E. Minc], Disjunctive interpretation of the  $LJ$  calculus [MR0280336] (pp. 86–89); G. E. Mints [G. E. Minc], Admissible and derived rules [MR0278900] (pp. 90–91); G. E. Mints [G. E. Minc], The independence of the postulates of natural calculi [MR0277357] (pp. 92–94); V. P. Orevkov, Glivenko classes of sequents [MR0270892] (pp. 95–97); V. P. Orevkov, Two undecidable classes of formulas in classical predicate calculus [MR0270893] (pp. 98–102); R. A. Plyushkevichus [R. A. Pljuškjavičjus], Kanger’s variant of predicate calculus with symbols for functions that are not everywhere defined [MR0292642] (pp. 103–109); R. I. Freidzon, A definition of recursive-predicate complexity which does not depend on the standardization of the concept of algorithm [MR0272623] (pp. 110–114); G. S. Tseitin [G. S. Ceitin], On the complexity of derivation in propositional calculus [MR0280378] (pp. 115–125); G. S. Tseitin [G. S. Ceitin], The disjunctive rank of formulas in constructive arithmetic [MR0277385] (pp. 126–132); V. A. Shurygin [V. A. Šurygin], Complete constructive sets with equality and some of their properties [MR0252227] (pp. 133–135); Errata for Seminars in Mathematics, Vol. 4: Studies in constructive mathematics and mathematical logic, Part I [see MR0241253] (p. 136).

{The translation of Part I has been reviewed [MR0241253].}

**MR0285357 (44 2577)** 02.23 26.00

**Zaslavskii, I. D.; Ceitin, G. S.**

**A criterion of the rectifiability of constructive plane curves. (Russian. English, Armenian summary)**

*Izv. Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR Ser. Mat.* **5** (1970), no. 5, 434–440.

The first author proved [same *Izv.* **2** (1967), no. 2, 69–82; MR0216953] that in constructive mathematics for a plane curve  $x = K_1(t)$ ,  $y = K_2(t)$  to be rectifiable, it is necessary that  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be of bounded variation, but that this condition is not sufficient. Here it is proved that it is sufficient that every linear combination  $uK_1 + vK_2$  is of bounded variation. In this case the length of the curve between  $a$  and  $b$  is given by  $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi h(\varphi) d\varphi$ , where  $h(\varphi)$  is the total variation of  $K_1 \cos \varphi + K_2 \sin \varphi$  between  $a$  and  $b$ . This formula is also remarkable from the classical point of view.

**MR0327464 (48 5806)** 02-06

**Corrections to the collection “Investigations in constructive mathematics and mathematical logic, II”. (Russian)**

*Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **16** (1969), 185–187.

This article contains corrections to the following articles: G. V. Davydov, same *Zap.* **8** (1968), 8–20 [MR0277355]; A. G. Dragalin, *ibid.* **8** (1968), 46–52 [MR0242675]; N. K. Kosovskii, *ibid.* **8** (1968), 66–79 [MR0272629]; N. K. Kosovskii, *ibid.* **8** (1968), 80–94 [MR0272630]; V. A. Lifšič, *ibid.* **8** (1968), 121–131 [MR0281612]; R. A. Pljuškevičius [R. A. Pljuškjavičjus], *ibid.* **8** (1968), 221–224 [MR0292642]; R. I. Freidzon, *ibid.* **8** (1968), 225–233 [MR0272623]; G. S. Ceitin, *ibid.* **8** (1968), 234–259 [MR0280378].

**MR0327463 (48 5805)** 02-06

**Corrections to the collection “Investigations in constructive mathematics and mathematical logic, I, II.”. (Russian)**

*Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **8** (1968), *inside back cover*.

This article contains corrections to the following articles: O. Demuth, same *Zap.* **4** (1967), 30–43 [MR0253899]; N. K. Kosovskii, *ibid.* **4** (1967), 44–57 [MR0239975]; V. A. Lifšič, *ibid.* **4** (1967), 58–64 [MR0237293]; V. A. Lifšič, *ibid.* **4** (1967), 69–77 [MR0237314]; S. Ju. Maslov, *ibid.* **4** (1967), 96–111 [MR0245428]; R. A. Pljuškevičius [R. A. Pljuškjavičjus], *ibid.* **4** (1967), 174–188 [MR0237295]; M. G. Rogava, *ibid.* **4** (1967), 189–200 [MR0239939]; R. I. Freidzon, *ibid.* **4** (1967), 209–218 [MR0238703]; A. G. Dragalin, *ibid.* **8** (1968), 32–45 [MR0274280]; G. S. Ceitin, *ibid.* **8** (1968), 234–259 [MR0280378].

