Simple Groups Which Are 2-fold OD-Characterizable*

M. Akbari² and A. R. Moghaddamfar^{1,2}

¹School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran

and

²Department of Mathematics, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, P. O. Box 16315-1618, Tehran, Iran

E-mails: moghadam@mail.ipm.ir and moghadam@kntu.ac.ir

March 14, 2010

Abstract

Let G be a finite group and D(G) be the degree pattern of G. Denote by $h_{OD}(G)$ the number of isomorphism classes of finite groups H satisfying (|H|, D(H)) = (|G|, D(G)). A finite group G is called k-fold OD-characterizable if $h_{OD}(G) = k$. As the main results of this paper, we prove that each of the following pairs $\{G_1, G_2\}$ of groups:

$$\begin{split} &\{B_n(q),\ C_n(q)\}, \qquad n=2^m\geq 2, \ \ |\pi(\frac{q^n+1}{2})|=1, \ \ q \text{ is odd prime power}; \\ &\{B_p(3),\ C_p(3)\}, \quad |\pi(\frac{3^p-1}{2})|=1, \quad p \text{ is an odd prime}, \\ &\{B_3(5),\ C_3(5)\}, \end{split}$$

satisfies $h_{\text{OD}}(G_i) = 2$, i = 1, 2. We also prove that, if (1) n = 2 and q is any prime power such that $|\pi(\frac{q^2+1}{(2,q-1)})| = 1$ or (2) $n = 2^m \ge 2$ and q is a power of 2 such that $|\pi(q^n+1)| = 1$, then $h_{\text{OD}}(C_n(q)) = h_{\text{OD}}(B_n(q)) = 1$.

Keywords: degree pattern, OD-characterizability of a finite group, symplectic group $C_n(q)$, orthogonal group $B_n(q)$, prime graph, spectrum.

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group, $\pi(G)$ the set of all prime divisors of its order and $\omega(G)$ be the spectrum of G, that is the set of its element orders. The *Gruenberg-Kegel graph* GK(G) or *prime graph of* G is a simple graph with vertex set $\pi(G)$ in which two vertices p and q are joined by an edge (and we write $p \sim q$) if and only if $pq \in \omega(G)$. Let s(G) be the number of connected components of GK(G). The *i*th connected component is denoted by $\pi_i = \pi_i(G)$ for each *i*. If $2 \in \pi(G)$, then we assume that $2 \in \pi_1(G)$.

The classification of finite simple groups with disconnected Gruenberg-Kegel graph was obtained by Williams [21] and Kondrat'ev [11]. An corrected list of these groups can be found in [12].

^{*}This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 88200014).

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D05, 20D06, 20D08.

The degree deg(p) of a vertex $p \in \pi(G)$ is the number of edges incident on p. If $\pi(G) = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k\}$ with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$, then we define

$$D(G) := (\deg(p_1), \deg(p_2), \dots, \deg(p_k)),$$

which is called the *degree pattern of* G.

Given a finite group M, denote by $h_{OD}(M)$ the number of isomorphism classes of finite groups G such that |G| = |M| and D(G) = D(M). In terms of the function h_{OD} , groups M are classified as follows:

Definition 1 A finite group M is called k-fold OD-characterizable if $h_{OD}(M) = k$. Usually, a 1-fold OD-characterizable group is simply called OD-characterizable.

In order to formulate the obtained results, we need some notation and definitions. Throughout the paper, we assume that q is a prime power. We write $L_n(q)$ instead of the projective special linear group PSL(n,q) and write $U_n(q)$ instead of the projective special unitary group PSU(n,q). We use $B_n(q)$ and $C_n(q)$ to denote the simple orthogonal and symplectic groups, respectively. (In Atlas [4] notation, these are the groups $O_{2n+1}(q)$ and $S_{2n}(q)$, respectively.)

Table 1 lists finite simple groups which are currently known to be OD-characterizable or 2-fold OD-characterizable.

M	Conditions on M	$h_{\rm OD}(M)$	References
A_n	n = p, p + 1, p + 2 (p a prime)	1	[13], [14]
	$n = p + 3, \ p \in \pi(100!) \setminus \{7\}$	1	[7], [16], [17], [24]
	n = 10	2	[15]
$L_2(q)$	$q \neq 2, 3$	1	[13], [14], [25], [30]
$L_3(q)$	$ \pi(\frac{q^2+q+1}{d}) = 1, \ d = (3, q-1)$	1	[13]
$U_3(q)$	$ \pi(\frac{q^2-q+1}{d}) = 1, \ d = (3, q+1), q > 5$	1	[13]
$L_4(q)$	q = 5, 7	1	[1]
$L_{3}(9)$		1	[27]
$U_{3}(5)$		1	[29]
$U_{4}(7)$		1	[1]
$L_n(2)$	$n = p$ or $p + 1$, for which $2^p - 1$ is a prime	1	[1]
R(q)	$ \pi(q\pm\sqrt{3q}+1) =1,\ q=3^{2m+1},\ m\geq 1$	1	[13]
$\operatorname{Sz}(q)$	$q = 2^{2n+1} \ge 8$	1	[13], [14]
$B_3(3)$		2	[13]
$C_3(3)$		2	[13]
M	A sporadic simple group	1	[13]
M	$ \pi(M) = 4, M \neq A_{10}$	1	[26]
M	$ M \le 10^8, \ M \ne A_{10}, \ U_4(2)$	1	[23]
		1	I

