

BIBLIOGRAPHIC RECORD TARGET

Graduate Library
University of Michigan

Preservation Office

Storage Number: _____

AAT1273

UL FMT B RT a BL m T/C DT 07/15/88 R/DT 07/15/88 CC STAT mm E/L 1

010: : |a 05026791

035/1: : |a (RLIN)MIUG84-B55766

035/2: : |a (CaOTULAS)160189692

040: : |a RPB |c RPB |d MiU

050/1:0 : |a QA9 |b .R93

100:1 : |a Russell, Bertrand, |d 1872-1970.

245:04: |a The principles of mathematics, |c by Bertrand Russell. |n vol. I.

260: : |a Cambridge, |b University press, |c 1903.

300/1: : |a xxix, 534 p. |c 25 cm.

500/1: : |a No more published. The material on the subject originally intended to form the 2d volume was later developed into an independent work: Principia mathematica, by A. N. Whitehead and Bertrand Russell, published in 3 vols., Cambridge, 1910-13.

Scanned by Imagenes Digitales
Nogales, AZ

On behalf of
Preservation Division
The University of Michigan Libraries

Date work Began: _____

Camera Operator: _____

THE PRINCIPLES
OF
MATHEMATICS

London: C. J. CLAY AND SONS,
CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE,
AVE MARIA LANE.

Glasgow: 50, WELLINGTON STREET.



Leipzig: F. A. BROCKHAUS.

New York: THE MACMILLAN COMPANY.

Bombay and Calcutta: MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD.

[*All Rights reserved.*]

THE PRINCIPLES
OF
MATHEMATICS

BY

BERTRAND RUSSELL M.A.,
LATE FELLOW OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE

VOL. I.

CAMBRIDGE:
at the University Press

1903

Cambridge:

PRINTED BY J. AND C. F. CLAY,
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

PREFACE.

THE present work has two main objects. One of these, the proof that all pure mathematics deals exclusively with concepts definable in terms of a very small number of fundamental logical concepts, and that all its propositions are deducible from a very small number of fundamental logical principles, is undertaken in Parts II.—VII. of this Volume, and will be established by strict symbolic reasoning in Volume II. The demonstration of this thesis has, if I am not mistaken, all the certainty and precision of which mathematical demonstrations are capable. As the thesis is very recent among mathematicians, and is almost universally denied by philosophers, I have undertaken, in this volume, to defend its various parts, as occasion arose, against such adverse theories as appeared most widely held or most difficult to disprove. I have also endeavoured to present, in language as untechnical as possible, the more important stages in the deductions by which the thesis is established.

The other object of this work, which occupies Part I., is the explanation of the fundamental concepts which mathematics accepts as indefinable. This is a purely philosophical task, and I cannot flatter myself that I have done more than indicate a vast field of inquiry, and give a sample of the methods by which the inquiry may be conducted. The discussion of indefinables—which forms the chief part of philosophical logic—is the endeavour to see clearly, and to make others see clearly, the entities concerned, in order that the mind may have that kind of acquaintance with them which it has with redness or the taste of a pineapple. Where, as in the present case, the indefinables are obtained primarily as the necessary residue in a process of analysis, it is often easier to know that there must be such entities than actually to perceive them; there is a process analogous to that which resulted in the discovery of Neptune, with the difference that the final stage—the search with a mental telescope for the entity which has been inferred—is often the most difficult part of the undertaking. In the case of classes, I must confess, I have failed to perceive any concept fulfilling the conditions

requisite for the notion of *class*. And the contradiction discussed in Chapter x. proves that something is amiss, but what this is I have hitherto failed to discover.

The second volume, in which I have had the great good fortune to secure the collaboration of Mr A. N. Whitehead, will be addressed exclusively to mathematicians; it will contain chains of deductions, from the premisses of symbolic logic through Arithmetic, finite and infinite, to Geometry, in an order similar to that adopted in the present volume; it will also contain various original developments, in which the method of Professor Peano, as supplemented by the Logic of Relations, has shown itself a powerful instrument of mathematical investigation.

