

## QUEBEC AND THE FRENCH EXPOSED...UGLY DISCOVERIES...WHAT IS THEIR AGENDA?

**WARNING: The images on these pages are disturbing and should be seen by adults only.**



### Fleurdelisé

The images on these pages are taken from the Fleurdelisé, histoire du Quebec en BD. The history book was discovered by English parents in an elementary school in Quebec in the spring of 2003. Every child in the class had a copy of it, and it was being treated by their French teacher as their official history book. The entire book is in comic strip form. It contains shocking depictions of Canadian Aboriginal people and English Canadians and is narrated by a cartoon character set out in the image of the Quebec flag, the Fleurdelisé. The Fleurdelisé is 81 pages long and is published by Guerin, a large textbook publisher in Quebec. It won first prize in a competition sponsored by the St. Jean the Baptist Society. If you want to see the book, it can be purchased in Montreal at their bookstore, 4051 rue Drolet. The Fleurdelisé was first published in 1996, AFTER THE LAST (1995) SEPARATION REFERENDUM. Only a few images of the book


have been copied here, for information purposes only. You are urged to purchase a complete copy of the book.

Many people have asked how widely the Fleurdelisé is distributed in the Quebec school system. For many years the French schools in Quebec have had a policy that has required the parents to purchase textbooks from a list supplied by teachers each year. The books are then owned by the students and are taken home at the end of the year. As a result it is not known how many copies of the Fleurdelisé or other books like it are sitting in Quebec homes....forever. It is also unknown whether Charest (premier of Quebec) changed this policy, but even if he has, it is important to note that his government has shown significant intolerance towards English people, notably, when it fired two Quebec English nurses from an English based hospital in January, 2005, stating that their French was not good enough. (for complete story: Intolerant in Quebec Nurses bear brunt of Liberal notwithstanding hypocrisy <http://www.vigile.net/05-2/langue.html>)

LOOKING AT THESE IMAGES CAN LEAD ONE TO WONDER....ARE ENGLISH PEOPLE SAFE IN THE HANDS OF QUEBEC FRENCH PARAMEDICS, NURSES, DOCTORS? ARE ENGLISH SCHOOL CHILDREN WHO GO ON SCHOOL TRIPS IN QUEBEC SAFE? ARE THEY TEACHING HATE IN QUEBEC SCHOOLS?


**Are English people in Quebec racially discriminated against? Is this racism institutionalized?** After looking at the images of the Fleurdelise, one is left with a lot of questions. Rumors have been circulating for years that racism against English people in Quebec is rampant. There are disturbing statistics in Quebec which MIGHT reflect terrible racial discrimination against English speaking people. The statistics were discovered on an official Quebec web site regarding an action plan. The action plan is called "Diversity in the Quebec Public Service". The link has been changed at least once, so if you cannot trace this link, try to get a copy of it from the government. [http://www.tresor.gouv.qc.ca/en/publications/ress\\_humaine/emplois/egalite/plan\\_action\\_diversite\\_en.pdf](http://www.tresor.gouv.qc.ca/en/publications/ress_humaine/emplois/egalite/plan_action_diversite_en.pdf)

The action plan website reports on the status of English (Anglophone) people in the Quebec provincial service, and the statistics are shocking to the uninformed in the rest of Canada. For example, **less than ONE PERCENT of the Anglophone (English) employees of the Quebec civil service which employs about 55,000 (as of 2002) people are Anglophones** (which translates to less than 400 English employees). The numbers are equally troubling for the other groups reported on, but especially troubling for the so-called Anglophones (English) people who may form the largest minority group, (8 to 10 percent of the population) and are therefore possibly facing the most discrimination. Below is one excerpt from the action plan, which breaks down the number of civil service employees by minority group and gender.



- "Members of cultural communities: 611 women (46%) and 717 men (54%) for a total of 1,328 individuals. This number represents 2.3% of public service personnel."
- "Native people: 95 women (49% and 100 men (51%) for a total of 195 individuals. This number represents 0.4% of public service personnel."
- "Anglophones: 181 women (46%) and 213 men (54%) for a total of 394 individuals. This number represents 0.7% of public service personnel."

In fact, not even one of the images on the web site shows a photo of a person with a British Isle last name, (Anglo Saxon, Irish, Scottish, Welsh, etc) but are of other cultural groups who speak English as a first language and are not visible minorities. This leaves one to wonder if there are any people of British Isle ancestry who have any jobs in the government in Quebec. This is in spite of the fact that they are passing the rigorous entry exams (4160 people from the Anglophone, native, and cultural communities in 2001/02 alone).