It was shown in [13] and [15] that each of the following pairs $\{G_1, G_2\}$ of groups:

 $\{A_{10}, \mathbb{Z}_3 \times J_2\}, \{B_3(3), C_3(3)\}$

satisfies $|G_1| = |G_2|$ and $D(G_1) = D(G_2)$, and $h_{OD}(G_i) = 2$, i = 1, 2. Until recently, no examples of simple groups M with $h_{OD}(M) \ge 3$ are known. In [14], we posed the following question:

Problem 1 Is there a simple group which is k-fold OD-characterizable for $k \geq 3$?

If n is a positive integer, then $\pi(n)$ denotes the set of prime divisors of n. Given a finite group G, the order of G can be expressed as a product of some coprime positive integers m_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s(G)$, with $\pi(m_i) = \pi_i$. These integers m_i 's are called the *order components of* G. Let $OC(G) = \{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{s(G)}\}$ be the set of order components of G. The order components of simple groups with disconnected prime graphs are obtained in Tables 1-4 in [3].

Given a finite group M, define $h_{OC}(M)$ to be the number of isomorphism classes of finite groups with the same set OC(M) of order components. In terms of the function h_{OC} , groups M are classified as follows:

Definition 2 A finite group M is called k-fold OC-characterizable if $h_{OC}(M) = k$. Usually, a 1-fold OC-characterizable group is simply called OC-characterizable.

It is clear that $1 \leq h_{OD}(M) < \infty$ and $1 \leq h_{OC}(M) < \infty$ for any finite group M. In fact, by Cayley's theorem, for each positive integer n, there are only finitely many distinct types of groups of order n. Evidently, a simple group S with connected prime graph is not OC-characterizable, because $h_{OC}(S) \geq \nu_{nil}(|S|) \geq 2$, where $\nu_{nil}(n)$ denotes the number of isomorphism classes of nilpotent groups of order n.

Note that, the values of the functions h_{OD} and h_{OC} may be different. For example, there are only four non-isomorphic groups of order 30, which we list in Table 2. Now, it can be easily seen that $h_{\text{OD}}(\mathbb{Z}_{30}) = h_{\text{OD}}(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times D_{10}) = h_{\text{OD}}(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times D_6) = 1$, while $h_{\text{OC}}(\mathbb{Z}_{30}) = h_{\text{OC}}(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times D_{10}) = h_{\text{OC}}(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times D_6) = 3$.

Table 2. The groups of order 30.

G	$\operatorname{GK}(G)$	s(G)	OC(G)	D(G)	$h_{\rm OD}(G)$	$h_{\rm OC}(G)$
\mathbb{Z}_{30}	$2 \sim 3 \sim 5 \sim 2$	1	${30}$	(2, 2, 2)	1	3
$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times D_{10}$	$2 \sim 3 \sim 5$	1	${30}$	(1, 2, 1)	1	3
$\mathbb{Z}_5 \times D_6$	$2 \sim 5 \sim 3$	1	${30}$	(1, 1, 2)	1	3
D_{30}	2, $3 \sim 5$	2	$\{2, 15\}$	(0, 1, 1)	1	1

We recall that a *clique* in a graph is a set of pairwise adjacent vertices. An independence set in a graph is a set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices. Note that the prime graph of a nilpotent finite group is always a clique. Moreover, if S is a simple group with disconnected prime graph, then all connected components $\pi_i(S)$ for $2 \le i \le s(S)$ are clique, for instance, see [11], [18] and [21].

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1 Let r be an odd prime such that $|\pi(\frac{3^r-1}{2})| = 1$. Then, we have

$$h_{\rm OD}(B_r(3)) = h_{\rm OD}(C_r(3)) = 2$$

Example 1. For 2 < r < 100, we obtain the following simple groups among $B_r(3)$ and $C_r(3)$:

$$B_3(3), C_3(3); B_5(3), C_5(3); B_7(3), C_7(3); B_{13}(3), C_{13}(3)$$

Theorem 2 Let q be a prime power and $n = 2^m \ge 2$. Then we have

(a) If q is even,
$$|\pi(q^n + 1)| = 1$$
 and $(n, q) \neq (2, 2)$, then $h_{OD}(B_n(q)) = h_{OD}(C_n(q)) = 1$.