The present volume, which may be regarded either as a commentary upon, or as an introduction to, the second volume, is addressed in equal measure to the philosopher and to the mathematician; but some parts will be more interesting to the one, others to the other. I should advise mathematicians, unless they are specially interested in Symbolic Logic, to begin with Part IV., and only refer to earlier parts as occasion arises. The following portions are more specially philosophical: Part I. (omitting Chapter II.); Part II., Chapters XI., XV., XVI., XVII.; Part III.; Part IV., § 207, Chapters XXVI., XXVII., XXXI.; Part V., Chapters XLI., XLII., XLIII.; Part VI., Chapters L., LI., LII.; Part VII., Chapters LIII., LIV., LV., LVII., LVIII.; and the two Appendices, which belong to Part I., and should be read in connection with it. Professor Frege's work, which largely anticipates my own, was for the most part unknown to me when the printing of the present work began; I had seen his *Grundgesetze der Arithmetik*, but, owing to the great difficulty of his symbolism, I had failed to grasp its importance or to understand its contents. The only method, at so late a stage, of doing justice to his work, was to devote an Appendix to it; and in some points the views contained in the Appendix differ from those in Chapter VI., especially in §§ 71, 73, 74. On questions discussed in these sections, I discovered errors after passing the sheets for the press; these errors, of which the chief are the denial of the null-class, and the identification of a term with the class whose only member it is, are rectified in the Appendices. The subjects treated are so difficult that I feel little confidence in my present opinions, and regard any conclusions which may be advocated as essentially hypotheses.

A few words as to the origin of the present work may serve to show the importance of the questions discussed. About six years ago, I began an investigation into the philosophy of Dynamics. I was met by the difficulty that, when a particle is subject to several forces,

no one of the component accelerations actually occurs, but only the resultant acceleration, of which they are not parts; this fact rendered illusory such causation of particulars by particulars as is affirmed, at first sight, by the law of gravitation. It appeared also that the difficulty in regard to absolute motion is insoluble on a relational theory of space. From these two questions I was led to a re-examination of the principles of Geometry, thence to the philosophy of continuity and infinity, and thence, with a view to discovering the meaning of the word *any*, to Symbolic Logic. The final outcome, as regards the philosophy of Dynamics, is perhaps rather slender; the reason of this is, that almost all the problems of Dynamics appear to me empirical, and therefore outside the scope of such a work as the present. Many very interesting questions have had to be omitted, especially in Parts VI. and VII., as not relevant to my purpose, which, for fear of misunderstandings, it may be well to explain at this stage.

When actual objects are counted, or when Geometry and Dynamics are applied to actual space or actual matter, or when, in any other way, mathematical reasoning is applied to what exists, the reasoning employed has a form not dependent upon the objects to which it is applied being just those objects that they are, but only upon their having certain general properties. In pure mathematics, actual objects in the world of existence will never be in question, but only hypothetical objects having those general properties upon which depends whatever deduction is being considered; and these general properties will always be expressible in terms of the fundamental concepts which I have called logical constants. Thus when space or motion is spoken of in pure mathematics, it is not actual space or actual motion, as we know them in experience, that are spoken of, but any entity possessing those abstract general properties of space or motion that are employed in the reasonings of geometry or dynamics. The question whether these properties belong, as a matter of fact, to actual space or actual motion, is irrelevant to pure mathematics, and therefore to the present work, being, in my opinion, a purely empirical question, to be investigated in the laboratory or the observatory. Indirectly, it is true, the discussions connected with pure mathematics have a very important bearing upon such empirical questions, since mathematical space and motion are held by many, perhaps most, philosophers to be self-contradictory, and therefore necessarily different from actual space and motion, whereas, if the views advocated in the following pages be valid, no such self-contradictions are to be found in mathematical space and motion. But extra-mathematical considerations of this kind have been almost wholly excluded from the present work.