**MR0280378 (43 6098)** 02.80 94.00

**Ceitin, G. S.**

**The complexity of a deduction in the propositional predicate calculus. (Russian)**

*Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **8** (1968), 234–259.

The author deals with the question of minimal complexity of a deduction of the given formula in classical propositional calculus and proves that for different versions of propositional calculus these estimates may vary essentially. He considers the version of classical propositional calculus  $\mathfrak{A}$  based on the resolution principle [see J. A. Robinson, *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.* **12** (1965), 23–41; MR0170494].

The parameters characterizing the complexity of a deduction in calculus  $\mathfrak{A}$  are introduced, and the interconnection between these parameters is defined. Further, the author gives a way of establishing the connection between these parameters and characteristics of deductions in propositional calculus in a sequential form.

In the final part of the article he considers estimates for systems of disjunctions, which are compared with connected non-oriented graphs, and gives estimates for concrete graphs.

*M. Rogava*

**MR0277385 (43 3118)** 02.72

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**The disjunctive rank of the formulas of constructive arithmetic. (Russian)**

*Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **8** (1968), 260–271.

In the work under review a negative answer is given to the following question of M. M. Kipnis [same *Zap.* **8** (1968), 53–65; MR0276088]: Is the alphabet  $C = \{\forall, \&, \vee, \supset, \neg\}$  a semantical basis for arithmetic, i.e., can we find for any arithmetical predicate an arithmetical predicate equivalent to it whose logical symbols belong to  $C$ ? Moreover, it is proved that disjunctions with a large number of members are “irreplaceable” in a certain sense.

The disjunctive rank of a formula of constructive logical-arithmetical calculus is defined by recursion on the logical length in the following way:  $\delta(A) = 1$  if  $A$  is an elementary formula  $\delta(\neg A) = 1$ ;  $\delta(A \vee B) = \delta(A) + \delta(B)$ ;  $\delta(A \& B) = \max(\delta(A), \delta(B))$ ;  $\delta(A \supset B) = \delta(B)$ ;  $\delta(\forall x A) = \delta(A)$ ;  $\delta(\exists x A) = \infty$ . Theorem: For every  $r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ) one can construct a formula of disjunctive rank  $r$  that is not equivalent to any formula of lower disjunctive rank.

The desired formulas are: for  $r = \infty$ , the formula  $\exists y \neg U(x, x) = y$ , and, for  $r < \infty$ , the formula

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{r-1} (\neg U(x, x) = i),$$

where  $U$  is the universal function for one-place partial-recursive functions. Finally the author points out a new class of formulas, which are realizable but not deducible, in intuitionistic formal systems. *M. Rogava*

**MR0238697 (39 61)** 02.72

**Kušner, B. A.; Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**Some properties of  $F$ -numbers. (Russian)**

*Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **8** (1968), 107–120.

The authors prove the following results about the constructive real numbers called  $F$ -numbers and  $F R$ -numbers by N. A. Šanin [Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **67** (1962), 15–294; MR0156786]. Theorem 1 (Ceĭtin): If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an algorithm mapping each  $F$ -number into an  $F R$ -number, then one can construct an  $F$ -number which is a fixed point of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Theorem 2 (Kušner): There is no algorithm transforming the transcription of each fundamental sequence of  $F$ -numbers into an  $F$ -number to which the sequence converges. Theorem 3 (Kušner): One can construct an algorithm transforming every word of the form  $\{\lambda; \square; \delta\}$ , where  $\lambda$  is a sequence of  $F$ -numbers and  $\delta$  is a regulator of convergence of  $\lambda$ , into an  $F$ -number to which  $\lambda$  converges. *E. Mendelson*

**MR0232677 (38 1000)** 02.72

**Tseitin, G. S.; Zaslavsky, I. D.; Shanin, N. A.**

★**Peculiarities of constructive mathematical analysis.**

*Proc. Internat. Congr. Math. (Moscow, 1966)*, pp. 253–261, Izdat. “Mir”, Moscow, 1968.

