

Uniqueness, Comparisons and Stability for Scalar BSDEs with $L \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1 + L)})$ -integrable terminal values and monotonic generators

Hun O^a, Mun- Chol Kim^a and Chol- Kyu Pak^{a,*}

^aFaculty of Mathematics, Kim Il Sung University, Pyongyang, Democratic People's Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Backward stochastic differential equation; $L \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1 + L)})$ -integrability; uniqueness; comparison; stability; one-sided Osgood condition

ABSTRACT

This paper considers a class of scalar backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) with $L \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1 + L)})$ -integrable terminal values. We associate these BSDEs with BSDEs with integrable parameters through Girsanov change. Using this technique, we prove uniqueness, comparisons and stability for them under an extended monotonicity condition (more precisely one sided Osgood condition).

1. Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, $T > 0$ a finite time and W a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion. Let $\mathbb{F} := \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be a completion of the filtration generated by the Brownian motion.

We consider the following backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE for short).

$$y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, y_s, z_s) ds - \int_t^T z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.1)$$

where the generator $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a predictable function and terminal value ξ is an \mathcal{F}_T -measurable random variable.

The theory of BSDE is powerful to treat important issues arising in many applied fields such as finance and optimal control. A general nonlinear pricing problem of the European contingent claim in complete market is equivalent to solve the BSDE (1.1). In this case, ξ is the contingent claim to hedge and T is the maturity date. Let us assume that (1.1) has a solution (y_t, z_t) in an appropriate space. If the generator is uniformly Lipschitz in z (with Lipschitz constant b), we can apply the Girsanov measure change to the equation which leads to

$$y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, y_s, 0) ds - \int_t^T z_s dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\mathbb{Q} := \exp \left(\int_0^T g(s, y_s, z_s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T g^2(s, y_s, z_s) ds \right) \cdot \mathbb{P},$$
$$g(s, y_s, z_s) = \frac{f(s, y_s, z_s) - f(s, y_s, 0)}{|z_s|^2} z_s \mathbb{1}_{|z_s| \neq 0},$$

and $W^{\mathbb{Q}} := W - \int_0^\cdot g(s, y_s, z_s) ds$ is a \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion.

In finance, \mathbb{Q} is called risk-neutral measure or martingale measure (see El Karoui et al. (1997)). For convenience, let us assume that f only depends on z (hence $f = f(s, z)$ and $f(s, 0) = 0$). Then we have

$$y_t = \xi - \int_t^T z_s dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

*pck2016217@gmail.com (C.K. Pak)

When ξ is square-integrable, it is well-known that the fair price of ξ is evaluated as the expectation of the claim under \mathbb{Q} (see e.g. El Karoui et al. (1997)), that is,

$$y_t = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_t]. \quad (1.3)$$

At this point, one may be interested in looking for an "optimal" integrability condition, under which it is possible to represent the price by the risk-neutral measure, on terminal value. The paper of Ankirchner et al. (2009) gave a partial resolution to this problem. Motivated by the expression (1.3), they introduced the notation of measure solution which is benefit to give an efficient formula of pricing contingent claim by martingale measure. In Lipschitz setting, they showed the existence of the measure solution when the terminal value is L^p -integrable for $p > 1$. In this case, one can use the Hölder's inequality and the boundness of moments of the exponential martingale to show $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\xi] < \infty$. If the terminal value is assumed to be integrable (i.e. L^1 -integrable), it is not guaranteed that $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\xi] < \infty$, so the measure solution does not exist in general. That is, we need a stronger integrability condition on terminal value. Consequently, we want to find a sufficient integrability condition which is weaker than L^p -integrability for any $p > 1$ and is stronger than L^1 -integrability.

Obviously, the expression (1.3) is significant if and only if the following condition holds.

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[|\xi|] = \mathbb{E} \left[|\xi| \exp \left(\int_0^T g(s, z_s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T g^2(s, z_s) ds \right) \right] < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

As $|g(s, z_s)| \leq b$, above condition is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\xi| \exp \left(\int_0^T g(s, z_s) dW_s \right) \right] < \infty.$$

Hu and Tang (2018) showed the following useful inequalities.

- $e^x y \leq e^{\frac{x^2}{2\mu^2}} + e^{2\mu^2} y \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1+y)})$.
- $\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{2\mu^2} \left| \int_0^T q_s dW_s \right|^2 \right) \right] \leq [1 - \frac{b^2}{\mu^2} T]^{-1/2}$ if $|q_s| \leq b, \mu > b\sqrt{T}$.

From these two inequalities, we can deduce

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\xi| \exp \left(\int_0^T g(s, z_s) dW_s \right) \right] \leq \left[1 - \frac{b^2}{\mu^2} T \right]^{-1/2} + e^{2\mu^2} \mathbb{E} [|\xi| \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1+|\xi|)})].$$

So, we can get one sufficient condition to guarantee (1.4) such that

$$\mathbb{E} [|\xi| \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1+|\xi|)})] < \infty.$$

That is, ξ is required to be $L \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1+L)})$ -integrable. Furthermore, if the condition (1.4) is true, then ξ is integrable under the measure \mathbb{Q} , so the BSDE (1.1) is transferred into the BSDE (1.2) with integrable parameters whose solution is called the L^1 -solution. Also, the generating function of the equation (1.2) does not depend on z , so the additional assumption (see (1.5)) which is needed in the study of L^1 -solution can be eliminated.