On fundamental questions of philosophy, my position, in all its chief features, is derived from Mr G. E. Moore. I have accepted from him the non-existential nature of propositions (except such as happen to assert existence) and their independence of any knowing mind; also the pluralism which regards the world, both that of existents and that of entities, as composed of an infinite number of mutually independent entities, with relations which are ultimate, and not reducible to adjectives of their terms or of the whole which these compose. Before learning these views from him, I found myself completely unable to construct any philosophy of arithmetic, whereas their acceptance brought about an immediate liberation from a large number of difficulties which I believe to be otherwise insuperable. The doctrines just mentioned are, in my opinion, quite indispensable to any even tolerably satisfactory philosophy of mathematics, as I hope the following pages will show. But I must leave it to my readers to judge how far the reasoning assumes these doctrines, and how far it supports them. Formally, my premisses are simply assumed; but the fact that they allow mathematics to be true, which most current philosophies do not, is surely a powerful argument in their favour.

In Mathematics, my chief obligations, as is indeed evident, are to Georg Cantor and Professor Peano. If I had become acquainted sooner with the work of Professor Frege, I should have owed a great deal to him, but as it is I arrived independently at many results which he had already established. At every stage of my work, I have been assisted more than I can express by the suggestions, the criticisms, and the generous encouragement of Mr A. N. Whitehead; he also has kindly read my proofs, and greatly improved the final expression of a very large number of passages. Many useful hints I owe also to Mr W. E. Johnson; and in the more philosophical parts of the book I owe much to Mr G. E. Moore besides the general position which underlies the whole.

In the endeavour to cover so wide a field, it has been impossible to acquire an exhaustive knowledge of the literature. There are doubtless many important works with which I am unacquainted; but where the labour of thinking and writing necessarily absorbs so much time, such ignorance, however regrettable, seems not wholly avoidable.

Many words will be found, in the course of discussion, to be defined in senses apparently departing widely from common usage. Such departures, I must ask the reader to believe, are never wanton, but have been made with great reluctance. In philosophical matters, they have been necessitated mainly by two causes. First, it often happens that

two cognate notions are both to be considered, and that language has two names for the one, but none for the other. It is then highly convenient to distinguish between the two names commonly used as synonyms, keeping one for the usual, the other for the hitherto nameless sense. The other cause arises from philosophical disagreement with received views. Where two qualities are commonly supposed inseparably conjoined, but are here regarded as separable, the name which has applied to their combination will usually have to be restricted to one or other. For example, propositions are commonly regarded as (1) true or false, (2) mental. Holding, as I do, that what is true or false is not in general mental, I require a name for the true or false as such, and this name can scarcely be other than *proposition*. In such a case, the departure from usage is in no degree arbitrary. As regards mathematical terms, the necessity for establishing the existence-theorem in each case—*i.e.* the proof that there are entities of the kind in question—has led to many definitions which appear widely different from the notions usually attached to the terms in question. Instances of this are the definitions of cardinal, ordinal and complex numbers. In the two former of these, and in many other cases, the definition as a class, derived from the principle of abstraction, is mainly recommended by the fact that it leaves no doubt as to the existence-theorem. But in many instances of such apparent departure from usage, it may be doubted whether more has been done than to give precision to a notion which had hitherto been more or less vague.

For publishing a work containing so many unsolved difficulties, my apology is, that investigation revealed no near prospect of adequately resolving the contradiction discussed in Chapter x., or of acquiring a better insight into the nature of classes. The repeated discovery of errors in solutions which for a time had satisfied me caused these problems to appear such as would have been only concealed by any seemingly satisfactory theories which a slightly longer reflection might have produced; it seemed better, therefore, merely to state the difficulties, than to wait until I had become persuaded of the truth of some almost certainly erroneous doctrine.

My thanks are due to the Syndics of the University Press, and to their Secretary, Mr R. T. Wright, for their kindness and courtesy in regard to the present volume.

LONDON,

December, 1902.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	PAGE v
-------------------	-----------

PART I.

THE INDEFINABLES OF MATHEMATICS.

CHAPTER I.

DEFINITION OF PURE MATHEMATICS.