The constructive direction in mathematics (CDM) (as this school of thought calls itself), to which the authors have copiously contributed, has developed a variant of analysis that differs not only from classical analysis but also from other constructive attempts at doing analysis—above all in the stringency of its demands for rigor. {The full story of this school is told in Volumes 42, 52, 67, and 72 of Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. with a most formidable apparatus of esoteric conventions and notations.}

The present survey succinctly, but very readably, summarizes the characteristic assumptions, procedures, and results of CDM in a way that the layman can understand

and appreciate. In fact, the emphasis on the essentials, and the precise reference to the locus classicus of each major result should make the paper valuable to the specialist, too.

“... in general these differences between classic and constructive analysis usually appear in problems remote from concrete computation. The nearer we come to concrete computational problems the less are the differences.... In some cases the difference... warns us that the classic theorem suggests an incorrect formulation of the computational problem, i.e., an algorithm with the properties suggested by the classic formulation proves to be impossible.... On the other hand, because of unrestricted use of potential realizability this approach is inadequate for problems of computation within given time and given memory capacity. This is of course a subject of special theories and perhaps also of some kind of ‘hyper-constructive’ analysis still to be created.”

{This article is also available in American Mathematical Society Translations, Ser. 2, Vol. 70, pp. 16–25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968 [see MR0225620].}

**MR0250941 (40 4172)** 05.65 94.00

**Fitialov, S. Ya.; Tseitin, G. S.**

**Estimates of the number of syntactical structures with various restrictions.**

*Cybernetics* **2** (1966), no. 6, 68–76 (1969); translated from *Kibernetika (Kiev)* **1966** no. 6, 85–95 (*Russian*).

Let  $E$  be a linearly ordered set. The paper defines a  $D$ -tree to be a tree whose nodes are elements of  $E$ . A  $D$ -tree is projective if the set of nodes of the tree is a segment of  $E$ . Let  $S$  be a collection of subsets of  $E$  with the two properties (1)  $S$  contains  $E$  and all single elements of  $E$ , (2) if two sets in  $S$  intersect, then one is contained in the other. A  $P$ -tree is a tree whose nodes are elements of  $S$  with  $E$  as the root and single element subsets as pendant nodes. Node  $X$  is a direct descendant of  $Y$  if  $X \subset Y$  and if for no  $Z$  in  $S$  is  $X \subset Z \subset Y$ . A  $P$ -tree is continuous if each  $X \in S$  is an interval in  $E$ .

Asymptotic formulas are obtained for the number of trees with  $n$  nodes. For arbitrary  $D$ -trees and  $p$ -trees the formulas are  $n^{n-1}$  and a constant times  $(kn)^n/n$ ,  $k \approx 0.95$ , respectively. For projective  $D$ -trees and continuous  $P$ -trees, the formulas have the asymptotic form of a constant times  $R^n/n^{3/2}$ , where  $R$  equals 6.75 for  $D$ -trees and approximately 5.83 for  $P$ -trees. Width, depth and degree of nesting are defined. (Depth as used in the paper is not the length of the longest path.) Applying restrictions on width, depth or degree of nesting results in formulas of the form a constant times  $n^a R_m^7$ , where  $m$  is the value of the restricted quantity and  $a$  and  $R_m > 1$  are constants.

**MR0205843 (34 5669)** 02.72

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**Three theorems on constructive functions. (Russian)**

*Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **72** (1964), 537–543.

The paper belongs to constructive mathematics in the sense of Markov. (1) Definition of a constructive function of a real variable, which is defined at 0, but in no neighbourhood of 0. Such a function was first defined by Muĉnik (unpublished); here a simpler example is given by a construction analogous to one used by R. M. Friedberg [*C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **247** (1958), 852–854; MR0099919]. (2) Every constructive function of a real variable is the limit of a constructive sequence of polygonal functions with rational vertices. (3) Let  $f$  and  $g$  be constructive functions of a real variable whose domains have a common point and such that  $f(x) < g(x)$  for every  $x$  where both are defined. There is a pseudo-polygonal function  $\varphi$  such that  $f(x) < \varphi(x) < g(x)$  for every  $x$  where  $f$  and  $g$  are defined. (A function is called pseudo-polygonal if it is the union of a sequence of compatible polygonal functions, each defined on an interval.)