Pardoux and Peng (1990) first introduced the notion of nonlinear BSDE and studied L^2 -solution under the Lipschitz condition on generator.

Briand et al. (2003) studied L^p -solutions ($p \geq 1$) of BSDEs with monotonic generators. On the other hand, they introduced the following sub-linear growth assumption on generator to ensure the wellposedness of L^1 -solution (hence $p = 1$).

$$|f(t, y, z) - f(t, 0, 0)| \leq a|y| + b|z|^q, \quad (t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d} \quad (1.5)$$

for some $q \in [0, 1)$. Later, Fan (2015) studied the wellposedness and comparisons of L^p -solutions ($p > 1$) under various kinds of extended monotonicity conditions. Also, Fan (2018) showed the existence, uniqueness and stability of L^1 -solutions to BSDEs under one-sided Osgood condition, one of extended monotonicity conditions. However, one cannot find any results about the comparison principle of L^1 -solutions.

Recently, Hu and Tang (2018) studied the solution to BSDE in $L \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1+L)})$ -setting such that $\mu > \mu_0$ for some critical value μ_0 , that is, the terminal value is assumed to be $L \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1+L)})$ -integrable. This integrability is stronger than $L \log L$ -integrability and weaker than L^p -integrability for any $p > 1$. They showed the existence of solution to that BSDE under the linear growth condition on the generator. Furthermore they gave counterpart examples which show that $L \log L$ -integrability is not sufficient to guarantee the existence of the solution.

Afterwards, Buckdahn et al. (2018) improved the existence result and gave the uniqueness result for the preceding BSDE under the Lipschitz condition by investigating the nice property of the solution Y that $\phi(|Y|, \mu)$ belongs to class (D) (this nice property will be used effectively in our discussion). In their proof of uniqueness, the Lipschitz assumption played a crucial role because the representation of a solution to the linear BSDE was used. Fan and Hu (2019) studied the critical case: $\mu = \mu_0$. Note that if $\mu < \mu_0$, then the BSDE does not admit a solution in general (see Hu and Tang (2018)). In this paper, we state the uniqueness result under One-Sided Osgood condition, the extended form of monotonicity conditions. The next subject of this paper is to state the comparison principles. As it is well known, the comparisons for BSDEs are fundamental in the theory of nonlinear expectations, particularly in constructing the dynamic risk measures. Cohen et al. (2010) showed a general comparison theorem by means of the super-martingale measure which is corresponded to the "no-arbitrage" condition in financial sense. In their paper, the terminal value was only assumed to guarantee the existence of a solution and the existence of certain super-martingale measure was also assumed, independently. For the BSDEs with $L \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1+L)})$ -integrable terminal values, we show the existence of such super-martingale measure. Then we can use directly the comparison theorems established by Cohen et al. (2010). This will just provide various applications to the world of dynamic risk measures in the same way as in Cohen et al. (2010). Also, we show the comparison theorem for BSDEs under one-sided Osgood condition (not Lipschitz in y) using penalization method. As the last subject, we state the stability result for the BSDEs with generators which is linear with respect to z under One-Sided Osgood condition. The basic idea in all the proof in this paper is to associate the solution of the main BSDE with the L^1 -solution of a certain BSDE with integrable parameters using Girsanov change, effectively.

2. Notations and Assumptions

- For $A \in \mathcal{F}$, \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable η and probability measure \mathbb{Q} , we define $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\eta; A] := \int_A \eta d\mathbb{Q}$. And $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\eta] := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\eta; \mathbb{Q}]$.
- $\mathbb{T}(0, T)$ is a set of stopping times τ such that $0 \leq \tau \leq T$.
- For any predictable process ϕ , we put $\mathcal{E}(\phi \cdot W) := \exp(\int_0^\cdot \phi_r dW_r - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\cdot \phi_r^2 dr)$.
- We say that the process $Y = \{Y_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ belongs to class (D) if the family $\{Y_\tau, \tau \in \mathbb{T}(0, T)\}$ is uniformly integrable.
- $|\cdot|$ means the standard Euclidean norm.
- $M^p([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}; \mathbb{Q})$ is the space of predictable processes Z with values in $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ such that

$$|Z|_{M^p} := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right]^{1 \wedge 1/p} < \infty.$$

If $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{P}$, then we denote it by $M^p([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d})$.

- $H_T^1(\mathbb{Q})$ is the space of real càdlàg, adapted processes Y such that $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|] < \infty$. If $\mathbb{Q} := \mathbb{P}$, then we use H_T^1 .
- The solution of (1.1) is denoted by a pair $\{(Y_t, Z_t), t \in [0, T]\}$ of predictable processes with values in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ such that Y is \mathbb{P} -a.s. continuous, $Z \in M^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d})$ and (Y, Z) satisfies the equation (1.1).