It appears the discrimination has been going on for a long time in Quebec. Another Quebec government website indicates that the current action plan is not the first. There have been several dating back to the 1980's as can be seen in the quote from the web site, leading one to wonder whether these so-called action plans are nothing more than a smoke-screen, intended to silence any potential protesters. "Employment equity policies intended for members of cultural communities have existed within the public service that is cognizant of the skills, experience and invaluable contribution made by members of cultural communities, Native people and Anglophones. Yet it must be admitted the expected results have not materialized..." <http://www4.bnquebec.ca/pgq/2005/2919358.pdf>

Lack of ability to speak French is not the problem in Quebec. The quote from the following website indicates very clearly that the Anglophones of Quebec are quite bilingual. "One example of this diversity is the level of bilingualism. Among Anglophones (at 63%) it is almost twice as high as among Francophones (at 34%)." [http://www.pco-bcp.gc.ca/aia/default.asp?Language=E&Page=PressRoom&Sub=Speeches&Doc=20021020\\_e.htm](http://www.pco-bcp.gc.ca/aia/default.asp?Language=E&Page=PressRoom&Sub=Speeches&Doc=20021020_e.htm)

For further evidence of potential racial discrimination against English people, you could take the time to visit communities that have large English populations in Quebec such as Gatineau, just across the river from Ottawa. The municipal employment force of Gatineau is apparently over 2000 people (as of 2003). Gatineau has a large population of English people, maybe as high as 20% to 30%, yet not one, not one English person has been found to have a job in the municipal government. The officials in Gatineau have stated that much of their staff is bilingual, always the standard smokescreen with the French. But the reality is they do not appear to hire English people, even if they are bilingual, not in Gatineau or in most municipalities in Quebec controlled by French people...period. Someone needs to go into every single town/city in Quebec and investigate why so few English people have jobs in French controlled municipalities.

**It Appears that English people are also having trouble getting jobs in Ottawa in the Federal Government.** A reported quote from a Canadians for Language Fairness press release is interesting: "**Recent statistics show that in the National Capital Region, Francophones now make up 41% of all federal jobs which is 17.4% more than their 23.6% national presence. Moreover, since March 2001, an additional 9,096 federal jobs have been designated bilingual and thus the proportion of Francophones can expect to grow significantly.**" Quote originally found here. Contact CLF if you cannot find it. [http://www.languagefairness.ca/Source\\_files/Uploaded/CLF%20Press%20Release%20on%20Nicole%20Turmel's%20Challenge%20\(PSAC\)%20June%202004.htm](http://www.languagefairness.ca/Source_files/Uploaded/CLF%20Press%20Release%20on%20Nicole%20Turmel's%20Challenge%20(PSAC)%20June%202004.htm)



What is not known is whether the problems are more acute for English people of British Isle ancestry and Aboriginal people. Perhaps it is time for someone to investigate whether there is open and deliberate discrimination against these two groups, not only in Quebec, but throughout the federal government.

**Is the French dominated federal bureaucracy now offering jobs only to French (often only from Quebec) people throughout Canada?** Look at the excerpt from this newspaper article where they are recruiting only French people to a federal government office in St. John, New Brunswick. They are not even recruiting bilingual English people to have these jobs. They want only French people.

"The Canada Revenue Agency in Saint John is hoping the \$22,000 it spent on a recruitment video will help it attract more French-



speaking workers to the city. The federal agency says it commissioned 1,000 copies of the five-minute DVD for use at college job fairs because of a shortage of francophones applying for jobs within the Saint John office." NB Telegraph-Journal | News -As published on page A4 on January 13, 2005 and can be found at <http://64.233.161.104/search?q=cache:MRsnNhwurZEJ:fcfa.ca/liens/rp/RP050114.pdf+%22The+Canada+Revenue+Agency+in+Saint+John+is+hoping+the+%2422,000+it+spent+on+a+recruitment+video+will+help+it%22&hl=en>

They apparently want French people to move to St. John so that they can give the jobs to French people instead of English people, and these are federal government jobs! The result is that English people of the city of St. John are completely shut out of the federal job market even if they are bilingual. Read a comment from a local St. John resident about this recruitment drive.

"Revenue Canada is the 2nd largest employer in the city and all those parents who had dreams of their French immersion kids using their functional French in bilingual jobs, are suddenly given a reality check. Saint John is only an hour's drive from Moncton (which has a huge bilingual Francophone workforce), so Acadians are well aware of what Saint John has to offer for French schools and cultural facilities...this recruitment video was not intended to recruit Acadians, it was geared directly towards Quebecers."

**Are Quebec and/or the French plundering Canada?** Rumors are spreading throughout the country that Quebec and the French have been plundering Canada for years. A careful analysis of all of the transfers made by the federal government could reveal the truth.