(b) If q is odd, $|\pi(\frac{q^n+1}{2})| = 1$ and $(n,q) \neq (2,3)$, then

$$h_{\rm OD}(B_n(q)) = h_{\rm OD}(C_n(q)) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \ge 4, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Example 2. Some groups $B_n(q)$ and $C_n(q)$ satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 2 have been computed and as a consequence we have listed the following OD-characterizable or 2-fold OD-characterizable simple groups in Table 3.

	n 1	n		22 1	1
G	$\frac{q^{n+1}}{d}$	$h_{\rm OD}(G)$	G	$\frac{q^{n}+1}{d}$	$h_{\mathrm{OD}}(G)$
$B_2(2^2)$	17	1	$B_4(5), C_4(5)$	313	2
$B_2(2^4)$	257	1	$B_2(5^2)$	313	1
$B_2(2^8)$	65537	1	$B_2(7)$	5^{2}	1
$B_4(2)$	17	1	$B_4(7), C_4(7)$	1201	2
$B_4(2^2)$	257	1	$B_2(7^2)$	1201	1
$B_4(2^4)$	65537	1	$B_2(11)$	61	1
$B_8(2)$	257	1	$B_4(11), C_4(11)$	7321	2
$B_8(2^2)$	65537	1	$B_2(11^2)$	7321	2
$B_{16}(2)$	65537	1	$B_4(13), C_4(13)$	14281	2
$B_4(3), C_4(3)$	41	2	$B_8(13), C_8(13)$	p_4	2
$B_{16}(3), C_{16}(3)$	p_1	2	$B_2(13^2)$	14281	1
$B_{32}(3), C_{32}(3)$	p_2	2	$B_4(13^2), C_4(13^2)$	p_4	2
$B_{64}(3), C_{64}(3)$	p_3	2	$B_2(13^4)$	p_4	1
$B_2(3^2)$	41	1	$B_4(17), C_4(17)$	41761	2
$B_8(3^2), C_8(3^2)$	p_1	2	$B_2(17^2)$	41761	1
$B_{16}(3^2), C_{16}(3^2)$	p_2	2	$B_2(19)$	181	1
$B_{32}(3^2), C_{32}(3^2)$	p_3	2	$B_4(23), C_4(23)$	139921	2
$B_4(3^4), C_4(3^4)$	p_1	2	$B_2(23^2)$	139921	1
$B_8(3^4), C_8(3^4)$	p_2	2	$B_2(29)$	421	1
$B_{16}(3^4), C_{16}(3^4)$	p_3	2	$B_4(29), C_4(29)$	353641	2
$B_2(3^8)$	p_1	1	$B_{16}(29), C_{16}(29)$	p_5	2
$B_4(3^8), C_4(3^8)$	p_2	2	$B_2(29^2)$	353641	1
$B_8(3^8), C_8(3^8)$	p_3	2	$B_8(29^2), C_8(29^2)$	p_5	2
$B_2(3^{16})$	p_2	1	$B_4(29^4), C_4(29^4)$	p_5	2
$B_4(3^{16}), C_4(3^{16})$	p_3	2	$B_2(29^8)$	p_5	1
$B_2(3^{32})$	p_3	1	$B_2(41)$	29^{2}	1
$B_2(5)$	13	1	$B_{16}(41), C_{16}(41)$	p_6	2
	1	1		1	1

Table 3. The simple groups $B_n(q)$ and $C_n(q)$, where $n = 2^m \ge 2$ and d = (2, q - 1).

G	$\frac{q^{n+1}}{d}$	$h_{\rm OD}(G)$	G	$\frac{q^n+1}{d}$	$h_{\rm OD}(C)$
$B_8(41^2), C_8(41^2)$	p_6	2	$B_2(61)$	1861	1
$B_4(41^4), C_4(41^4)$	p_6	2	$B_4(61), C_4(61)$	6922921	2
$B_2(41^8)$	p_6	1	$B_2(61^2)$	6922921	2
$B_8(43), C_8(43)$	p_7	2	$B_2(71)$	2521	1
$B_4(43^2), C_4(43^2)$	p_7	2	$B_4(71), C_4(71)$	12705841	2
$B_2(43^4)$	p_7	1	$B_2(71^2)$	12705841	1
$B_8(47), C_8(47)$	p_8	2	$B_4(73), C_4(73)$	14199121	2
$B_4(47^2), C_4(47^2)$	p_8	2	$B_{16}(73), C_{16}(73)$	p_{10}	2
$B_2(47^4)$	p_8	1	$B_2(73^2)$	14199121	1
$B_8(53), C_8(53)$	p_9	2	$B_8(73^2), C_8(73^2)$	p_{10}	2
$B_4(53^2), C_4(53^2)$	p_9	2	$B_4(73^4), C_4(73^4)$	p_{10}	2
$B_2(53^4)$	p_9	1	$B_2(73^8)$	p_{10}	1
$B_2(59)$	1741	1	$B_2(79)$	3121	1

Continuation of Table 3.

 $\begin{array}{ll} p_1 = 21523361, & p_6 = 31879515457326527173216321 \\ p_2 = 926510094425921 & p_7 = 5844100138801 \\ p_3 = 1716841910146256242328924544641 & p_8 = 11905643330881 \\ p_4 = 407865361 & p_9 = 31129845205681 \\ p_5 = 125123236840173674393761 & p_{10} = 325188939908904785521061417281 \end{array}$

Theorem 3 The simple groups $B_3(5)$ and $C_3(5)$ are 2-fold OD-characterizable.