- For any real valued function g , we define $g^+ := \max(g, 0)$.

Define the real function ψ :

$$\psi(x, \mu) := x \exp(\mu \sqrt{2 \log(1+x)}), \quad (x, \mu) \in [0, \infty) \times (0, +\infty).$$

Then, it has the following properties (see Buckdahn et al. (2018); Hu and Tang (2018)).

- For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \geq 0$, we have

$$e^x y \leq e^{\frac{x^2}{2\mu^2}} + e^{2\mu^2} \psi(y, \mu). \quad (2.1)$$

- Let $\mu > b\sqrt{T}$. Then for any d -dimensional adapted process q with $|q_t| \leq b$ a.s., for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{2\mu^2} \left| \int_t^T q_s dW_s \right|^2 \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \leq \left[1 - \frac{b^2}{\mu^2} (T-t) \right]^{-1/2}. \quad (2.2)$$

- For any $\mu > 0$, $\psi(\cdot, \mu)$ is convex, that is, for any $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $x, y \in [0, +\infty)$,

$$\psi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y, \mu) \leq \lambda \psi(x, \mu) + (1-\lambda) \psi(y, \mu). \quad (2.3)$$

- For any $l > 1$, $x \leq 0$, we have

$$\psi(lx, \mu) \leq \psi(l, \mu) \psi(x, \mu). \quad (2.4)$$

We present some useful assumptions on generator below.

(A1) f satisfies the One-Sided Osgood condition with respect to y , that is, there exists a non-decreasing and concave function $\rho : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\rho(0) = 0$, $\rho(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{dt}{\rho(t)} = +\infty$ such that for any $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$,

$$\frac{y - y'}{|y - y'|} \mathbb{1}_{|y - y'| \neq 0} (f(t, y, z) - f(t, y', z)) \leq \rho(|y - y'|).$$

(A2) f is uniformly Lipschitz in z , that is, there exists a constant b such that for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$,

$$|f(t, y, z) - f(t, y, z')| \leq b|z - z'|.$$

(A3) The map $y \mapsto f(t, y, z)$ is continuous.

(A4) f has linear growth in y , that is, there exists a constant $a \geq 0$ such that for any $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$,

$$|f(t, y, z) - f(t, 0, z)| \leq a|y|$$

(A5) f is uniformly Lipschitz in y , that is, there exists a constant r such that for any $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$,

$$|f(t, y, z) - f(t, y', z)| \leq r|y - y'|.$$

3. Uniqueness

Theorem 3.1. Let assumptions **(A1)**, **(A2)** hold. Then, BSDE (1.1) has at most one solution (Y, Z) such that $\psi(Y, c)$ belongs to class (D) for some $c > 0$.

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$, let (Y^i, Z^i) be a solution to (1.1) such that $\psi(Y^i, c^i)$ belongs to the class (D) for some $c^i > 0$. Since $\psi(x, \mu)$ is non-decreasing in μ , both $\psi(Y^1, c)$ and $\psi(Y^2, c)$ belong to class (D) for $c = c^1 \wedge c^2$.

Define $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) := (Y^1 - Y^2, Z^1 - Z^2)$. For any $\tau \in \mathbb{T}(0, T)$, by (2.3) and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(|\bar{Y}_\tau|, c) &\leq \psi(|Y_\tau^1| + |Y_\tau^2|, c) = \frac{1}{2}\psi(2|Y_\tau^1|, c) + \frac{1}{2}\psi(2|Y_\tau^2|, c) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\psi(2, c)[\psi(|Y_\tau^1|, c) + \psi(|Y_\tau^2|, c)]. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\psi(|\bar{Y}|, c)$ is also belongs to class (D) .

We first restrict our discussion to the case of $T < \frac{c^2}{b^2}$ (hence $c > b\sqrt{T}$). Obviously, (\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) satisfies the following equation.

$$\bar{Y}_t = \int_t^T \bar{f}(s, \bar{Y}_s, \bar{Z}_s) ds - \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.1)$$

where $\bar{f}(s, y, z) := f(s, y + Y_s^2, z + Z_s^2) - f(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2)$.

We define

$$\bar{g}(s, y, z) := \mathbb{1}_{|z| \neq 0} \frac{\bar{f}(s, y, z) - \bar{f}(s, y, 0)}{|z|^2} z.$$

Then, it holds that

$$\bar{g}_s := \bar{g}(s, \bar{Y}_s, \bar{Z}_s) = \mathbb{1}_{|\bar{Z}_s| \neq 0} \frac{f(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - f(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^2)}{|\bar{Z}_s|^2} \bar{Z}_s.$$

From the assumption **(A2)**, we get $|\bar{g}| \leq b$, a.s. and so $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}(\bar{g} \bullet W)_t] = \exp\left(\int_0^t \bar{g}_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \bar{g}_s^2 ds\right)$ is an uniformly integrable martingale.