Perhaps we have not been looking at spending in Canada the right way. We complain about inadequate funding for health care and education, but no one is looking at exactly where the 210 billion dollars the government takes in each year is being spent. Maybe it would be useful to take a good look at where Canada's tax dollars are being spent first,

- on a province by province basis, and then:
- on a linguistic French/English basis

to get a better idea of fiscal imbalances. For starters, let's take a look at two major sources of funds for Quebec: *EQUALIZATION PAYMENTS*, and some of the money it receives in the *TRANSFER PAYMENTS* section of the Federal Governments Financial Statements. *TRANSFER PAYMENTS* includes basically free handouts, (no payback is required), or to use the government's definition "a grant, contribution or other payment made by the Government for which no goods or services are received" in the amount of a whopping 25 billion dollars in 2004/05. These handouts do not include monies spent on health care, E.I., federal salaries, etc., but encompass a huge amount of funds simply handed out to private corporations, associations, as well as some research funds to universities, funds to support official languages to provinces, Aboriginals, etc. The *TRANSFER PAYMENTS* section of the federal financial statements is about 250 pages long in 2004/05. The government only discloses individual payments over \$100,000, so, up to 30 of 40 percent of the 25 billion dollars paid out in this section, is not even itemized (fully disclosed) in the financial statements. Every penny of the *TRANSFER PAYMENTS AND EQUALIZATION PAYMENTS* of the 2004/05 statements was controlled by and spent while Martin was Prime Minister.

*EQUALIZATION PAYMENTS* : We all (hopefully) know about the equalization payments paid out to have-not provinces. In one year alone, 2006/07 Quebec receives \$5,354,000,000 yes over 5 billion dollars, its second highest handout ever; and that with a formula that constantly seems to favor Quebec over all other provinces. Quebec receives almost 50% of total funds (11.3 billion) paid out. <http://>



[www.fin.gc.ca/FEDPROV/eqpe.html](http://www.fin.gc.ca/FEDPROV/eqpe.html) and attached chart

**TRANSFER PAYMENTS** : <http://www.pwgsc.gc.ca/recgen/text/pub-acc-e.html>

(a) Quebec has a whole section dedicated to them from pages 56 to 66. The section is entitled Economic Development Agency of Canada for the Regions of Quebec, and the amounts paid out in this section total 572,707,664. (It appears that there may even be an accounting irregularity here in that the total payout is not fully disclosed at the top of the section as it is with other payments in other sections....An Accountant would be more able to confirm whether this is indeed an irregularity. Is someone trying to make it harder to see the amount going to Quebec?) That's over half a billion dollars on top of the 4.155 billion in equalization payments paid to Quebec in the same year. Let's compare this economic development payment to a couple of other similar programs in other regions of Canada. First, the entire west receives \$266,216,941 (page 239..) under the Western Economic Diversification Program. That's less than half of what Quebec gets under the similar program. The east coast gets \$363,372,218, (page 12..) much less than Quebec, under the Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency. (note regarding the possible accounting irregularity: The government may change the manner in which this section is reported once it gets wind of the public's knowledge of it. If it does it you will have to get your hands on a hard copy of the TRANSFER PAYMENTS as printed before November 1, 2005, to see the original reporting method used for this section.)

(b) In other sections of the TRANSFER PAYMENTS, you might expect the distribution of funds to be more equally spread across

the country. Well.... a look at one section entitled Contribution for the Book publishing industry development program may show otherwise. In this section on page 34 to 36, \$37,802,168 is paid out; of which \$5,516,696 is paid to book associations, including the federal one. Itemized payments totaling \$8,335,795 are not even reported in the financial statements because of the government policy of not reporting individual payments under \$100,000. The bulk of the rest of the money is paid out to companies. Breaking those payments to companies down by province gives the following results:

- Quebec-\$12,303,963 or 43% which is well above its representative population in Canada of 22%, and basically, all the recipients were French, not English,
- Ontario-\$7,202,877,
- BC-\$2,083,863,
- Alberta-\$1,641,072,
- Nova Scotia-\$463,582,
- Newfoundland-\$127,763,
- Manitoba-\$126,557.
- **The territories, Saskatchewan, Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick get nothing** in this section (of the itemized funds disclosed).

These are not one time payments paid out in 2004/05. This stuff has been going on for years! Just try to get anyone on the phone at the Federal Government to give you an itemized copy of the funds spent under \$100,000. Sure, you get a name, but no-one answers the phone....ever....Furthermore, one of the recipients in Quebec was Guerin, the publisher of the shocking Fleurdelise. Guerin received payment in 2004 of \$250,314 and also received similar payments in prior years. Remembering that \$8,335,795 in individual payments is not disclosed, we cannot jump to conclusions, but what we see is troubling enough that someone out there should be taking a closing look at the ENTIRE TRANSFER PAYMENTS...EVERY PENNY.