**MR0205842 (34 5668)** 02.70

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**A method of presenting the theory of algorithms and enumerable sets. (Russian)**

*Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **72** (1964), 69–98.

Recursively enumerable sets are defined in such a way that the resulting theory is, according to the author, more convenient for various theoretical applications (for example, for the verification in constructive analysis that certain processes can be carried out by normal algorithms). Given a basic finite alphabet  $A$ , define  $\mathbf{O}$  to be  $\{\Lambda\}$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the empty word, and define, for any letter  $\xi$ , the relation  $\mathbf{R}_\xi$  to be the set of all pairs of words in  $A$  of the form  $(P, P\xi)$ . The recursively enumerable relations are those relations obtainable from  $\mathbf{O}$  and the  $\mathbf{R}_\xi$  by (i) finite unions and intersections, (ii) permutations of two components, (iii) projections, (iv) geometric products (i.e., from  $(X_1, \dots, X_m) \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in \mathcal{B}$ , derive  $(X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ ), and (v) transitive closure  $*$  (i.e., given a relation  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $2m$ -tuples of words, take the closure  $\mathcal{A}^*$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  under the rule which, from  $(X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_m) \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_m, Z_1, \dots, Z_m) \in \mathcal{A}$ , yields  $(X_1, \dots, X_m, Z_1, \dots, Z_m) \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ). All notions are interpreted in a constructive way. Computable operators on sets are also introduced and briefly studied. *E. Mendelson*

**MR0200156 (34 55)** 02.72

**Zaslavskiĭ, I. D.; Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**Concerning a generalized principle of constructive selection. (Russian)**

*Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **72** (1964), 344–347.

Given, a logico-mathematical language  $\mathcal{L}$  and a basic set  $A$  in this language, in the sense of N. A. Šanin [same *Trudy* **52** (1958), 226–311; MR0101189]. Extend  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathcal{L}'$  by adding restricted individual variables ranging over the set of words in  $A$ . The set  $A$  is said to be compatible with the principle of constructive selection (briefly, compatible) if every formula of  $\mathcal{L}'$  of the form

$$\tilde{\forall}(\forall\alpha(\mathfrak{A} \vee \neg\mathfrak{A}) \supset (\neg\neg\exists\alpha\mathfrak{A} \supset \exists\alpha\mathfrak{A})),$$

where  $\alpha$  is one of the new variables, is constructively true. A set  $B$  is called infinite if there is no finite sequence containing all elements of  $B$ . It is proved that every infinite compatible set is recursively enumerable, and hence that every non-recursively enumerable set is not compatible. But it is also shown that it is not true (in the constructive sense) that every compatible set is recursively enumerable. *E. Mendelson*

**MR0152428 (27 2408)** 02.72

**Zaslavskiĭ, I. D.; Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**Singular coverings and properties of constructive functions connected with them. (Russian)**

*Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **67** (1962), 458–502.

Die vorliegende Arbeit geht aus von der Tatsache, daßin der konstruktiven Analysis von A. A. Markov [dieselben *Trudy* **52** (1958), 315–348; MR0098676], Šanin [ibid. **67** (1962), 15–294] und Zaslavskiĭ [ibid. **67** (1962), 385–457; MR0153555] der Überdeckungssatz von Borel nicht gilt, d.h. man kann zu jedem konstruktiven Intervall eine konstruktive Überdeckungsfolge von Intervallen angeben, so daßkeine endliche Teilmenge dieser Folge das gegebene Intervall überdeckt. Es wird zunächst gezeigt, daßman sogar eine Überdeckungsfolge finden kann, bei der die Summe der Längen einer beliebigen endlichen Teilmenge von Intervallen kleiner als eine beliebig vorgegebene positive Zahl ist. Überdeckungen dieser Art werden singular genannt. Mit ihrer Hilfe werden eine Reihe von Beispielen für konstruktive Funktionen mit interessanten Eigenschaften konstruiert. So wird unter anderem folgendes bewiesen: (1) Es können zwei