1. Definition of pure mathematics	3
2. The principles of mathematics are no longer controversial	3
3. Pure mathematics uses only a few notions, and these are logical constants	4
4. All pure mathematics follows formally from twenty premisses	4
5. Asserts formal implications	5
6. And employs variables	5
7. Which may have any value without exception	6
8. Mathematics deals with types of relations	7
9. Applied mathematics is defined by the occurrence of constants which are not logical	8
10. Relation of mathematics to logic	8

CHAPTER II.

SYMBOLIC LOGIC.

11. Definition and scope of symbolic logic	10
12. The indefinables of symbolic logic	10
13. Symbolic logic consists of three parts	11

A. The Propositional Calculus.

14. Definition	13
15. Distinction between implication and formal implication	14
16. Implication indefinable	14
17. Two indefinables and ten primitive propositions in this calculus	15
18. The ten primitive propositions	16
19. Disjunction and negation defined	17

B. *The Calculus of Classes.*

	PAGE
20. Three new indefinables	18
21. The relation of an individual to its class	19
22. Propositional functions	19
23. The notion of <i>such that</i>	20
24. Two new primitive propositions	20
25. Relation to propositional calculus	21
26. Identity	23

C. *The Calculus of Relations.*

27. The logic of relations essential to mathematics	23
28. New primitive propositions	24
29. Relative products	25
30. Relations with assigned domains	26

D. *Peano's Symbolic Logic.*

31. Mathematical and philosophical definitions	26
32. Peano's indefinables	27
33. Elementary definitions	28
34. Peano's primitive propositions	29
35. Negation and disjunction	31
36. Existence and the null-class	32

CHAPTER III.

IMPLICATION AND FORMAL IMPLICATION.

37. Meaning of implication	33
38. Asserted and unasserted propositions	34
39. Inference does not require two premisses	35
40. Formal implication is to be interpreted extensionally	36
41. The variable in a formal implication has an unrestricted field	36
42. A formal implication is a single propositional function, not a relation of two	38
43. Assertions	39
44. Conditions that a term in an implication may be varied	39
45. Formal implication involved in rules of inference	40

CHAPTER IV.

PROPER NAMES, ADJECTIVES AND VERBS.

46. Proper names, adjectives and verbs distinguished	42
47. Terms	43
48. Things and concepts	44
49. Concepts as such and as terms	45
50. Conceptual diversity	46
51. Meaning and the subject-predicate logic	47
52. Verbs and truth	47
53. All verbs, except perhaps <i>is</i> , express relations	49
54. Relations <i>per se</i> and relating relations	49
55. Relations are not particularized by their terms	50

CHAPTER V.

DENOTING.

	PAGE
56. Definition of denoting	53
57. Connection with subject-predicate propositions	54
58. Denoting concepts obtained from predicates	55
59. Extensional account of <i>all, every, any, a</i> and <i>some</i>	56
60. Intensional account of the same	58
61. Illustrations	59
62. The difference between <i>all, every</i> , etc. lies in the objects denoted, not in the way of denoting them	61
63. The notion of <i>the</i> and definition	62
64. The notion of <i>the</i> and identity	63
65. Summary	64

CHAPTER VI.

CLASSES.

66. Combination of intensional and extensional standpoints required	66
67. Meaning of <i>class</i>	67
68. Intensional and extensional genesis of classes	67
69. Distinctions overlooked by Peano	68
70. The class as one and as many	68
71. The notion of <i>and</i>	69
72. <i>All men</i> is not analyzable into <i>all</i> and <i>men</i>	72
73. There are null class-concepts, but there is no null class	73
74. The class as one, except when it has one term, is distinct from the class as many	76
75. <i>Every, any, a</i> and <i>some</i> each denote one object, but an ambiguous one	77
76. The relation of a term to its class	77
77. The relation of inclusion between classes	78
78. The contradiction	79
79. Summary	80

CHAPTER VII.

PROPOSITIONAL FUNCTIONS.

80. Indefinability of <i>such that</i>	82
81. Where a fixed relation to a fixed term is asserted, a propositional function can be analyzed into a variable subject and a constant assertion	83
82. But this analysis is impossible in other cases	84
83. Variation of the concept in a proposition	86
84. Relation of propositional functions to classes	88
85. A propositional function is in general not analyzable into a constant and a variable element	88

CHAPTER VIII.