(c) A look at another area of expenditures by Heritage Canada is just plain disturbing. Out of a whopping \$204,715,357 spent on

Contributions to support the Development of official-language communities program (p 41), less than a pathetic \$1,000,000 appears to have been spent on ALL the English Language Groups in Quebec, including \$300,000 to Alliance Quebec, \$191,150 to the Coasters Association, \$192,980 to the Committee for Anglophone Social Action, \$160,000 to the Community Health and Social Services Network, Montreal, \$236,500 to the Townshippers Association, \$178,100 to the Voice of English Speaking Quebec. Yet, when we look at the moneys paid out to French clubs in English Canada they receive massive funds compared to the English ones anywhere else. For example, the Ottawa chapter of Canadian Parents for French received \$1,115,200. in 2004. Over one million to just one local chapter of one French club. Outrageous, especially when we consider that the English population of Quebec is hanging by a thread!



(d)And how about the payments under the section entitled Canadian Space Agency? (page 197 of TRANSFER PAYMENTS) Out of a total expenditure of \$49,012,280 a whopping \$29,996,759 (over half) was sent to France to some organization called the European Space Agency... What benefit is this expenditure to Canadians? Does it create jobs for Canadians?...oh....maybe they give jobs to French Canadians.....who knows.

(e)Then there is the section entitled Grants in aid of cultural relations (page 80 of the TRANSFER PAYMENTS section. Expenditures here total 10,229,771, of which only \$3,418,240 of the money is itemized to the people who got it. Who knows who got the rest of it. And of that \$3,418,240, the three largest payments went to France, one for \$530,000 to some place called AG Comptable University Caen FR, and \$500,000 to some place called Treasury of Mortagne au Perche Tourouvr France. By comparison the Toronto International Film Festival got a pathetic \$145,000.... Unbelievable!!!!

Anyway, enough said about transfer payments.



Most of us do not know about all of the financial goings on in Quebec. Sure, we all know about the Quebec provincial day care policy in existence for at least 7 years (as of 2005) where everyone pays less than \$10 a day for day care and the rest is paid for by the province. But how about the policy around 2000 funded by the Quebec government that sought a computer put in every Quebec home? How about another one around the same time that gave thousands of dollars to individual homeowners to ensure that every home in Quebec was renovated? And how about the rumors that Quebec seniors get significantly higher pensions than the rest of Canada? Who's funding all this?

Quebec and its social policies! It's easy for Quebec to declare a social policy, state that it is funded by the Quebec government, and then at the end of every year, the Quebec government declares it has no money and demands billions more from Ottawa. Quebec's glorious social programs that no other province in Canada has ever had!!

How about Emploi Quebec? Quebec does not appear to subscribe to the federal E.I. program. Virtually all social funds, employment insurance cheques, etc are paid out by Emploi Quebec, which reportedly gets the bulk of its money from Ottawa. Who's auditing those funds???? Do you know that the E.I. program that helps Canadians to be retrained through the funding 50% (information current to 2001 only) of tuition costs is not the same in Quebec? Apparently, as of 2000/01 Quebec had been funding 100% of the tuition costs, reportedly through Emploi Quebec, which funds appear to flow, for the most part, from the same federal coffers as the rest of Canada (E.I.). (In all fairness to Charest, perhaps he has changed this policy to bring it in line with the rest of Canada). Does this sound right to you? Again, WHO IS AUDITING EMPLOI QUEBEC??? WHO IS AUDITING THE DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL EI (EMPLOYMENT INSURANCE) FUNDS?? And if Day Care funds have been paid out of Quebec's provincial coffers, where is Quebec getting those funds? How much of the day care funding is being funneled already from the Federal coffers, be it funneled to Quebec through equalization payments, Emploi Quebec, or otherwise? And then we have the federal government signing of yet

another deal giving Quebec almost another billion dollars for child care expenses annually (in October, 2005) that Quebec basically negotiated to spend whatever way it pleases. **Someone needs to get a grip on Emploi Quebec and all money going into Quebec as well as Quebec's social policies to investigate just what money it is getting from federal coffers and where it is already spending it's money to see if Quebec is double dipping.** The entire equalization program is intended to level the playing field in the country, not to allow one province to have substantially more social programs than other provinces, as what seems to be happening in Quebec. The money trail into Quebec is so complicated. Does anyone have control of it anymore?



A quick look at education spending in Quebec is also revealing. Apparently Quebec is spending more per student than any other province on education. Quebec \$8,208, Atlantic provinces \$7,118, Ontario \$7,889, Western Provinces \$8,190. Where does the so-called have-not province of Quebec get all the extra money to spend that the rest of Canada does not have??? The statistics are found at [http://www.meq.gouv.qc.ca/stat/indic05/indicateurs\\_index.htm](http://www.meq.gouv.qc.ca/stat/indic05/indicateurs_index.htm) under the title Depense globale d'education par élève. Québec et régions du Canada, en 2003-2003 (en \$) (Babel Fish Translation: Total Depense of education by pupil. Quebec and areas of Canada, in 2003-2003)

And now Ontario alleges a huge, 23 billion dollar ANNUAL shortfall in the money it sends Ottawa (supported by CIBC World Markets, <http://www.newswire.ca/en/releases/archive/April2005/15/c5947.html>). All of Canada, especially Ontario, should be alarmed by this shortfall and should demand to know where every penny of federal tax revenues is being spent.