auf einem Intervall monotone konstruktive Funktionen realisiert werden, deren Differenz keine Funktion beschränkter Variation (im Sinne der konstruktiven Analysis) ist (ein ähnliches Resultat wurde von Lacombe [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **244** (1957), 838–840; *ibid.* **244** (1957), 996–997; MR0088456] formuliert, wobei jedoch ein anderer Begriff von konstruktiver Funktion verwendet wurde). (2) Man kann eine konstruktive Funktion mit beschränkten Variationssummen angeben, die nicht gleichmäßig stetig ist. (3) Es läßt sich eine gleichmäßig stetige konstruktive Funktion mit beschränkten Variationssummen realisieren, die sich (im Sinne der konstruktiven Mathematik) nicht als Differenz zweier monotoner Funktionen darstellen läßt. (4) Es läßt sich eine konstruktive Funktion realisieren, die auf keinem Intervall gleichmäßig stetig ist. (Es sei hier bemerkt, daß die gleichmäßig stetigen konstruktiven Funktionen der vorliegenden Theorie im wesentlichen mit den konstruktiven Funktionen von Goodstein [Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **52** (1950), 81–106; MR0040250] äquivalent sind.) (5) Es läßt sich eine konstruktive Funktion angeben, die bezüglich keines Integrals, das gewisse Axiome erfüllt, integrierbar ist (insbesondere ist diese Funktion nicht Riemann-integrierbar und hat keine Stammfunktion). G. Asser

**MR0152427 (27 2407)** 02.72

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**Mean-value theorems in constructive analysis. (Russian)**

*Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **67** (1962), 362–384.

The author considers constructive versions of the following five theorems of classical real analysis: (1) every sequence of nested intervals contains a point; (2) a continuous function which takes values of both signs in an interval has a zero there; (3) a continuous function takes in an interval every value between its values at the end points; (4) Rolle's theorem; (5) the first mean-value theorem. For the notion of constructive real number used see the preceding review [MR0152426]; it is important to remember that it is a computably convergent sequence of rationals together with its modulus of convergence. He shows that all of these are false in the sense that there is no algorithm for constructing a point satisfying the conditions from the given data (i.e., in (1) from the sequence of intervals, in (2) the function and the end points of the interval, in (3) the given value (i.e., constructive version false even with a suitable fixed function and end points), in (4) the given function, (5) the end points of the segment). However, none of them can be refuted by counter-examples for they are true in the sense that a point (constructive real number) satisfying the conditions does exist in each case; indeed, in each case a computably convergent sequence of rationals corresponding to the point can be constructed, but not the corresponding modulus of convergence. Full proofs of these and many subsidiary results are given.

**MR0152426 (27 2406)** 02.72

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**Algorithmic operators in constructive metric spaces. (Russian)**

*Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **67** (1962), 295–361.

This paper consists of extremely detailed proofs of theorems whose proofs were very briefly indicated in the author's earlier paper [Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **128** (1959), 49–52; MR0115910]. There they were stated in the language of partial recursive functions, but here the treatment uses Markov algorithms throughout. The basic theorem is on the constructive uniform continuity of constructive mappings between constructive metric spaces and is applied to Theorems 1 and 2 on the relation between effective operations on general recursive functions and partial recursive functionals, and to Theorems 3 and 4 on the continuity and approximability by polygons of constructive functions of computable

real numbers. Theorem 2 implies the result proved independently by Kreisel, Lacombe and Shoenfield [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **245** (1957), 399–402; MR0088457] that every effective operation which sends general recursive functions (GRF) into GRF coincides on the set of GRF with some partial recursive functional; the basic theorem is essentially a generalisation of Theorem 2. Theorem 3 strengthens the theorem of Markov [Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **52** (1958), 315–348, § 5.5.1; MR0098676].