By the virtue of Girsanov change, we have

$$\bar{Y}_t = \int_t^T \bar{f}(s, \bar{Y}_s, 0) ds - \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s dW_s^\mathbb{Q}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

where $\mathbb{Q} := \mathcal{E}(\bar{g}_s \bullet W)_T \cdot \mathbb{P}$, and $W^\mathbb{Q} := W - \int_0^\cdot \bar{g}_s ds$.

Note that \mathbb{Q} is a probability measure equivalent to \mathbb{P} and $W^\mathbb{Q}$ is a Brownian motion under \mathbb{Q} . Then, for any $\tau \in \mathbb{T}(0, T)$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, by (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{Q}(|\bar{Y}_\tau|; A) &= \mathbb{E}(|\bar{Y}_\tau| \cdot \mathcal{E}(\bar{g} \bullet W)_\tau; A) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[|\bar{Y}_\tau| \exp\left(\int_0^T \bar{g}_s dW_s\right); A\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{|\int_0^T \bar{g}_s dW_s|^2}{2c^2}\right) + e^{2c^2} \psi(|\bar{Y}_\tau|, c); A\right] \\ &\leq (1 - \frac{b^2}{c^2} T)^{-1/2} + \mathbb{E}[e^{2c^2} \psi(|\bar{Y}_\tau|, c); A]. \end{aligned}$$

So, \bar{Y} belongs to class (D) under \mathbb{Q} . Now we give an estimate on \bar{Z} under \mathbb{Q} .

Let $1 < p < \infty$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ and $k = \frac{\sqrt{p}}{2(\sqrt{p}-1)}$. Then for any $\tau \in \mathbb{T}(0, T)$,

$$\mathcal{E}((k\bar{g}) \bullet W)_\tau = \exp\left(\int_0^\tau k\bar{g}_s dW_s\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau k^2 \bar{g}_s^2 ds\right) \geq \exp\left(\int_0^\tau k\bar{g}_s dW_s\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} b^2 k^2 T\right).$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}((k\bar{g}) \bullet W)_\tau] = 1$, we obtain

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}(0, T)} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\int_0^\tau k\bar{g}_s dW_s)] \leq \exp(\frac{1}{2} b^2 k^2 T).$$

Therefore, according to Kazamaki (1994), Theorem 1.5, $\mathcal{E}(\bar{g} \bullet W)$ is L^q -bounded martingale. Using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |\bar{Z}_s|^2 ds \right)^{1/p} \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T |\bar{Z}_s|^2 ds \right)^{1/p} \mathcal{E}(\bar{g} \bullet W)_T \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\bar{Z}_s|^2 ds \right]^{1/p} \cdot \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}(\bar{g} \bullet W)_T^q]^{1/q} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\bar{p} := \frac{2}{p}$, then $\bar{Z} \in M^{\bar{p}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}; \mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, due to the arbitrariness of p , it holds that $\bar{Z} \in M^{\bar{p}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}; \mathbb{Q})$ for any $0 < \bar{p} < 2$.

Therefore, (\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) is an (L^1-) solution of the following BSDE such that \bar{Y} belongs to class (D) and $\bar{Z} \in M^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}; \mathbb{Q})$ for any $0 < \bar{p} < 2$.

$$y_t = \int_t^T \bar{f}(s, y, 0) ds - \int_t^T z_s dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\bar{f}(s, 0, 0) = 0$, a pair $(0, 0)$ is also a solution of (3.2).

On the other hand, for any $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y - y'}{|y - y'|} \mathbb{1}_{|y - y'| \neq 0} (\bar{f}(s, y, 0) - \bar{f}(s, y', 0)) \\ = \frac{y - y'}{|y - y'|} \mathbb{1}_{|y - y'| \neq 0} (f(s, y + Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - f(s, y' + Y_s^2, Z_s^2)) \leq \rho(|y - y'|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to the uniqueness of L^1 -solution of BSDEs with generators of One-Sided Osgood type (see Fan (2018), Theorem 1), we have $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = (0, 0)$. For larger value of T , we first discuss on interval $[T - \delta, T]$ for small $\delta > 0$ from which we get $(\bar{Y}_t, \bar{Z}_t) = 0$ for $T - \delta \leq t \leq T$ and then with the terminal value $\bar{Y}_{T-\delta} = 0$, we discuss on interval $[T - 2\delta, T - \delta]$ from which $(\bar{Y}_t, \bar{Z}_t) = 0$ for $T - 2\delta \leq t \leq T - \delta$ and so on by an inductive argument. This provides $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = 0$ on the whole interval $[0, T]$. That is, we have $Y^1 = Y^2$ and $Z^1 = Z^2$. \square

Due to the existence result (Buckdahn et al. (2018), Theorem 2.4), we get the following result.