Well, so there you have it... a look at spending in Canada from a different perspective.....Someone completely independent of government needs examine every penny of the TRANSFER PAYMENTS section of the financial statements and break them down by first a province to province basis and then a linguistic basis to see if the rumors are true....is Quebec and the French plundering Canada? Kind of makes the entire Gomery commission look like a joke doesn't it? There are people who say the whole **Gomery Commission is nothing more than a set up to take people's attention away from things like the problems with the \$25 BILLION DOLLARS in the TRANSFER PAYMENTS section of the financial statements. Read the following comment submitted as the result of an interview of the editor of THE CAPTAIN'S QUARTERS BLOG with Beryl Wajzman, the president of Institute for Public Affairs of Montreal. "...he sees the Gomery Inquiry as a red herring -- a machination that allows Prime Minister Paul Martin to deflect attention from his own peccadilloes."** Just google the above-quoted text and you will see much of the interview quoted all over the Internet.



John Gomery released his initial report on November 1, 2005. What is fascinating is how Gomery found one of the country's key players at that time, Chrétien, responsible, while vindicating the other key player in the country at that time, Martin, who just by coincidence was the same man who put him (Gomery) in charge of the Inquiry. Gomery disclosed little in his report. He knew nothing about the increasingly wild spending of Federal funds in Quebec? He could have mentioned it in his report. But then of course Gomery is himself from Quebec. You have to wonder that if an unbiased person from OUTSIDE Quebec had been appointed to investigate the Sponsorship scandal by someone other than the person who had a vested interest in the results (note-Martin), maybe more would have come out of this investigation?

**IT IS BECOMING INCREASINGLY ILLEGAL TO SPEAK ENGLISH ANYWHERE IN QUEBEC.** The Office Québécois de la langue française, commonly known as the

"Language Police" of Quebec, in 2005 ordered the Town employees of Richmond in Quebec to SPEAK FRENCH AT ALL TIMES. Just plain scary.....

### OLF has gone too far this time: critic

Last updated Jun 9 2005 02:40 PM EDT

[CBC News](#)

**SHERBROOKE, QUE.** – The Townshippers Association says the Charest government must confront Quebec's language police over its application of the language laws.

The [Office Québécois de la langue française](#) has ordered the town of Richmond to virtually ban English, the association charges. The Townshippers say the English-speaking minority is being unjustly punished.

Officials from the OLF recently did a routine check at the Richmond municipal offices to see whether the town was applying the language laws.

In a letter, the government department some refer to as the "language police" ordered drastic changes, including:

- The town can no longer have a bilingual telephone message.
- All employees must speak French in the workplace.
- English labels on the fax machine and air conditioner will have to be changed to French.

"This is just another example of the pettiness and the punitive action that [the OLF] seems to constantly take against the English community," says John Mulholland, who is on the executive of the Townshippers Association.

Mulholland has lived in Richmond for the past 20 years, and says this time, the OLF has gone too far.

**The historic English population of Quebec has been decimated and statistics exist to prove it.** Just what is the historic English population of Quebec? It is the descendants of the original settlers who arrived primarily from the British Isles or America and who are of British Isle and Irish ancestry, along with a smattering of others who were loyal to the commonwealth. One can get an understanding of the decimation of this population by looking at school enrollments of English children in Quebec. When current school enrollments are compared to 1970 (a time before Canada adopted policies to bring in more immigrants from around the world and restricted/reduced immigrants from the British Isles and other English speaking countries, etc, and before separation strengthened in Quebec), to the most current numbers available, we have the following data to compare.

"But the enrollment drops for English speakers in Quebec public schools have been startling, from 248,000 in 1970 - 71 to barely 98,000 in 1995 - 96, or a drop of 60%. (Commissioner of Official Languages 1996: 89)"(Note that this quote is taken from a time long before Dyane Adam took over the Commissioner post. This woman is a French activist. "Active with organizations which support Franco-Ontarian women..." <http://canadaonline.about.com/cs/bilingualism/p/dyaneadam.htm> What right does she have to continue to hold a post in which she is also supposed to be looking out for the interest of English people, First Nations, etc. when she is a well-known FRENCH activist? This may explain why there is so much out-of-control spending in her department on French people and so little on anyone else.)