The computable real numbers are here introduced as (computable) duplexes: an algorithm  $B$  of type  $(N \rightarrow N)$  (i.e., sending words which stand for natural numbers into words of the same form) is called a modulus of convergence for an operator  $A$  of type  $(N \rightarrow R)$  ( $R =$  rational numbers) if  $|A(n_2) - A(n_3)| < 2^{-n_1}$  for all  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  such that  $n_2, n_3 \geq B(n_1)$ ; a duplex is either a rational number or a word of the form  $H \square H_1$ , where  $H$  is a word for an algorithm  $A$  of type  $(N \rightarrow R)$  and  $H_1$  a word for an algorithm  $B$  of type  $(N \rightarrow N)$  which is a modulus of convergence for  $A$ . A constructive metric space is a set  $\mathbf{A}$  of words on an alphabet  $A$  (not containing the sign  $\square$ ) and an algorithm  $\rho$  such that (1) for any pair of elements  $P, Q$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  the algorithm  $\rho$  is applicable to the word  $P \square Q$  and  $\rho(P \square Q)$  is a duplex; (2) for all  $P$  of  $\mathbf{A}$   $\rho(P \square P) = 0$ ; (3) for all  $P, Q, R$  of  $\mathbf{A}$   $\rho(P \square Q) \leq \rho(P \square R) + \rho(Q \square R)$ . Two elements  $P, Q$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  are called equivalent if their distance  $\rho(P \square Q) = 0$ . A sphere of  $\mathbf{A}$  is a word of the form  $P \square n$  where  $P \in \mathbf{A}$ ; a point  $Q$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to belong to this sphere if  $\rho(Q \square P) < 2^{-n}$ . A constructive sequence of elements of  $\mathbf{A}$  is an algorithm  $\phi$  sending every natural number into an element of  $\mathbf{A}$ ; it is called regularly convergent if for all  $m, n$  with  $m \leq n$ ,  $\rho(\phi(m) \square \phi(n)) < 2^{-m}$ .  $\mathbf{A}$  is called complete if there exists an algorithm  $\gamma$  such that, for every regularly convergent sequence  $\phi$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  and for all  $m, \gamma$  sends the word for  $\phi$  into an element of  $\mathbf{A}$  within distance  $2^{-m}$  of  $\phi(m)$ .  $\mathbf{A}$  is called separable if there is a constructive sequence  $\beta$  of elements of  $\mathbf{A}$  and an algorithm  $\alpha$  which sends each sphere  $S$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  into a natural number  $\alpha(S)$  such that  $\beta(\alpha(S)) \in S$ . An algorithmic operator from a constructive metric space  $\mathbf{A}$  to another  $\mathbf{B}$  is an algorithm  $\Psi$  such that (1) for all  $P$  in  $\mathbf{A}$  if  $\Psi(P)$  is defined and  $\in \mathbf{B}$ ; (2) for all  $P, Q$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  if  $P, Q$  are equivalent in  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\Psi(P)$  is defined,  $\Psi(Q)$  is defined and equivalent to  $\Psi(P)$  in  $\mathbf{B}$ .

Basic theorem: Let  $\Psi$  be an algorithmic operator from a complete separable constructive metric space  $\mathbf{A}$  to a constructive metric space  $\mathbf{B}$ . Then one can construct algorithms  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  such that (1) for all  $m, n$  if  $\sigma(m \square n)$  is defined, it is a sphere of  $\mathbf{A}$ ; (2) if  $\tau(m \square n)$  is defined and  $\in \mathbf{B}$ ; (3) for all  $m, n$  and  $P \in \mathbf{A}$ , if  $\sigma(m \square n)$  is defined,  $P \in \sigma(m \square n)$  and  $\Psi(P)$  is defined, then  $\tau(m \square n)$  is defined and is within distance  $2^{-m}$  of  $\Psi(P)$ , (4) for all  $P \in \mathbf{A}$  and all  $m$  if  $\Psi(P)$  is defined there exists  $n$  such that  $\sigma(m \square n)$  is defined and  $P \in \sigma(m \square n)$ . (In other words, there is for each  $m$  a recursively enumerable set of spheres which cover the domain of definition of  $\Psi$  each of which is mapped into a sphere of radius  $2^{-m}$ .)