In fact, the pair $\{B_3(5), C_3(5)\}$ is the first pair of finite simple groups with connected prime graph which are 2-fold OD-characterizable.

We conclude the introduction with notation to be used throughout the paper. The *socle* of a group G is the subgroup generated by the set of all minimal normal subgroups of G; it is denoted by soc(G). If H is a subgroup of G, then $C_G(H)$ and $N_G(H)$ are, respectively, the centralizer and the normalizer of H in G. If a is a natural number, r is an odd prime and (r, a) = 1, then by e(r, a) we denote the multiplicative order of a modulo r, that is the minimal natural number n with $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$. If a is odd, we put

$$e(2,a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 2 & \text{if } a \equiv -1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

We also define the function $\eta : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, as follows

$$\eta(m) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{m}{2} & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

2 Preliminary Results

The following lemma is a consequence of Zsigmondy's theorem (see [31]).

Lemma 1 Let a be a natural number greater than 1. Then for every natural number n there exists a prime r with e(r, a) = n but for the cases $(n, a) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (6, 2)\}$

A prime r with e(r, a) = n is called a *primitive prime divisor* of $a^n - 1$. By Lemma 1, such a prime exists except for the cases mentioned in the lemma. Given a natural number a, we denote by $R_n(a)$ the set of all primitive prime divisors of $a^n - 1$ and by $r_n(a)$ any element of $R_n(a)$. By our definition, we have $\pi(a-1) = R_1(a)$ but for the following sole exception, namely, $2 \notin R_1(a)$ if e(2, a) = 2. In this case, we assume that $2 \in R_2(a)$.

From [2, Theorems 11.3.2 and 14.5.2], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2 The following isomorphisms hold:

- (1) $B_n(q) \cong P\Omega_{2n+1}(q) \cong O_{2n+1}(q),$
- (2) $C_n(q) \cong PSp_{2n}(q) \cong S_{2n}(q),$ (3) $B_2(3) \cong {}^2A_4(2^2), B_n(2^m) \cong C_n(2^m), B_2(q) \cong C_2(q).$

In what follows, we concentrate on the simple groups $B_n(q)$ and $C_n(q)$, where $n \ge 2$. Note that, if n = 1, then we have

$$B_1(q) \cong C_1(q) \cong L_2(q).$$

Also, in the case that $n \geq 3$ and q is an odd prime power, we have $B_n(q) \not\cong C_n(q)$ (see [8]).

Lemma 3 ([20]) Let M be one of the simple groups of Lie type, $B_n(q)$ or $C_n(q)$, over a field of characteristic p. Let r, s be odd primes with $r, s \in \pi(G) \setminus \{p\}$. Put k = e(r,q) and l = e(s,q), and suppose that $1 \leq \eta(k) \leq \eta(l)$. Then r and s are non-adjacent if and only if $\eta(k) + \eta(l) > n$ and $\frac{l}{k}$ is not an odd natural number.

Lemma 4 ([19]) Let M be one of the simple groups of Lie type, $B_n(q)$ or $C_n(q)$, over a field of characteristic p, and let $r \in \pi(M) \setminus \{p\}$ and k = e(r,q). Then r and p are non-adjacent if and only *if* $\eta(k) > n - 1$.

Lemma 5 ([19]) Let M be one of the simple groups of Lie type, $B_n(q)$ or $C_n(q)$, over a field of characteristic p. Let r be an odd prime in $\pi(M) \setminus \{p\}$ and k = e(r,q). Then 2 and r are non-adjacent if and only if $\eta(k) = n$ and one of the following holds:

- (1) *n* is odd and k = (3 e(2, q))n.
- (2) n is even and k = 2n.

Using Lemmas 3-5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1 Assume that $(B, C) = (B_n(q), C_n(q))$. Then the following statements hold.

- (a) The prime graphs GK(B) and GK(C) coincide [19, Proposition 7.5].
- (b) |B| = |C| and D(B) = D(C). In particular, if $B \ncong C$, then we have $h_{OD}(B) = h_{OD}(C) \ge 2$.

Since $GK(B_n(q)) = GK(C_n(q))$, in Table 5 we consider these groups together and, for brevity, use the symbol $B_n(q)$ in both cases.

Table 4. The connected components of $GK(B_n(q)) = GK(C_n(q))$.

Group	Conditions on n	Conditions on q	π_1	π_2
	$n=2^m\geq 2$	none	$\pi (q \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^{2i} - 1))$	$\pi(\tfrac{q^n+1}{(2,q-1)})$
$B_n(q)$	n = r odd prime	q = 2, 3	$\pi (q(q^r+1) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (q^{2i}-1))$	$\pi(\tfrac{q^r-1}{(2,q-1)})$
	$n \neq 2^m$	$q \neq 2, 3$	$\pi(q^{n^2}\prod_{i=1}^n(q^{2i}-1))$	
	$n \neq r, 2^m$	q = 2, 3	$\pi(q^{n^2}\prod_{i=1}^n(q^{2i}-1))$	

Corollary 2 Let $M \in \{B_n(q), C_n(q)\}$, where q is a power of a prime p. Then, the following hold for M.