There is an even further drop in 2002-03 in English enrollment to 94,400 [http://epe.lac-bac.gc.ca/100/200/301/ocol-clo/going\\_forward-e/html/archives/sst\\_es/2004/jedwab/jedwab\\_2004\\_e.htm](http://epe.lac-bac.gc.ca/100/200/301/ocol-clo/going_forward-e/html/archives/sst_es/2004/jedwab/jedwab_2004_e.htm) ( a drop from 1970 of 62%) of which only 76,218 Anglophone students are even registered in English schools. The rest are in French schools and will be probably be assimilated into French. By comparison, in 1970 there were 1,339,933 students in French schools in Quebec and in 2003 there were 912,540 students in French schools. [http://www.canadianheritage.gc.ca/progs/lo-ol/pubs/2003-2004/ra-ar/7\\_e.cfm](http://www.canadianheritage.gc.ca/progs/lo-ol/pubs/2003-2004/ra-ar/7_e.cfm). Assuming that about 20,000 of these students are English, not French, that leaves about 892,540 students in French schools in 2003 who are actually French. This represents a significant drop from 1970 of French student enrollment in French schools in Quebec, but it is still a drop that pales in comparison to that of the English drop in enrolment of 62%. There is another even more revealing statistic that shows that Arabic will take over from English as the second language of the French system in Quebec by 2006. [http://www.amperspective.com/html/arabic\\_overtaking.html](http://www.amperspective.com/html/arabic_overtaking.html).

"The English-speaking minority in Quebec has already suffered a devastating decline in its youth population through out-migration.

Any continuation of the decline not only poses a threat to the viability of its present educational system but threatens its overall demographic viability at least in the medium (10 - 15 year) term and probably sooner. Unfortunately the French-speaking media, academic commentators and political circles in Quebec appear poorly informed of, or unwilling to admit the seriousness of the situation. Studies of attitudes among youth attending upper secondary schools and community colleges (CEGEPs) show that only a little more than one-quarter (27.8%) of English mother-tongue students enrolled in English-medium educational institutions intended or expected to be working in Quebec ten years later." <http://www.canadianheritage.gc.ca/progs/lo-ol/perspectives/english/languages/prov.html>. (If you link to this document you will see it has been modified recently. But it is an old document, probably written in 1996, or 1997, (note the dates in the bibliography) long before French activists took over the Heritage Department and decided to funnel most of the department's funds to French people.)



### Quebec Federalists - A Hidden Agenda?

We all know Quebec separatists want to separate. They are open and honest about this. But just who are the so-called federalists of Quebec? Are their policies towards English Canada benign or not? Do they have a hidden agenda of their own? The historic English population of Quebec is on the verge of extinction. These are the people who voted against separation. They are increasingly being replaced by immigrants who are often separatists from places like Haiti, hence the explosive growth of the separatist movement in Quebec. But what about the so-called Quebec Federalists? An information brochure released to Quebec residents in 2005 directly from Premier Charest's office is signed by Charest himself and quoted here. "Summary of the measures taken over the last two years and those to come in 2005-2006....Affirm Quebec's identity and ADVANCE OUR INTERESTS AND CULTURE IN CANADA and throughout the world". Is this not somewhat imperialistic, at the very least arrogant? Does English Canada want to be dominated by Quebec's culture and interests? In addition in the very same brochure he calls on all Quebecers to continue to play ITS LEADERSHIP ROLE IN CANADA. Since when is Quebec the leader of Canada? Is any other province in Canada this arrogant? And how about, Michelle Jean, who, when she said she had "never belonged to a political party or the separatist movement" and got glowing reviews for that statement, when all she is really stating is that she had no card holding membership in any of these movements. She did not say how she voted during the referendum and she did not say whether she had separatist sympathies. She should have been forced to reveal how she voted. Millions of Canadians have never belonged to a political party or a separatist movement or any other movement for that matter, but it says very little about how they feel about things. It says nothing about who they vote for or support. ALL Canadians need to read deeper into rhetoric, especially when it comes from Quebec. So now we have an alleged separatist, ([http://www.ctv.ca/servlet/ArticleNews/story/CTVNews/20050817\\_jean\\_comments\\_050816/?hub=TopStories](http://www.ctv.ca/servlet/ArticleNews/story/CTVNews/20050817_jean_comments_050816/?hub=TopStories)) or someone who may be a so-called Quebec federalist, sitting in the Governor General position. But then why separate from a country when you are in the process of conquering it without firing a single shot?