THE VARIABLE.

	PAGE
86. Nature of the variable	89
87. Relation of the variable to <i>any</i>	89
88. Formal and restricted variables	91
89. Formal implication presupposes <i>any</i>	91
90. Duality of <i>any</i> and <i>some</i>	92
91. The class-concept <i>propositional function</i> is indefinable	92
92. Other classes can be defined by means of <i>such that</i>	93
93. Analysis of the variable	93

CHAPTER IX.

RELATIONS.

94. Characteristics of relations	95
95. Relations of terms to themselves	96
96. The domain and the converse domain of a relation	97
97. Logical sum, logical product and relative product of relations	98
98. A relation is not a class of couples	99
99. Relations of a relation to its terms	99

CHAPTER X.

THE CONTRADICTION.

100. Consequences of the contradiction	101
101. Various statements of the contradiction	102
102. An analogous generalized argument	102
103. Variable propositional functions are in general inadmissible	103
104. The contradiction arises from treating as one a class which is only many	104
105. Other <i>primâ facie</i> possible solutions appear inadequate	105
106. Summary of Part I	106

Table of Contents

PART II.

NUMBER.

CHAPTER XI.

DEFINITION OF CARDINAL NUMBERS.

	PAGE
107. Plan of Part II	111
108. Mathematical meaning of definition	111
109. Definition of numbers by abstraction	112
110. Objections to this definition	114
111. Nominal definition of numbers	115

CHAPTER XII.

ADDITION AND MULTIPLICATION.

112. Only integers to be considered at present	117
113. Definition of arithmetical addition	117
114. Dependence upon the logical addition of classes	118
115. Definition of multiplication	119
116. Connection of addition, multiplication and exponentiation	119

CHAPTER XIII.

FINITE AND INFINITE.

117. Definition of finite and infinite	121
118. Definition of a_0	121
119. Definition of finite numbers by mathematical induction	123

CHAPTER XIV.

THEORY OF FINITE NUMBERS.

120. Peano's indefinables and primitive propositions	124
121. Mutual independence of the latter	125
122. Peano really defines progressions, not finite numbers	125
123. Proof of Peano's primitive propositions	127

CHAPTER XV.

ADDITION OF TERMS AND ADDITION OF CLASSES.

	PAGE
124. Philosophy and mathematics distinguished	129
125. Is there a more fundamental sense of number than that defined above?	130
126. Numbers must be classes	131
127. Numbers apply to classes as many	132
128. One is to be asserted, not of terms, but of unit classes	132
129. Counting not fundamental in arithmetic	133
130. Numerical conjunction and plurality	133
131. Addition of terms generates classes primarily, not numbers	135
132. <i>A term</i> is indefinable, but not the number 1	135

CHAPTER XVI.

WHOLE AND PART.

133. Single terms may be either simple or complex	137
134. Whole and part cannot be defined by logical priority	137
135. Three kinds of relation of whole and part distinguished	138
136. Two kinds of wholes distinguished	140
137. A whole is distinct from the numerical conjunction of its parts	141
138. How far analysis is falsification	141
139. A class as one is an aggregate	141

CHAPTER XVII.

INFINITE WHOLE.

140. Infinite aggregates must be admitted	143
141. Infinite unities, if there are any, are unknown to us	144
142. Are all infinite wholes aggregates of terms?	146
143. Grounds in favour of this view	146

CHAPTER XVIII.

RATIOS AND FRACTIONS.

144. Definition of ratio	149
145. Ratios are one-one relations	150
146. Fractions are concerned with relations of whole and part	150
147. Fractions depend, not upon number, but upon magnitude of divisibility	151
148. Summary of Part II	152

PART III.

QUANTITY.

CHAPTER XIX.

THE MEANING OF MAGNITUDE.

	PAGE
149. Previous views on the relation of number and quantity	157
150. Quantity not fundamental in mathematics	158
151. Meaning of magnitude and quantity	159
152. Three possible theories of equality to be examined	159
153. Equality is not identity of number of parts	160
154. Equality is not an unanalyzable relation of quantities	162
155. Equality is sameness of magnitude	164
156. Every particular magnitude is simple	164
157. The principle of abstraction	166
158. Summary	167
<i>Note</i>	168

CHAPTER XX.