Corollary 3.2. Suppose that (A1), (A2), (A3) and (A4) hold. We further assume that there exists a constant $\mu > b\sqrt{T}$ such that

$$\psi \left(|\xi| + \int_0^T |f(t, 0, 0)| dt, \mu \right) \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P}). \quad (3.3)$$

Then, BSDE (1.1) has a unique solution (Y, Z) such that $\psi(Y, c)$ belongs to the class (D) for some $c > 0$. Moreover we have the following estimate on Y .

$$|Y_t| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2}{\mu^2}(T - t)}} e^{a(T-t)} + e^{2\mu^2 + a(T-t)} \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(|\xi| + \int_t^T |f(s, 0, 0)| ds, \mu \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

Remark 3.1. We also have an estimate on $\psi(Y, \mu)$ (See the last inequality in the proof of Buckdahn et al. (2018), Theorem 2.4). For some constants $A, B \geq 0$, it holds that

$$\psi(|Y_t|, \mu) \leq A + B \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(|\xi| + \int_0^T |f(s, 0, 0)| ds, \mu \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

4. Comparisons

We first show the comparison principle for the BSDE with Lipschitz generator.

Theorem 4.1. Let (ξ, f) and (ξ', f') be any two pairs of terminal value and generator of (1.1), respectively. Let (Y, Z) and (Y', Z') be associated solutions such that $\psi(Y, c)$ and $\psi(Y', c')$ belong to class (D) for some $c, c' > 0$. Suppose that f satisfies (A2) and (A5). If $\xi \leq \xi'$ and $f(t, Y', Z') \leq f'(t, Y', Z')$ then $Y_t \leq Y'_t$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. Moreover this comparison is strict, that is, if $Y_t^1 = Y_t^2$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. on $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, then $Y_s^1 = Y_s^2$ on $[t, T] \times A$ up to evanescence.

Proof. As we showed at the beginning part of the proof of theorem 3.1, $\psi(Y - Y', c_0)$ belongs to class (D) for $c_0 = c \wedge c'$. We assume that $c_0 > b\sqrt{T}$ without loss of generality. For larger T , we can adopt the same strategy as in the proof of theorem 3.1.

Let us define the process:

$$\Gamma_s := \frac{f(s, Y'_s, Z_s) - f(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)}{|Z_s - Z'_s|^2} \mathbb{1}_{|Z_s - Z'_s| \neq 0} (Z_s - Z'_s)$$

which is uniformly bounded. The measure \mathbb{Q} is defined as follows.

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} := \mathcal{E}(\Gamma \bullet W)_T = \int_0^T \Gamma_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \Gamma_s^2 ds.$$

Then, $W^\mathbb{Q} := W - \int_0^\cdot \Gamma_s ds$ is \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion. As we showed in preceding discussion, $Z - Z' \in M^q(\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}; \mathbb{Q})$ for any $q \in (1, 2)$. Therefore,

$$- \int_0^t (f(s, Y'_s, Z_s) - f(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)) ds + \int_0^t (Z_s - Z'_s) dW_s = \int_0^t (Z_s - Z'_s) dW_s^\mathbb{Q}.$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -martingale. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{Q} \left[\int_0^T (f(s, Y_s, Z_s) - f(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)) ds \right] &\leq r \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{Q} \left[\int_0^T |Y_s - Y'_s| ds \right] \\ &= r \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |Y_s - Y'_s| \mathcal{E}(\Gamma \bullet W)_T ds \right] \\ &\leq r \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \left(1 - \frac{b^2}{c_0^2} T \right)^{-1/2} + e^{2c_0^2} \psi(|Y_s - Y'_s|, c_0) ds \right] \\ &\leq rT \left(1 - \frac{b^2}{c_0^2} T \right)^{-1/2} + rT e^{2c_0^2} \cdot \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}[\psi(|Y_\tau - Y'_\tau|, c_0)] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now, both comparison and strict comparison theorems just follow from Cohen et al. (2010), Theorems 1, 2 and 3. \square

Remark 4.1. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1, we can see that the solution of the BSDE (1.1) is unique. So, we have provided an alternative method for the proof of the uniqueness part in Lipschitz setting than that of Buckdahn et al. (2018).

Now we discuss the comparison theorem under one-sided Osgood condition.

Theorem 4.2. The comparison theorem still holds under the assumptions (A1) and (A2).

Proof. Set $\bar{\xi} := \xi - \xi'$, $\bar{f} := f - f'$, $\delta f(s, y, z) := f(s, y + Y'_s, z + Z'_s) - f(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)$. The pair $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) := (Y - Y', Z - Z')$ satisfies

$$\bar{Y}_t = \bar{\xi} + \int_t^T [\delta f(s, \bar{Y}_s, \bar{Z}_s) + \bar{f}(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)] ds - \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s dW_s.$$

After an application of the Girsanov change, we have

$$\bar{Y}_t = \bar{\xi} + \int_t^T [\delta f(s, \bar{Y}_s, 0) + \bar{f}(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)] ds - \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the probability measure \mathbb{Q} is similarly defined as before.