- (1) If $n = 2^m \ge 2$, then $\deg(2) = \deg(p) = |\pi_1(M)| 1$.
- (2) If n = r is an odd prime and q = 3, then $\deg(2) = |\pi_1(M)| 1$.

Proof. (1) In this case, from Table 5, we have $\pi_1(M) = \pi(q \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^{2i} - 1))$ and $\pi_2(M) = \pi(\frac{q^{n+1}}{(2,q-1)})$. Moreover, by Lemma 4, it follows that only primitive prime divisors of $q^{2n} - 1$ are non-adjacent to p. But since

$$R_{2n}(q) \subset \pi(\frac{q^n+1}{(2,q-1)}) = \pi_2(G),$$

we deduce that $\deg(p) = |\pi_1(M)| - 1$, as desired. In the sequel, we assume that p is an odd prime. From Lemma 4, it is easy to see that $2 \sim p$. Moreover, by Lemma 5, we conclude that only primitive prime divisors of $q^{2n} - 1$ are non-adjacent to 2, and similar to the previous case it yields that $\deg(2) = |\pi_1(M)| - 1$.

(2) Again, in this case we have $\pi_1(M) = \pi(3(3^r+1))\prod_{i=1}^{r-1}(3^{2i}-1))$ and $\pi_2(M) = \pi(\frac{3^r-1}{2})$. Here, by Lemma 5, we conclude that only primitive prime divisors of $3^r - 1$ are non-adjacent to 2. Therefore, we obtain $\deg(2) = |\pi_1(M)| - 1$, as desired. \Box

The following corollary is easily obtained from Lemmas 3-5 and [4]:

Corollary 3 Let $M \in \{B_3(5), C_3(5)\}$. The following hold for M.

- (1) D(M) = (4, 4, 3, 1, 2, 1),
- (2) $|M| = 2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 31.$
- (3) |Out(M)| = 2.
- (4) The prime graph of M appears as shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. $GK(B_3(5)) = GK(C_3(5))$

Lemma 6 ([6], [9], [10]) Let M be one of the finite simple groups of Lie type, $B_n(q)$ or $C_n(q)$, over a field of characteristic p and order q. Then, we have

- (a) If n = r be an odd prime and q = 3, then $h_{OC}(M) = 2$.
- (b) If n = 2 and q > 5, then $h_{OC}(M) = 1$.
- (c) If $n = 2^m \ge 4$, then

$$h_{\rm OC}(M) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p > 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma is taken from [22].

Lemma 7 Let $S = P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_t$, where P_i 's are isomorphic non-Abelian simple groups. Then

$$\operatorname{Aut}(S) \cong \left(\operatorname{Aut}(P_1) \times \operatorname{Aut}(P_2) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Aut}(P_t)\right) \rtimes S_t$$

In particular, $|\operatorname{Aut}(S)| = \prod_{i=1}^{t} |\operatorname{Aut}(P_i)| \cdot t!$.

Lemma 8 ([17]) Let S be a simple group such that $\pi(S) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 31\}$. Then S is isomorphic to one of the simple groups listed in Table 6.

S	S	$ \mathrm{Out}(S) $	S	S	$ \operatorname{Out}(S) $
A_5	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	2	$L_2(2^6)$	$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	6
A_6	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$	4	$L_{3}(3)$	$2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13$	2
$U_4(2)$	$2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$	2	$L_{4}(3)$	$2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$	4
A_7	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	2	$B_{3}(3)$	$2^9 \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	2
A_8	$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	2	$O_8^+(3)$	$2^{12} \cdot 3^{12} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	24
A_9	$2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	2	$G_{2}(3)$	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	2
A_{10}	$2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$	2	$C_{3}(3)$	$2^9 \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	2
$B_3(2)$	$2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	1	$L_3(3^2)$	$2^7\cdot 3^6\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13$	4
$O_8^+(2)$	$2^{12}\cdot 3^5\cdot 5^2\cdot 7$	6	$L_2(3^3)$	$2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	6
$L_3(2^2)$	$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	12	$U_{4}(5)$	$2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	4
$L_2(2^3)$	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$	3	$B_{2}(5)$	$2^6\cdot 3^2\cdot 5^4\cdot 13$	2
$U_3(3)$	$2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$	2	$L_2(5^2)$	$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13$	4
$U_4(3)$	$2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	8	$L_2(13)$	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	2
$U_3(5)$	$2^4\cdot 3^2\cdot 5^3\cdot 7$	6	$L_{5}(2)$	$2^{10}\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 31$	2
$L_2(7)$	$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	2	$L_{6}(2)$	$2^{15}\cdot 3^4\cdot 5\cdot 7^2\cdot 31$	2
$B_2(7)$	$2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^4$	2	$L_{3}(5)$	$2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 31$	2
$L_2(7^2)$	$2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$	4	$L_{4}(5)$	$2^7\cdot 3^2\cdot 5^6\cdot 13\cdot 31$	8
J_2	$2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$	2	$B_{3}(5)$	$2^9\cdot 3^4\cdot 5^9\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 31$	2
$^{3}D_{4}(2)$	$2^{12}\cdot 3^4\cdot 7^2\cdot 13$	3	$C_{3}(5)$	$2^9\cdot 3^4\cdot 5^9\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 31$	2
$^{2}F_{4}(2)'$	$2^{11}\cdot 3^3\cdot 5^2\cdot 13$	2	$O_8^+(5)$	$2^{12} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^{12} \cdot 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 31$	24
$U_3(2^2)$	$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13$	4	$G_{2}(5)$	$2^6\cdot 3^3\cdot 5^6\cdot 7\cdot 31$	1
$G_2(2^2)$	$2^{12}\cdot 3^3\cdot 5^2\cdot 7\cdot 13$	2	$L_3(5^2)$	$2^7\cdot 3^2\cdot 5^6\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 31$	12
$B_2(2^3)$	$2^{12}\cdot 3^4\cdot 5\cdot 7^2\cdot 13$	6	$L_2(5^3)$	$2^2\cdot 3^2\cdot 5^3\cdot 7\cdot 31$	6
$Sz(2^3)$	$2^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	3	$L_2(31)$	$2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 31$	2