Theorem 1: For every effective operation  $\Psi$  sending  $\text{GRF}_1$  (general recursive one-place functions) into natural numbers there exists a partial recursive functional  $F$  such that if  $\{k\}$  is GR and  $\Psi(k) = m$ , then  $F(\{k\}) = m$ . Theorem 2: For every effective operation  $\Psi$  sending  $\text{GRF}_1$  into PRF there exists a partial recursive functional  $F$  such that if  $\{k\}$  is GR and  $\{\psi(k)\}(m) = n$ , then  $F(\{k\})(m) = n$ . The author remarks that Muchnik has pointed out to him {and Friedberg [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **247** (1958), 852–854; MR0099919] has published a counter-example} that these can not be strengthened to have “if and only if” in the conclusions, which answers negatively a question of Myhill and the reviewer [Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math. **1** (1955), 310–317; MR0077475]. Theorems 1, 2 have also been given by Kreisel, Lacombe and Shoenfield [Constructivity in Math. (Proc. Colloq. Amsterdam, 1957), pp. 290–297, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1959; MR0108443; Summer Institute for Symbolic Logic (Cornell Univ., Ithaca, N.Y., 1957), Summaries, pp. 364–365, Inst. for Defense Analyses, Princeton, N.J., 1960]. In



comparing the two versions note that although Ceitin does not insist that  $\Psi$  be defined for all  $k$  such that  $\{k\}$  is a  $\text{GRF}_1$ , his definition of effective functional (operator) ensures that  $\psi(k) \simeq \psi(l)$  ( $\{\psi(k)\}(m) \simeq \{\psi(l)\}(m)$ ) for all  $k, l$  such that  $\{k\}, \{l\}$  are equal  $\text{GRF}_1$ , and the proofs of KLS go through under these conditions. Theorem 3: For each constructive function  $\Psi$  (arguments and values constructive real numbers, i.e., duplexes) there exists an algorithm  $\omega$  such that, for arbitrary  $x, m$ , if  $\Psi(x)$  is defined, then  $\omega(x \square m)$  is defined and is a natural number such that for all  $y$  if  $|y - x| \leq 2^{-\omega(x \square m)}$  and  $\Psi(y)$  is defined, then  $|\Psi(y) - \Psi(x)| \leq 2^{-m}$ . Theorem 4: If  $\Psi$  is a constructive function and  $\Psi(x)$  is defined for at least one  $x$ , then for arbitrary  $m$  one can construct a pseudopolygonal function  $\Psi^*$  (a sort of constructive limit of polygonal functions) such that for all  $x$  if  $\Psi(x)$  is defined, then  $\Psi^*(x)$  is defined and  $|\Psi(x) - \Psi^*(x)| < 2^{-m}$ .

**MR0115910 (22 6708)** 02.00

**Ceitin, G. S.**

**Algorithmic operators in constructive complete separable metric spaces.**

**(Russian)**

*Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **128** (1959), 49–52.

Following Šanin the author gives constructive (i.e., recursive) definitions of metric space, of completeness and separability for such spaces and of mapping (algorithmic operator) from one such space to another. His main theorem is a constructive continuity theorem for a constructive mapping  $\psi$  of a constructive complete separable metric space  $A$  into a constructive metric space  $B$ , viz., there exists a recursively enumerable set of pairs  $A_{d,n}, B_{d,n}$  of spherical neighbourhoods of  $A, B$  such that  $B_{d,n}$  is of radius  $2^{-d}$  and that if  $X \in A_{d,n}$  and  $\psi(X)$  is defined then  $\psi(X) \in B_{d,n}$ ; furthermore, for each  $d$  and  $X$  for which  $\psi(X)$  is defined an  $n$  can be found such that  $X \in A_{d,n}$ . Using this theorem he proves that an effective operation mapping the set of general recursive functions into a set of partial recursive functions is (weakly) equal to a partial recursive functional. He quotes Muchnik as having shown that this is not true for strong equality. (This has been proved independently by Kreisel, Lacombe and Shoenfield [*Constructivity in mathematics* (Colloq., Amsterdam, 1957), pp. 290–297, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1959; MR0108443; p. 294] who quote Friedberg [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **247** (1958), 852–854; MR0099919] for the counter example in the case of strong equality.) He then derives a theorem on the approximability of constructive functions of constructive real numbers by pseudopolygonal functions, from which he draws the corollary that such a function  $F$  is continuous in the sense that if  $X$  is a constructive real number and  $F(X)$  is defined, then for each  $n$  an  $m$  can be found such that  $|F(Y) - F(X)| < 2^{-n}$  for all constructive  $Y$  for which  $|Y - X| < 2^{-m}$  and  $F(Y)$  is defined. This is a strengthening of theorems of Markov (and Lacombe). The present paper is said to generalise results of the author in Trudy 3 Vsesoyuzn. Mat. S'ezda (Moscow, 1956) Tom 1, pp. 188–189, Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1956 [see MR0100540], not available to the reviewer.