On March 1, 2005, [www.yahoo.ca](http://www.yahoo.ca) reported from a Canadian Press article that Quebec was demanding the right to have future Supreme Court judges chosen from a list supplied by Quebec.(original link appears to be gone)

[http://story.news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story2&u=/cpress/20050302/ca\\_pr\\_on\\_na/scoc\\_que\\_justices](http://story.news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story2&u=/cpress/20050302/ca_pr_on_na/scoc_que_justices)

(so check this link)[http://www.freedominon.ca/phpBB2/viewtopic.php?](http://www.freedominon.ca/phpBB2/viewtopic.php?t=36281&view=next&sid=f978656fbad874c1d8551f191ea74da6)

[t=36281&view=next&sid=f978656fbad874c1d8551f191ea74da6](http://www.freedominon.ca/phpBB2/viewtopic.php?t=36281&view=next&sid=f978656fbad874c1d8551f191ea74da6)

...."The Charest government and the Bloc Quebecois insist that Ottawa must be obliged to choose from Quebec's list." What's even more shocking than Quebec's demand is the fact that the federal government as of March, 2005 is seriously considering it..."Federal



Justice Minister Irwin Cotler is prepared to seriously consider candidates proposed by the Quebec government to fill vacancies on the Supreme Court." What?? This means that only Quebec is allowed to choose Canada's highest judges, that no other province is to have any input? When did Canada stop being a democracy? Equally mystifying is the fact that this Canadian Press article was displayed on [www.yahoo.ca](http://www.yahoo.ca)'s main website for only a few hours before it was mysteriously yanked. And why is it that no other national news source felt this Quebec demand was newsworthy enough to tell Canadians about?

**Since immigration is one of the hot topics of the day in Canada.....** From the Ottawa Citizen: "The numbers don't add up" Wednesday, October 05, 2005. "There are many problems with Paul Martin's pledge to increase immigration by 40 per cent within five years, not least of which is a lack of understanding and support from members of the Commons immigration committee."

A reader wrote in to the online Soundoff section of the Ottawa Citizen with the following comment about that article. "Parliament and the civil service are controlled by various Quebecois whose overriding desire is to change Canada into a French speaking nation. Since the Trudeau era our immigration laws have almost stopped immigration from the UK (which used to be our principal source). According to Statscan's own figures only 50,000 Brits entered Canada from 1994 to 2003. Since 191,000 Brits emigrated last year and a large number would have preferred Canada it is clear they are excluded intentionally. In order to be our permanent rulers I believe the Francophones have crafted a policy to eliminate their traditional rivals, create many disparate non-cooperating ethnic communities and ensure they are the only homogeneous group in the country. It is called Divide and Conquer."

"Almost half of Toronto's population is foreign-born, and one-third of recent immigrants to Canada (that is, those who arrived over the last 10 years) choose to settle there. The rate of family poverty among recent immigrants in the City of Toronto is about 45%, compared to an overall city poverty rate of 19%, and a national rate of 14.7%." <http://www.ccsd.ca/subsites/cd/docs/bulletin/2/> It is tragic that so many of Toronto's immigrants are living in poverty. What a shame if what is being alleged by the person who wrote into the Citizen is true, that immigrants are being used as nothing more than a tool of the French to ethnically cleanse the country of English people.

Here are some interesting employment statistics about Toronto. Apparently for the ten year period from 1991 to 2003 jobs grew from 2 million to 2.7 million, a growth of 700,000 people employed. This growth represented growth for the entire Toronto Region, whatever that means. However, in the actual City of Toronto for the three years ended 2003 there was a decline in employed people from 1.29 million to 1.25 million, a loss of 4,000 jobs. In roughly the same period (1995 - 2002) the Toronto Region took in 793,826 immigrants. That's more arrivals to the Toronto Region just from the immigrant population than there are jobs; sure some are children, stay at home parents, etc., but let's not forget that there is always an influx of people into Toronto from the other regions of Canada who also compete for jobs; add in refugees, graduates, etc., and.....well, you get the picture. And many of those jobs were probably created to service the immigrants. Then when we consider a sensitive employment market because of technology, you have to wonder if what the person who wrote into the Citizen said is true.

<http://www.urbancentre.utoronto.ca/elibrary.html#>, <http://www.hnc.utoronto.ca/intro/context1.htm#>

**Who is running Canada anyway?** Who is Paul Martin?.....He's Paul Edgar Philippe Martin, of Quebec and yes, he is more French than most people know, and he may very well have more loyalties to French people than he does to English people. Paul Edgar

Philippe Martin is descended on his father's side from a long line of French Canadians who hailed from Quebec. His grandmother is Lumina Chouinard from Quebec, and his grandfather is "Joseph Philippe Ernest", and "was raised francophone, having been born in Thurso, Que., in 1876" . <http://www.canada.com/national/features/transition/story.html?id=54b5c6a2-40e2-4f55-b0ad-6ac3faa33010>. Prime Minister Paul Edgar Philippe Martin is widely quoted as saying that he got most of his influence from his father, who by his own self-proclamation, was French. Prime Minister Paul Edgar Philippe Martin has lived in Quebec since 1966, that's forty years, most of his life. What if Quebec's so-called Federalist intentions may not as benign as English Canada believes them to be?(note above, Charest .....who calls on all Quebecers to advance Quebec's interest and culture in Canada....). Paul Edgar Philippe Martin is the man who has been involved in much of the increasingly wild spending and/or plunder in Quebec, first as Finance Minister and now as the Prime Minister. **In 2005 we see the tragic plight of the Aboriginal people such as those of the Kashechewan reserve. Martin and his government seemingly came to the rescue. It is important for all of Canada to remember that the Aboriginal people of Canada's plight became tragic entirely under Martin's watch, first while he was Finance Minister and then as Prime Minister.** In addition, Martin's government is quite aware of the existence of Internet files such as this one (which has now been circulating the Internet since January, 2005), and his government is in damage control mode.