Table 5. The simple groups S with $\pi(S) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 31\}$.

3 Proof of Theorems

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let p be an odd prime such that $|\pi(\frac{3^p-1}{2})| = 1$, and let M be one of the finite simple groups of Lie type $B_p(3)$ or $C_p(3)$. Assume that G is a finite group such that |G| = |M| and D(G) = D(M). We recall that s(M) = 2 and $\pi(M) = \pi_1(M) \cup \pi(\frac{3^p-1}{2})$. By our hypothesis, it is easy to see that

$$\pi_2(G) = \pi_2(M) = \pi\left(\frac{3^p - 1}{2}\right) \text{ and } \pi(G) = \pi_1(M) \cup \pi\left(\frac{3^p - 1}{2}\right).$$

Moreover, it follows from Corollary 2 (2) that $\deg(2) = |\pi_1(M)| - 1$, and so s(G) = 2 and $\pi_1(G) = \pi_1(M)$. Therefore, we deduce that OC(G) = OC(M). Hence $h_{OD}(M) \leq h_{OC}(M)$. Now, from Corollary 1(b) and Lemma 6, we conclude that $h_{OD}(G) = 2$, as desired. \Box

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let q be a power of a prime and $n = 2^m \ge 2$. Suppose $|\pi(\frac{q^n+1}{(2,q-1)})| = 1$ and $(n,q) \notin \{(2,3), (2,4), (2,5)\}$. Let M be one of the finite simple groups of Lie type $B_n(q)$ or $C_n(q)$, and let G be a finite group such that |G| = |M| and D(G) = D(M). Similar arguments as proof of Theorem 1, show that s(M) = 2 and $\pi(M) = \pi_1(M) \cup \pi\left(\frac{q^n+1}{(2,q-1)}\right)$. In addition, it is easy to see that

$$\pi_2(G) = \pi_2(M) = \pi(\frac{q^n+1}{(2,q-1)}) \text{ and } \pi(G) = \pi_1(M) \cup \pi\left(\frac{q^n+1}{(2,q-1)}\right).$$


Furthermore, it follows from Corollary 2 (1) that $\deg(2) = |\pi_1(M)| - 1$, and so s(G) = 2 and $\pi_1(G) = \pi_1(M)$. Now, we conclude that OC(G) = OC(M), and hence $h_{OD}(M) \le h_{OC}(M)$. Suppose first that q is even. Then by Lemma 6, we have $h_{OC}(M) = 1$, which implies that $h_{OD}(M) = 1$. Suppose next that q is odd. Again, by Lemma 6, we see that for n = 2, $h_{OC}(M) = 1$ and for $n \ge 4$, $h_{OC}(M) = 2$. Now, it is easy to see that in both cases we have $h_{OD}(M) = h_{OC}(M)$, as required.

Now, assume that $(n,q) \in \{(2,4), (2,5)\}$. In both cases, we have $|M| < 10^8$ and by a result in [23], we conclude that $h_{OD}(M) = 1$. \Box

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let $M \in \{B_3(5), C_3(5)\}$. Suppose G is a finite group, such that

$$|G| = |M| = 2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 31$$
 and $D(G) = D(M) = (4, 4, 3, 1, 3, 1).$

We have to show that G is isomorphic to $B_3(5)$ or $C_3(5)$. It is evident that the prime graph of G is connected, since $\deg(2) = \deg(3) = 4$. Moreover, by hypothesis, we immediately conclude that the only possibilities for the prime graph GK(G) of G are:



Therefore, we conclude that $\{2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 15, 26, 39, 65\} \subseteq \omega(G)$, and the subsets $\{5, 7, 31\}$ and $\{7, 13, 31\}$ of vertices are independent sets of GK(G). In the sequel, we break up the proof into a sequence of lemmas. Let K be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of G.