**MR0099922 (20 6358)** 02.00 20.00

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**An associative calculus with an insoluble problem of equivalence. (Russian)**

*Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **52** (1958), 172–189.

The word problem for groups can be immediately reduced to that for semigroups with defining relations of the form  $A \rightarrow$ . Thus, by Novikov's theorem, the latter is recursively unsolvable. (The author remarks that it would be interesting to have a direct proof of this result.) In its turn, this problem is reduced to the word problem in the semigroup with generators  $a, b, c, d, e$  under defining relations  $ac \leftrightarrow ca, ad \leftrightarrow da, bc \leftrightarrow cb, bd \leftrightarrow db, eca \leftrightarrow ce, edb \leftrightarrow de, cca \leftrightarrow ccae$ ; consequently, this problem is recursively unsolvable. By an analogous method it is proved that for the semi-group with generators  $a, b, c, d, e$  and as defining relations the first six above with  $cdca \leftrightarrow cdcae, caaa \leftrightarrow aaa, daaa \leftrightarrow aaa$  the problem of equivalence with  $aaa$  is recursively unsolvable.

**MR0081858 (18,456g)** 02.0X

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**On the problem of recognition of properties of associative calculuses. (Russian)**

*Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* **107** (1956), 209–212.

This is a continuation of Markov's book [*Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **42** (1954); MR0077473]. Let  $I$  be a property of associative calculuses. The recognition problem for  $I$  is that of finding a uniform constructive process for testing whether a given calculus has the property  $I$  or not. This uniform process is taken to be a fixed normal algorithm which is always applicable to a word describing (in a certain technical sense) any such calculus  $A$ ; when so applied to the description of  $A$ , the algorithm terminates in the null word if  $A$  has the property  $I$ , and in a non-null word otherwise. Let us call  $I$  a  $J_p$ -property just when a)  $I$  is invariant of isomorphism, b) there exists a calculus  $A$  which is not isomorphic to a part of any calculus having the property  $I$ , and c) there exists a calculus  $B$  in an alphabet with  $p$  letters which has the property  $I$ . The author proves two theorems: 1) If  $I$  is a  $J_p$ -property its recognition problem is unsolvable in any alphabet having at least  $p + 2$  letters; 2) there exists a  $J_p$ -property whose recognition problem is solvable in any alphabet with not more than  $p + 1$  letters. This sharpens somewhat a theorem at the close of Markov's book.

*H. B. Curry*

**MR0079546 (18,103c)** 02.0X

**Ceĭtin, G. S.**

**Associative calculus with insoluble equivalence problem. (Russian)**

*Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* **107** (1956), 370–371.

An associative system with an unsolvable word problem having a relatively very moderate number of defining relations is given. This is the following system  $Z$ : the alphabet consists of five letters  $(a, b, c, d, e)$  and the seven defining relations are  $ac \leftrightarrow ca$ ,  $ad \leftrightarrow da$ ,  $bc \leftrightarrow cb$ ,  $bd \leftrightarrow db$ ,  $abac \leftrightarrow abace$ ,  $eca \leftrightarrow ae$ ,  $edb \leftrightarrow be$ . An associative system is called to be of special kind if all the defining relations have an empty right hand side. The word problem for every system of special kind can be reduced to that of the system  $Z$ . [The unsolvability of the word problem for systems of special kind follows for instance from the results of P. S. Novikov concerning the unsolvability of the word problem for groups [see *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **44** (1955); MR0075197]. Construct the system  $Z$  with the same alphabet as  $Z$  adding to the seven relations above the two new relations  $ccca \leftrightarrow ccc$ ,  $cccb \leftrightarrow ccc$ . In this system the problem  $X \leftrightarrow ccc$  is undecidable. No details of the proofs are given.