THE RANGE OF QUANTITY.

159. Divisibility does not belong to all quantities	170
160. Distance	171
161. Differential coefficients	173
162. A magnitude is never divisible, but may be a magnitude of divisibility .	173
163. Every magnitude is unanalyzable	174

CHAPTER XXI.

NUMBERS AS EXPRESSING MAGNITUDES : MEASUREMENT.

164. Definition of measurement	176
165. Possible grounds for holding all magnitudes to be measurable	176
166. Intrinsic measurability	177
167. Of divisibilities	178
168. And of distances	179
169. Measure of distance and measure of stretch	181
170. Distance-theories and stretch-theories of geometry	181
171. Extensive and intensive magnitudes	182

CHAPTER XXII.

ZERO.

172. Difficulties as to zero	184
173. Meinong's theory	184
174. Zero as minimum	185
175. Zero distance as identity	186
176. Zero as a null segment	186
177. Zero and negation	186
178. Every kind of zero magnitude is in a sense indefinable.	187

CHAPTER XXIII.

INFINITY, THE INFINITESIMAL, AND CONTINUITY.

	PAGE
179. Problems of infinity not specially quantitative	188
180. Statement of the problem in regard to quantity	188
181. Three antinomies	189
182. Of which the antitheses depend upon an axiom of finitude	190
183. And the use of mathematical induction	192
184. Which are both to be rejected	192
185. Provisional sense of continuity	193
186. Summary of Part III	194

PART IV.

ORDER.

CHAPTER XXIV.

THE GENESIS OF SERIES.

187. Importance of order	199
188. <i>Between</i> and separation of couples	199
189. Generation of order by one-one relations	200
190. By transitive asymmetrical relations	203
191. By distances	204
192. By triangular relations	204
193. By relations between asymmetrical relations	205
194. And by separation of couples	205

CHAPTER XXV.

THE MEANING OF ORDER.

195. What is order?	207
196. Three theories of <i>between</i>	207
197. First theory	208
198. A relation is not <i>between</i> its terms	210
199. Second theory of <i>between</i>	211
200. There appear to be ultimate triangular relations	211
201. Reasons for rejecting the second theory	213
202. Third theory of <i>between</i> to be rejected	213
203. Meaning of separation of couples	214
204. Reduction to transitive asymmetrical relations	215
205. This reduction is formal	216
206. But is the reason why separation leads to order	216
207. The second way of generating series is alone fundamental, and gives the meaning of order	216

CHAPTER XXVI.

ASYMMETRICAL RELATIONS.

	PAGE
208. Classification of relations as regards symmetry and transitivity	218
209. Symmetrical transitive relations	219
210. Reflexiveness and the principle of abstraction	219
211. Relative position	220
212. Are relations reducible to predications?	221
213. Monadistic theory of relations	222
214. Reasons for rejecting this theory	222
215. Monistic theory and the reasons for rejecting it	224
216. Order requires that relations should be ultimate	226

CHAPTER XXVII.

DIFFERENCE OF SENSE AND DIFFERENCE OF SIGN.

217. Kant on difference of sense	227
218. Meaning of difference of sense	228
219. Difference of sign	228
220. In the cases of finite numbers	229
221. And of magnitudes	229
222. Right and left	231
223. Difference of sign arises from difference of sense among transitive asymmetrical relations	232

CHAPTER XXVIII.

ON THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OPEN AND CLOSED SERIES.

224. What is the difference between open and closed series?	234
225. Finite closed series	234
226. Series generated by triangular relations	236
227. Four-term relations	237
228. Closed series are such as have an arbitrary first term	238

CHAPTER XXIX.

PROGRESSIONS AND ORDINAL NUMBERS.

229. Definition of progressions	239
230. All finite arithmetic applies to every progression	240
231. Definition of ordinal numbers	242
232. Definition of "nth"	243
233. Positive and negative ordinals	244