Lemma 9 K is a $\{2,3,5\}$ -group. In particular, G is non-solvable.

Proof. First, we show that K is a 31'-group. Assume the contrary and let 31 divide the order of K. In this case K possesses an element x of order 31. We set $C := C_G(x)$ and $N := N_G(\langle x \rangle)$. By the structure of D(G), it follows that C is a $\{p, 31\}$ -group where $p \in \{2, 3\}$. Now using (N/C)-Theorem the factor group N/C is embedded in $\operatorname{Aut}(\langle x \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{30}$. Hence, N is a $\{2, 3, 5, 31\}$ -group. Now, by Frattini argument G = KN. This implies that $\{7, 13\} \subseteq \pi(K)$. Since K is solvable, it possesses a Hall $\{7, 13\}$ -subgroup L of order $7 \cdot 13$. Clearly L is cyclic and hence $7 \sim 13$, which is a contradiction.

Next, we show that K is a p'-group for $p \in \{7, 13\}$. Let $p \in \pi(K)$, $K_p \in \text{Syl}_p(K)$ and $N = N_G(K_p)$. Again, by Frattini argument G = KN and hence 31 divides the order of N. Let L be a subgroup of N of order 31. Since L normalizes K_p , G contains a subgroup of order $31 \cdot p$ and this leads to a contradiction as before, since $p \nmid 31 - 1$. Therefore K is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -group.

In addition, since $K \neq G$, it follows that G is non-solvable. This completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 10 The factor group G/K is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq G/K \leq \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ where $S \in \{B_3(5), C_3(5)\}$.

Proof. Let H := G/K and $S := \operatorname{soc}(H)$. Evidently, $S = P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_m$, where P_i 's are non-Abelian simple groups. This implies that Z(S) = 1, or equivalently $C_G(S) \cap S = 1$. But then $C_G(S) = 1$, since otherwise $C_G(S)$ would contain minimal normal subgroups of G disjoint from S, which is a contradiction. Consequently, we get

$$G/K \cong \frac{N_G(S)}{C_G(S)} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(S).$$

In what follows, we will show that m = 1 and $P_1 \cong B_3(5)$ or $C_3(5)$.

Suppose that $m \ge 2$. In this case, it is easy to see that $\{7,31\} \cap \pi(S) = \emptyset$, since otherwise $\deg(7) \ge 2$ or $\deg(31) \ge 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence, for every *i* we have $\max \pi(P_i) = 13$. On the other hand, by Lemma 9, we observe that $31 \in \pi(H) \subseteq \pi(\operatorname{Aut}(S))$. Thus, we may assume that 31 divides the order of $\operatorname{Out}(S)$. But

$$\operatorname{Out}(S) = \operatorname{Out}(S_1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Out}(S_r),$$

where the groups S_j are direct products of isomorphic P_i 's such that

$$S \cong S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r.$$

Therefore, for some j, 31 divides the order of an outer automorphism group of a direct product S_j of t isomorphic simple groups P_i . Since $\max \pi(P_i) = 13$, it follows that $|\operatorname{Out}(P_i)|$ is not divisible by 31, see [17, Table 4]. Now, by Lemma 7, we obtain $|\operatorname{Aut}(S_j)| = |\operatorname{Aut}(P_i)|^t \cdot t!$. Therefore, $t \geq 31$ and so 2^{62} must divide the order of G, which is a contradiction. Therefore m = 1 and $S = P_1$.

Now, from Lemma 9, we easily conclude that

$$|S| = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 5^c \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 31,$$

where $2 \leq a \leq 9, 0 \leq b \leq 4$ and $0 \leq c \leq 9$. Using collected results contained in Table 6, we deduce that $S \cong B_3(5), C_3(5)$ or $L_3(5^2)$. If $S \cong L_3(5^2)$, then $7 \cdot 31 \in \omega(S)$ (see [5]), which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 11 G is isomorphic to $B_3(5)$ or $C_3(5)$.

Proof. By Lemma 10, $M \leq G/K \leq \operatorname{Aut}(M)$, which implies that $G/K \cong M$ or $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$. In the case that $G/K \cong M$, by order consideration we deduce that |K| = 1 and $G \cong M$, as desired. In the latter case, we have |K| = 2 and so $K \leq Z(G)$. But then, we obtain deg(2) = 5, which is a contradiction. This proves the lemma and the theorem. \Box

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the referee for carefully reading the paper and for his suggestions and remarks. The second author would like to thank the IPM for the financial support.

References

- [1] M. Akbari, A. R. Moghaddamfar and S. Rahbariyan, A characterization of some finite simple groups through their orders and degree patterns, to appear in Algebra Colloquium.
- [2] R. W. Carter, Simple Groups of Lie Type, Wiley, London (1972).
- [3] G. Y. Chen, A new characterization of sporadic simple groups, Algebra Colloq., 3(1)(1996), 49-58.