Note that $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\bar{\xi}] < \infty$, $\delta f(s, 0, 0) = 0$ and \bar{Y}_t belongs to class (D) under \mathbb{Q} . We also note that $\bar{Z} \in M^q(\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}; \mathbb{Q})$ for any $q \in (1, 2)$. Applying Tanaka's formula to (4.1),

$$\bar{Y}_t^+ = \bar{\xi}^+ + \int_t^T \mathbb{1}_{\bar{Y}_s > 0} [\delta f(s, \bar{Y}_s, 0) + \bar{f}(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)] ds - \int_t^T \mathbb{1}_{\bar{Y}_s > 0} \bar{Z}_s dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}} - \frac{1}{2} L_t^0, \quad (4.2)$$

where L_t^0 is the local time of \bar{Y}_t at 0, it is an increasing process such that $L_0^0 = 0$. Since $\bar{f}(s, Y'_s, Z'_s) \leq 0$, we see that

$$\mathbb{1}_{\bar{Y}_s > 0} [\delta f(s, \bar{Y}_s, 0) + \bar{f}(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)] \leq \mathbb{1}_{\bar{Y}_s > 0} \cdot \delta f(s, \bar{Y}_s, 0) = \mathbb{1}_{\bar{Y}_s > 0} \frac{\bar{Y}_s}{|\bar{Y}_s|} \cdot \delta f(s, \bar{Y}_s, 0) \leq \rho(\bar{Y}_s^+).$$

On the other hand, the function $\rho(\cdot)$ has linear growth since it is non-decreasing and concave valued 0 at 0. If we denote by l the linear growth, then $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\rho(\bar{Y}_s^+)] \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[l(\bar{Y}_s^+ + 1)] = l(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\bar{Y}_s^+] + 1) < \infty$.

Taking conditional expectations on both sides of (4.2) with respect to \mathbb{Q} , we get

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\bar{Y}_t^+ | \mathcal{F}_t] \leq \int_t^T \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\rho(\bar{Y}_s^+) | \mathcal{F}_t] ds \leq \int_t^T \rho(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\bar{Y}_s^+ | \mathcal{F}_t]) ds$$

where we used Jensen's inequality and $\bar{\xi}^+ = 0$. Then, Bihari's inequality implies that $\bar{Y}_t^+ = 0$, $\mathbb{Q} - a.s.$ for each $t \in [0, T]$. As \mathbb{Q} is equivalent to \mathbb{P} , we have $\bar{Y}_t^+ = 0$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$ Hence $Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$ \square

Remark 4.2. Theorem 4.2 can be regarded as a generalization of Theorem 3.1, as one sees easily. In general, the strict comparison theorem does not hold in a monotonicity setting (see Pardoux and Răşcanu (2014), pp. 416).

5. Stability

In this section, we state the stability result for BSDE (1.1). We shall restrict to the case where the generator is linear with respect to z . The more general case is left for the future work. Before we study the stability, we give the following useful lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Suppose that the generator satisfies **(A1)**, **(A2)**, **(A3)** and **(A4)**. Instead of (3.3), we assume that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(|\xi| + \int_0^T |f(t, 0, 0)| dt, \mu \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P}), \mu > b\sqrt{T}.$$

Then the BSDE (1.1) has a unique solution such that $\psi(|Y|, \mu) \in H_T^1$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.2, (1.1) has a unique solution (Y, Z) such that $\psi(Y, \mu)$ belongs to class (D) . Due to (3.5), we can see that $\psi(|Y|, \mu) \in H_T^1$. \square

Theorem 5.2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let us consider the following BSDEs depending on parameter n :

$$Y_t^n = \xi^n + \int_t^T f^n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

We introduce the following assumptions.

1. For all n , ξ^n and f^n satisfy **(A1)**, **(A2)**, **(A3)** and **(A4)** with the same parameters $\rho(\cdot)$, a , b .
2. f^0 is linear with respect to z , that is, $f^0(s, y, z) = f^0(s, y, 0) + bz$.

3. There exists a constant $\mu > b\sqrt{T}$ such that

$$\psi\left(\xi^0 + \int_0^T f^0(t, 0, 0) dt, \mu\right) \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P}).$$

4. There exists a non-negative real sequence $(l_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ which converges to 0 such that for each n , for any $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$,

$$|f^n(s, y, z) - f^0(s, y, z)| \leq l_n, \quad d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.s.$$

5. There exists a random variable η satisfying $\psi(\eta, \mu) \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ such that $|\xi^n - \xi^0| \leq \eta$ for any $n \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{E}[|\xi^n - \xi^0|] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$

6. There exists a constant $\mu > b\sqrt{T}$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi\left(|\xi^0| + \int_0^T |f^0(t, 0, 0)| dt, \mu\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P}).$$

7. There exists a random variable η satisfying $\psi(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\eta | \mathcal{F}_t], \mu) \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ such that $|\xi^n - \xi^0| \leq \eta$ for any $n \geq 1$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|\xi^n - \xi^0| | \mathcal{F}_t]\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(i) Under assumptions 1-5, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\psi(|Y_t^n - Y_t^0|, \mu)] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

and for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \psi(|Y_t^n - Y_t^0|, \mu)^\beta + \left(\int_0^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^0|^2 ds\right)^{\beta/2}\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(ii) Moreover, if assumptions 3,5 are replaced by assumptions 6,7, then it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \psi(|Y_t^n - Y_t^0|, \mu)\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 5.1. If assumptions 3-5 (resp., assumptions 4,6,7) are true, then it just follows from the expressions (2.3) and (2.4) that $\psi(|\xi^n| + \int_0^T |f^n(t, 0, 0)| dt, \mu) \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$. (resp., $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\psi(|\xi^n| + \int_0^T |f^n(t, 0, 0)| dt, \mu) | \mathcal{F}_t] \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$.) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that assumptions 6,7 are stronger than assumptions 3,5, respectively.