And just when you think that Harper is going to ride in and save the day there are rumors that he is in the process of firing many (if not most) of his English advisors and replacing them with Quebec/French advisors, almost all of them French: <http://www.hilltimes.com>: (October, 2005)

*Josee Verner - senior advisor and Conservative Party critic for Economic Development Agency of Canada for the regions of Quebec and La Francophonie.*

*Michel Lalonde - senior policy researcher.*

*Isabelle Fontaine - communications officer.*

*Dimitris Soudas - press secretary for francophone media.*

*Karine Leroux - executive assistant to the press secretaries.*

*Nathalie Podeszinski - executive assistant to Ms Verner.*

*Daniel Goulet - policy officer for official languages.*

*Paul Terrien - speechwriter to the leader.*

*Lawrence Cannon - Deputy Chief of Staff to Stephen Harper, deputy executive director in the party office and Conservative critic for Intergovernmental Affairs.*



Make no mistake. These people are surrounding Harper and are key players in his office. William Stairs, in an interview with The Hills Times is quoted as saying the move to appoint advisors from Quebec is intended to "win seats in Quebec". In fact, as reported in this article, Harper may now have more top advisors from Quebec who are French and/or from Quebec than Martin. And what's even more scary is that Harper has now appointed two, yes TWO French Official Language critics, Guy Lauzon and Josée Verner, the Conservative Party's co-critics on official languages, to hammer away the English language and English people. Shouldn't the language critic from Quebec be English, given their fragile status?

And then we're on to Jack Layton, who is from, you guessed it, Quebec, a Quebecer through and through. "Birth: July 18, 1950 in Montreal, Quebec.

Raised in Hudson, Quebec" <http://canadaonline.about.com/od/federalndp/p/jacklayton.htm>

Slim pickings. What's with these politicians these days? Do they think we English people are all too stupid for the country? English Canada is getting to the point of few choices. Here's some to ponder:

- all English people in English Canada become activists, develop renewed interest in politics, including taking out membership in political parties and becoming involved in policy development that will benefit all of Canada and not just Quebec, and the time to do this is NOW!
- follow Quebec's lead and develop fierce provincial loyalty, force provincial leaders to make strong demands on Ottawa, threaten to separate if Ottawa does not listen, and then follow through on that threat!
- to English media people: put the wheels in motion to convince someone who is of British Isle ancestry and from English Canada (not Quebec) to either take back control of one of Canada's national newspapers, or develop a new one, thereby ensuring that English Canadians of British Isle ancestry regain a voice in Canada's national newspaper industry. That way maybe leaders like Harper won't feel so pressured to acquiesce. (if indeed this is what he has done).
- to ALL municipal and community leaders throughout English Canada: Take back control of your local municipal paper from the huge corporation that bought it, whose directors/shareholders/publisher are probably members of some demographic group that does not even live in or represent your community, but only bought your newspaper so that they could hammer your brain with their own demographic issues or their corporate economic interests, all the while treating the people of your community like ants. Sure, they promised they would be hands off, but did you really believe them? Why have you never heard of any of the stuff that is in this file? Don't you think that people throughout English Canada tried to get it published? And if you cannot raise the cash to buy back your municipal/community newspaper from the large corporation that bought it, then raise funds to start a new municipal/community newspaper and put in place controls (bylaws) that prevent it from ever being bought up by some huge corporation whose directors/shareholders/publishers don't give a rat's butt about your city/town/village/community.
- if all else fails, boycott all Quebec and French products, and then **Boycott Quebec!** You are not hurting many English people in Quebec by doing this, because there are hardly any left.
- Send a copy of this file to everyone you know, and continue distributing it until control of English Canada has been returned to English Canadians! That way maybe English Canada can get the attention of politicians, and convince them to pay attention to English people. And if English people are involved in the political process as card holding members of a political party and not just voters and bystanders, they can replace leaders who won't listen.

Maybe it's time for English solidarity in Canada, not just with the language, but with the people, especially among all descendants of the British Isles and Ireland.

And now aren't you just a little concerned that the French are taking over the federal government?

**WAKE UP ENGLISH CANADA**