- [4] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson, Atlas of Finite Groups, Clarendon Press, oxford, 1985.
- [5] M.R. Darafsheh, A.R. Moghaddamfar, A.R. Zokayi, A recognition of simple groups PSL(3,q) by their element orders, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., 24(1)(2004), 45-51.
- [6] M. R. Darafsheh, On non-isomorphic groups with the same set of order components, J. Korean Math. Soc., 45(1)(2008), 137-150.
- [7] A. A. Hoseini and A. R. Moghaddamfar, *Recognizing alternating groups* A_{p+3} by their orders and degree patterns, to appear in Frontiers of Mathematics in China.
- [8] J. F. Humphreys, A Course in Group Theory, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1996.
- [9] A. Iranmanesh and B. Khosravi, A characterization of $C_2(q)$ where q > 5, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., 43(1)(2002), 9-21.
- [10] A. Khosravi and B. Khosravi, *r*-recognizability of $B_n(q)$ and $C_n(q)$ where $n = 2^m \ge 4$, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 199(1-3)(2005), 149-165.
- [11] A. S. Kondratév, On prime graph components of finite simple groups, Math. Sb., 180(6)(1989), 787-797.
- [12] A. S. Kondrat'ev and V. D. Mazurov, Recognition of alternating groups of prime degree from their element orders, Siberian Mathematical Journal, 41(2)(2000), 294-302.
- [13] A. R. Moghaddamfar, A. R. Zokayi and M. R. Darafsheh, A characterization of finite simple groups by the degrees of vertices of their prime graphs, Algebra Colloquium, 12(3)(2005), 431-442.
- [14] A. R. Moghaddamfar and A. R. Zokayi, Recognizing finite groups through order and degree pattern, Algebra Colloquium, 15(3)(2008), 449-456.
- [15] A. R. Moghaddamfar and A. R. Zokayi, OD-Characterization of certain finite groups having connected prime graphs, Algebra Colloquium, 17(1)(2010), 121-130.
- [16] A. R. Moghaddamfar and A. R. Zokayi, OD-Characterization of alternating and symmetric groups of degrees 16 and 22, Front. Math. China, 4(4)(2009), 669-680.
- [17] A. R. Moghaddamfar and S. Rahbariyan, *More on the OD-characterizability of a finite group*, to appear in Algebra Colloquium.
- [18] M. Suzuki, On the prime graph of a finite simple group-An application of the method of Feit-Thompson-Bender-Glauberman, Groups and combinatorics-in memory of Michio Suzuki, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 32 (Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001), 41-207.
- [19] A. V. Vasiliev and E. P. Vdovin, An adjacency criterion in the prime graph of a finite simple group, Algebra and Logic, 44(6)(2005), 381-406.
- [20] A. V. Vasiliev and E. P. Vdovin, Cocliques of maximal size in the prime graph of a finite simple group, arXiv:0905.1164v1 [math.GR], 8 May 2009.
- [21] J. S. Williams, Prime graph components of finite groups, J. Algebra, 69(2)(1981), 487-513.
- [22] A. V. Zavarnitsin, Recognition of alternating groups of degrees r + 1 and r + 2 for prime r and the group of degree 16 by their element order sets, Algebra and Logic, **39** (6) (2000), 370-377.

- [23] L. C. Zhang and W. J. Shi, OD-Characterization of all simple groups whose orders are less than 10⁸, Front. Math. China, 3(3)(2008), 461-474.
- [24] L. C. Zhang, W. J. Shi, L. L. Wang and C. G. Shao, OD-Characterization of A₁₆, Journal of Suzhou University (Natural Science Edition), 24(2)(2008), 7-10.
- [25] L. C. Zhang and W. J. Shi, *OD-Characterization of almost simple groups related to* $L_2(49)$, Arch. Math. (Brno), 44(3)(2008), 191-199.
- [26] L. C. Zhang and W. J. Shi, *OD-Characterization of simple* K_4 -groups, Algebra Colloquium, 16(2)(2009), 275-282.
- [27] L. C. Zhang, W.J. Shi, C. G. Shao and L. L. Wang, OD-Characterization of the simple group L₃(9), Journal of Guangxi University (Natural Science Edition), 34(1)(2009), 120-122.
- [28] L. C. Zhang and X. Liu, *OD-Characterization of the projective general linear groups* PGL(2,q) by their orders and degree patterns, International Journal of Algebra and Computation, 19(7)(2009), 873-889.
- [29] L. C. Zhang and W.J. Shi, OD-Characterization of almost simple groups related to U₃(5), Acta Mathematica Sinica (English Series), 26(1)(2010), 161-168.
- [30] L. C. Zhang and W. J. Shi, OD-Characterization of the projective special linear groups $L_2(q)$, to appear in Algebra Colloquium.
- [31] K. Zsigmondy, Zur Theorie der Potenzreste, Monatsh. Math. Phys., 3 (1892), 265-284.