Proof of Theorem 5.2.

(i). By the virtue of Girsanov change, we have for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$Y_t^n = \xi^n + \int_t^T f^n(s, Y_s^n, 0) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}^n}.$$

where

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^n}{d\mathbb{P}} := \mathcal{E}(g^n \bullet W)_T, \quad W^{\mathbb{Q}^n} := W - \int_0^\cdot g^n(s) ds.$$

$$g^n(s) := \frac{f^n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - f^n(s, Y_s^n, 0)}{|Z_s^n|^2} \mathbb{1}_{|Z_s^n| \neq 0} Z_s^n.$$

We put $\mathbb{Q} := \mathbb{Q}^0$. Clearly, $W^{\mathbb{Q}^n} = W^{\mathbb{Q}} - \int_0^\cdot (g^n(s) - g^0(s)) ds$ for each n . So, we get

$$Y_t^n = \xi^n + \int_t^T \bar{f}^n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

where $\bar{f}^n(s, y, z) := f^n(s, y, z) - g^0(s)z = f^n(s, y, z) - bz$.

Note that $\bar{f}^0(s, y, z) = f^0(s, y, 0)$. The same arguments as in the proof of preceding results give that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\eta] < \infty, \quad \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\xi^n + \int_0^T \bar{f}^n(s, 0, 0) ds\right] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\xi^n + \int_0^T f^n(s, 0, 0) ds\right] < \infty.$$

Moreover, both processes Y^n and $\psi(|Y^n|, \mu)$ belong to class (D) under \mathbb{Q} and $Z^n \in M^{\bar{p}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}; \mathbb{Q})$, for any $0 < \bar{p} < 2$.

And \bar{f}^n has the sublinear growth in z from

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{f}^n(s, y, z) - \bar{f}^n(s, y, 0)| &= |f^n(s, y, z) - f^n(s, y, 0) - bz| \\ &\leq 2l_n + |f^0(s, y, z) - f^0(s, y, 0) - bz| = 2l_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each n , (Y^n, Z^n) is a unique L^1 -solution of the following BSDE under \mathbb{Q} .

$$y_t = \xi^n + \int_t^T \bar{f}^n(s, y_s, z_s) ds - \int_t^T z_s dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}}. \quad (5.1)$$

From the assumption, ξ^n converges to ξ^0 in probability and so does under \mathbb{Q} . As $|\xi^n - \xi^0| \leq \eta$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\eta] < \infty$, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[|\xi^n - \xi^0|] = 0$. Also, it holds that $|\bar{f}^n(s, y, z) - \bar{f}^0(s, y, z)| = |f^n(s, y, z) - f^0(s, y, z)| \leq l_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Now we can use the stability results of L^1 -solutions to BSDE (5.1). According to Fan (2018), Theorem 4, it holds that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[|Y_t^n - Y_t^0|] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

and for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^n - Y_t^0|^\beta + \left(\int_0^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^0|^2 ds\right)^{\beta/2}\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.3)$$

For the simplicity, we define

$$Y^{n,0} := Y^n - Y^0, \quad Z^{n,0} := Z^n - Z^0, \quad \xi^{n,0} := \xi^n - \xi^0, \quad \bar{f}^{n,0} := \bar{f}^n - \bar{f}^0 = f^n - f^0 =: f^{n,0}.$$

The expression (5.2) implies that $Y_t^{n,0} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ uniformly in t . As the measure \mathbb{Q} is equivalent to \mathbb{P} , we see that $Y_t^{n,0} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ uniformly in t . Moreover, it follows that $\psi(|Y_t^{n,0}|, \mu) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ uniformly in t from the fact that $\psi(\cdot, \mu)$ is strictly increasing. On the other hand, using the expression (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(|Y_t^{n,0}|, \mu) &\leq A + B \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\psi\left(|\xi^{n,0}| + \int_0^T |f^{n,0}(s, 0, 0)| ds, \mu\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &\leq A + B \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\psi\left(\eta + T \sup_n l_n, \mu\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] =: (*). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[(*)] = \mathbb{E}[(*)] \leq A + \frac{1}{2} B \psi(2, \mu) \cdot [\psi(\eta, \mu) + \psi(T \sup_n l_n, \mu)] < \infty.$$

So, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\psi(|Y_t^{n,0}|, \mu)] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From the expression (5.3), the process $|Y_t^{n,0}|^\beta \xrightarrow{ucp} 0$ under \mathbb{Q} , so does under \mathbb{P} . Since $\psi(\cdot, \mu)$ is strictly increasing, $\psi(|Y_t^{n,0}|^\beta, \mu) \xrightarrow{ucp} 0$. Using (5.4) and Briand et al. (2003), Lemma 6.1, we deduce for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_n \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \psi(|Y_t^{n,0}|, \mu)^\beta\right] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_n \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (*)^\beta\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (*)^\beta\right] \\ &\leq A^\beta + B^\beta \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi(\eta + T \sup_n l_n, \mu)\right]^\beta < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem ensures that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \psi(|Y_t^{n,0}|, \mu)^\beta\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, for any $\varepsilon < (1 - \beta)/\beta$, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_s^{n,0}|^2 ds\right)^{\beta/2}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_s^{n,0}|^2 ds\right)^{\beta/2} [\mathcal{E}(b \bullet W)_T]^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \cdot [\mathcal{E}(b \bullet W)_T]^{-1/(1+\varepsilon)}\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_s^{n,0}|^2 ds\right)^{\beta(1+\varepsilon)/2} \mathcal{E}(b \bullet W)_T\right]^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \cdot \mathbb{E}([\mathcal{E}(b \bullet W)_T]^{-1/\varepsilon})^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{Q}\left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_s^{n,0}|^2 ds\right)^{\beta(1+\varepsilon)/2}\right]^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \cdot \mathbb{E}([\mathcal{E}(b \bullet W)_T]^{-1/\varepsilon})^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.3), the last term tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \psi(|Y_t^{n,0}|, \mu)^\beta + \left(\int_0^T |Z_s^{n,0}|^2 ds\right)^{\beta/2}\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

which is the desired result.

(ii). We can have very similar procedure as in the proof of the first assertion, so we only sketch the proof. Due to assumption 7, we see that $\mathbb{E}^\mathbb{Q}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|\xi^n - \xi^0| | \mathcal{F}_t]\right] \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Using lemma 5.1, we deduce that $Y \in H_T^1(\mathbb{Q})$. Then, according to the stability result of L^1 -solution (Fan (2018), Theorem 5), it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}^\mathbb{Q}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^{n,0}| + \left(\int_0^T |Z_s^{n,0}|^2 ds\right)^{1/2}\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It can be easily seen that $\psi(|Y_t^{n,0}|, \mu) \xrightarrow{ucp} 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ under \mathbb{P} . By the expression (5.4) and assumption 7,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_n \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \psi(|Y_t^{n,0}|, \mu)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_n \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (*)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (*)\right] < \infty.$$

Now, we can use dominated convergence theorem to get the conclusion. □

Remark 5.2. Perhaps, one can try to prove directly the stability theorem without using the properties of L^1 -solution. But this is not the objective within our framework.

Remark 5.3. One can easily check that the framework of this paper is also adapted to the critical case of $\mu = b\sqrt{T}$ due to the counter existence result of solution (see Fan and Hu (2019)).

References

- Ankirchner, S., Imkeller, P., Popier, A., 2009. On measure solutions of backward stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 119, 2744–2772.
- Briand, P., Delyon, B., Hu, Y., Pardoux, E., Stoica, L., 2003. L^p solutions of backward stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 108 (1), 109–129.
- Buckdahn, R., Hu, Y., Tang, S., 2018. Uniqueness of solution to scalar BSDEs with $L \exp(\mu\sqrt{2 \log(1+L)})$ -integrable terminal values. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* 23 (59), 8pp.
- Cohen, S. N., Elliott, R. J., Pearce, C. E. M., 2010. A general comparison theorem for backward stochastic differential equations. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* 42, 878–898.
- El Karoui, N., Peng, S., Quenez, M. C., 1997. Backward Stochastic Differential Equations in Finance. *Math. Finance* 7, 1–71.
- Fan, S., 2015. L^p solutions of multidimensional BSDEs with weak monotonicity and general growth generators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 432, 156–178.
- Fan, S., 2018. Existence, Uniqueness and Stability of L^1 Solutions for Multidimensional Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Generators of One-Sided Osgood Type. *J. Theor. Probab.* 31, 1860–1899.
- Fan, S., Hu, Y., 2019. Existence and uniqueness of solution to scalar BSDEs with $L \exp(\mu\sqrt{2 \log(1+L)})$ -integrable terminal values: the critical case. arXiv: 1904.02761v1 [math.PR], submitted to *Electron. Commun. Probab.*
- Hu, Y., Tang, S., 2018. Existence of solution to scalar BSDEs with $L \exp(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \log(1+L))$ -integrable terminal values. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* 23 (27), 11pp.
- Kazamaki, N., 1994. Continuous Exponential Martingales and BMO. in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1579, Springer, Berlin.
- Pardoux, E., Peng, S., 1990. Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. *Systems Control Lett.* 14 (1), 55–61.
- Pardoux, E., Răşcanu, A., 2014. *Stochastic Differential Equations, Backward SDEs, Partial Differential Equations.* Springer-Verlag.